

TO: Laura Schreiner, Conservation Halton
FROM: WSP
SUBJECT: Response to Conservation Halton Review of 7th EIR/FSS Submission - 3269 and 3271 Dundas Street West

## Comments (January 22, 2021)

DATE: March 23, 2021

| Conservation Halton | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conservation Halton (CH) has reviewed the seventh submission of the EIR/FSS in support of the above-noted subdivision. <br> Documents reviewed are listed in Appendix B. |  |
| Key comments are below. Detailed comments are provided in Appendix A. Please note that three new detailed <br> comments have been provided (comment \# 76-78). | Noted. |
| Key Comments: <br> 1.CH's previous comments affecting Draft Plan Approval have now been resolved. CH has provided one new comment <br> regarding a suggested revision to the Draft Plan to clarify the width of Open Space/NHS Blocks 12 and 13 adjacent <br> Avenue Three, which is deferred to the Town (comment \#76). (This revision should not impact block sizing or layout, <br> just labels.) Staff is now in a position to provide Draft Plan Conditions at the Town's request. <br> 2.CH has outstanding comments which should be addressed through finalization of the EIR/FSS as a condition of draft <br> plan approval, or at detailed design, as indicated in Appendix A. <br> APPENDIX A: Detailed Comments | Noted. |
| 29. Section 6.4.2.4, Proposed Channel Morphology - Reach 14W-23 |  |

Conservation Halton
WSP Response
d) Not addressed. Update Figure 6.4.4 to illustrate the revised corridor design, including incorporation of the 7.5 m allowance from the Regulatory Storm floodplain within the Total Corridor Width. Updated figure may be provided for insertion into the current EIR/FSS document as a condition of Draft Plan Approval.
35. Section 6.5.7, Riparian Storage Assessment - The following must be addressed prior to Draft Plan Approval.
a) Reach $14 \mathrm{~W}-16$ and 14W-12, Table 6.23, Riparian Storage Analysis for Standardized Flow Rates - Not fully Noted. addressed. However, no further action required at this time. The explanation provided does not explain the predicted increase in riparian flood storage in Reach $14 \mathrm{~W}-16$. A further review of the hydraulic model revealed that a few interpolated cross-sections for this reach are inconsistent between Existing and Ultimate conditions. These inconsistencies can be corrected in the hydraulic models submitted at the detailed design stage.
40. Section 7.6.1, Pond Design Overview - (Now Section 7.8.3, Pond Design Overview and Control Criteria)
d) Pond 5, Drawing SWM4
i) Addressed. CH staff calculated slightly different flow rates for the quantity/flood control weir, however, we are satisfied this can be revisited by the future EIR/FSS Addendum for the adjacent development.
B. Additional Comment Based on Revised Design - Reach 14W-21 CH's comments addressed. Proposed grading within the Highway 407 Transitway in the vicinity of Reach 14W-21 but outside of the NHS Block is deferred to Town staff.
b) through d) No further action required at this time. Please note that Reach 14W-22's Regulatory floodline will extend part way up Reach 14W-21. As this does not affect the proposed development limits, no further action is required at this time. At detailed design, CH will require final mapping to reflect the full extent Of Reach 14-W22's floodplain to ensure that CH's Approximate Regulation Limit mapping accurately reflects the regulated flood hazards.
66. EIR Sections 5 and 6 - Answer provided in response matrix is sufficient, however it is recommended that new/existing wetland areas be included in Figure 5.2 Vegetation Communities. The sizes of wetland communities should be numerically specified on Figure 5.2 as well. This can be done as part of the finalization of the EIR/FSS.

Figure 5.2 Vegetation
Communities has been updated to include the proposed new offline wetland creation areas ( 2.443 ha ) adjacent to the realigned channels of $14 \mathrm{~W}-21$ and $14 \mathrm{~W}-22$.

| Conservation Halton | WSP Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| 75. The Detailed Design Commitments Table addresses specific environmental requirements only. This table must be read in conjunction with the commitments made throughout the EIR/FSS and as provided in past engineering correspondence. | Noted. |
| New Comments Resulting from Revised Draft Plan: <br> 76. Sections 6.0 Water Resources and 7.0 Stormwater Management were not updated to reflect the revised Highway 407 Transitway Block. The larger Transitway may result in slightly higher flow rates at the top end of Reaches $14 \mathrm{~W}-21,14 \mathrm{~W}$ 22 , and $14 \mathrm{~W}-23$, however, the proposed NHS Blocks should be able to accommodate the additional flows. The area's Stormwater Management plan should be refined accordingly at the detailed design stage of Phase 1, including updates to the GAWSER hydrologic model. The GAWSER model should be reviewed. and updated as needed. In conjunction with each phase of development, to ensure the model adequately represents final site conditions. | Noted. |
| Measurements provided on the Draft Plan of Subdivision along Avenue Three adjacent to NHS/Open Space Blocks 12 and 13 do not reflect the proposed width of the NHS/Open space blocks and may be misleading to users. Consideration should be given to revising the Draft Plan prior to approval to prevent future confusion. This matter is deferred to Town staff. | Noted. |
| New Comments - Other: <br> 77. For Conservation Halton to update our Approximate Regulation Limit Mapping, please forward a digital copy of the Existing Conditions Floodlines and Existing Conditions Meanderbelts with Factor of Safety. The drawing(s) must be provided in ESRI Shapefile or AutoCAD 2010 (file format in order of preference dwg, dgn, and dxf); properly georeferenced to the NAD83, UTM, Zone 17 coordinate system. Due to the significant number of layers typically included in GIS files, features relevant to the hazards and associated labels Should be submitted in a separate GIS file in addition to the main drawings, clearly attributed in the GIS file, and named in the correspondence accompanying the submission. <br> 78. At the next submission, staff request that the applicant split the pdf of the EIR/FSS into multiple files for ease of navigation. The present file sizes are so large they are quite difficult to navigate digitally. One method we have seen that has worked well is having one pdf of the text of the report, another containing the tracked-changes version of the text, a folder containing all figures and drawings (each saved as a separate pdf and labelled clearly), and a separate folder for each appendix as necessary. Feel free to contact the undersigned to discuss prior to submission. | Noted. |

## MEMO

TO: Bernie Steiger, Region of Halton
FROM: WSP
SUBJECT: Response to Conservation Halton Review of 7th EIR/FSS Submission - 3269 and 3271 Dundas Street West
Region of Halton Comments (January 13, 2021)
DATE:
March 23, 2021

|  |
| :--- |
| Region of Halton WSP Response <br> The last comments provided by CH (March 30, 2020) on this file indicated that there are <br> several issues remaining that must be addressed prior to draft plan approval. As the <br> Region is relying on CH for the review of technical matters related to the Natural <br> Heritage System, within the North Oakville West Secondary Plan, the Region requires <br> confirmation that CH is satisfied with respect to the implementation of the Natural <br> Heritage policies of the Region OP and the North Oakville West Secondary Plan prior to <br> providing draft plan conditions and recommending approval.  <br> Site Contamination: <br> Section 147(17) of the ROP requires that prior to the Region considering any development <br> application proposals, the proponent must identify whether there is any potential for soils <br> on the site to be contaminated. Regional Staff note that the Phase 1 ESA that was <br> provided as part of a previous submission is out of date and will require updating based <br> upon O.reg. 153/04 standards and requirements. Further, among other <br> recommendations, it recommends a limited Phase 2 ESA be undertaken. Noted. <br> Once the Region is ready to issue conditions on this plan, a condition will be imposed to <br> require the submission of a satisfactory Phase 1 and 2 ESA (prior to any site alteration) <br> and to ensure the recommendations are implemented. Noted. <br> Summary:  <br> Subject to addressing comments by CH related to impacts on the Regional Natural  <br> Heritage System and addressing the other technical comments provided in this letter  |

## Region of Halton

such as those made with respect to environmental site contamination, transportation and servicing, the proposed plan would conform to the policies of the ROP.

## Water Servicing:

The FSS proposes to service the development by providing a watermain network to be located within the proposed road network for the subdivision. As part of this network, a 600 mm diameter trunk watermain is proposed on Avenue One and local watermains are to be provided on Avenue Two and Avenue Three. This network will be connected to the existing external 1200 mm diameter trunk watermain on Dundas Street where Avenue Two and Avenue Three intersect Dundas Street. This proposed water system is in accordance with the ASP.

Please note that the existing 1200 mm diameter trunk watermain is located in the southerly boulevard of Dundas Street. When this watermain was constructed, no crossing stubs/connections were provided for or constructed across Dundas Street at the future intersections of Avenue Two and Avenue Three. Valve chambers were provided in the general vicinity of these intersections in order to accommodate these future connections. The developer should consider funding these watermain crossings, and have the Region design, and construct the crossings as part of the Region's Dundas Street road construction project. The applicant may wish to discuss options in this regard with our project team for the reconstruction project.

The proposed 600 mm diameter watermain on Avenue One is a DC reimbursable project (ID \#5627). The project is not currently included in a current Regional budget. Should the funding not be available at the time of proceeding with the design and construction of this section of watermain, then the developer will have to front-end the funding of the design and construction of the watermain and be reimbursed in the future once funding becomes available in a Regional budget.

The looping of the watermain system within this subdivision is contingent on watermains that are to be located on the adjacent lands that are both east and west of this subdivision. Avenue Two is located on both the lands of this subdivision and also on the adjacent lands to the west. Avenue Three is located on the lands of this subdivision and also the adjacent lands to the east. The FSS does not address how the watermain system/loop is to be completed by providing the external connections on these adjoining lands. The timing of the development of the adjacent lands could also be problematic in terms of providing proper watermain looping since it could result in temporary looping connections within the subdivision and/or possible long term temporary dead end watermains.

WSP Response

Noted.

Noted. The developer understands that the crossings of Dundas Street will need to be funded by the developer. This is noted in Section 8.3.11.1 of the FSS. Discussions with the Region will be held at the appropriate time during the development phase.

It is understood by the developer that the DC reimbursable project may need to be front ended at the time of construction.

The FSS indicates that temporary looping will be provided through certain development blocks until the full area is built out (see section 8.6). The interim servicing design does not include dead end watermains. As the design and build-out evolves the interim servicing will be updated and the Region will have an opportunity to review and comment to ensure the servicing will be in alignment with the Region's requirements.

| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| An external local watermain will be required to be constructed within the north boulevard of Dundas Street in order to service the blocks fronting on this street and also to provide fire protection for these blocks. | Yes, agreed. |
| The subdivision is located within the Zone 3 pressure zone. The FSS notes that the proposed water system was modeled using the Region's existing hydraulic model. The results show that there are parts of the subdivision that will be located in the lower end of the pressure range in this zone. Consideration may have to be given to providing pressure booster units in the buildings that are located on the lower end of the pressure range. | At the detailed design stage, the design of the boosters will be utilized where required. |
| Water Pressure Zone Realignment: <br> The Region is currently undergoing a program to realign the water pressure zones in the Region. As part of this program, it is proposed to implement both an interim zone condition and an ultimate zone condition within the Region's water distribution system. The timing of implementing the new pressure zone boundaries may take several years to complete. It is possible that the proposed development may be impacted by the changes to the pressure zones in both the interim and ultimate conditions depending on the timing of the implementation of these changes. Please note that minimum service levels for both water pressure and flow will be maintained throughout the Region during this process. Buildings and units within the development may undergo changes to their water pressure when the zones are changed over from the existing zone to the interim zone and also when the interim zone is changed to the ultimate zone. | Noted with appreciation. |
| The Region requires that the FSS be revised prior to the engineering drawing submission to include water modelling of the development that addresses watermain sizing, flows, pressures, dead-end watermains and the proposed water pressure zone realignment. | The FSS will be updated as the development evolves when building sizing and the limits of phasing are known to address the items known. |
| Wastewater Servicing: <br> The FSS notes that the wastewater servicing of this subdivision will be by an internal gravity sewer system that will convey flows to a proposed Regional trunk sanitary sewer that is to be located on Dundas Street West. The flows from this trunk sewer flow eastward to the existing trunk sewer located on Colonel William Parkway. | Noted. |
| The Dundas Street sanitary trunk sewer is a development charges project (ID \#6911) and it is currently being designed as part of the Region's Dundas Street road reconstruction project. Funding for the construction of this sewer still has to be secured in a Regional budget. The trunk sewer is required to service this development. The | We understand that the timing of funding will impact the timing of possible development. The developer is aware that in order to facilitate development, front ending of this project may be |

## Region of Halton

status of the funding may impact the timing of this development. In order to have the trunk sewer designed and constructed in advance of the Region funding being available the developer may have to accelerate this project and front end the financing of this project. The developer would then be reimbursed for the cost of this sewer once Regional funding became available. The timing of the construction of the trunk sewer in relation to the timing of the proposed development could be a factor in the development proceeding.

## Phasing of the Development:

The FSS notes that this development will be phased in Phase 1A, Phase 1B and Phase 2. Due to this, the servicing of the development will also be phased. Further, it appears that this draft plan of subdivision will proceed prior to the adjacent lands being developed. This is problematic from a servicing perspective since full road connections throughout the entire secondary plan area will not occur at the same time. This will impact the watermain system in the area since it will result in temporary dead-end watermains. The FSS notes that temporary and/or interim watermains may be required for looping. Servicing Plans for the different phases were included in the FSS. The interim watermain proposed can be summarized as follows:

## Phase 1A:

- A local watermain is proposed on Avenue Two and a short section of watermain is proposed on Burnhamthorpe Road.
- A temporary watermain is proposed through Block 3 and Block 1 and connects to the existing 1200mm dia. watermain on Dundas Street. This main would eventually be decommissioned and abandoned. This watermain would have to be in a temporary Regional easement.
- This results in a dead end watermain on Burnhamthorpe Road.


## Phase 1B:

- A local watermain would be constructed on the remaining portion of Burnhamthorpe Road that is within the limits of this subdivision. A small portion of local watermain would also be constructed on Avenue Three.
- A temporary local watermain would be constructed southward along the eastern limit of the property and connect to the existing 1200 mm dia. watermain on Dundas Street. This watermain would have to be in a temporary Regional easement.
- The temporary watermain that was constructed in Phase 1A within Blocks 3 and 1 would be decommissioned, removed and/or abandoned in this phase.


## WSP Response

required. This matter will be discussed when future
development applications are made.

- There is only one section of watermain on Burnhamthorpe Road. Correct/Noted.
- There is no dead end watermain proposed for Phase 1A, there is simply a stub with a closed valve to allow for future connection of the watermain in future phases. We have revised Figure $x-x$ to more clearly show the proposed phasing.
- Correct/Noted.
- Correct/Noted.
- Correct/Noted.

| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phase 2: <br> A local watermain would be constructed on a portion of Avenue Three that is <br> north of Burnhamthorpe Road. | $-\quad$ Correct/Noted. |
| $\quad$A 600mm dia. trunk watermain would be constructed on the eastern portion of <br> Avenue One. | $-\quad$ Correct/Noted. |

## Region of Halton

The Region is planning to reconstruct Dundas Street from Appleby Line to Bronte Road under Project PR-2671B/2672B. The project is currently under design; however, the scope of work for the reconstruction of Dundas Street does not include the design of the local watermain crossings required along Dundas Street. There is a possibility that the trunk sanitary sewer may be added to the scope of work for this project. If the funding for the trunk sewer is delayed then consideration should be given to having the developers in the area provide the front-end financing for these projects in order that they can be included in scope of work for the road reconstruction project.

The local watermain crossings required at Avenue Two and Avenue Three are considered local watermains and are not eligible as DC infrastructure. For these crossings to be included in the scope of work for the reconstruction of Dundas Street, the developer would have to provide the funding to the Region and the Region would construct the crossings on their behalf.

## Existing Private Water Well \& Septic System Decommissioning:

The FSS did not indicate if there where private wells and/or septic systems located on the property from former use of these lands. Any existing private wells and/or septic systems are to be decommissioned prior to construction commencing on the site. Both existing wells and septic systems, if present on the site are to be decommissioned and removed from the site according to the proper MOE guidelines.

## Storm Water Drainage on Regional Roads:

Dundas Street West is adjacent to this subdivision and it is slated for reconstruction and urbanization by the Region. Section 7.8.2 of the FSS addresses storm drainage from the reconstructed Dundas Street being accommodated in SWM Pond 2 that is located in this subdivision and this pond is to be constructed in the first phase of the subdivision (Phase 1A). The FSS indicates that a small section 2.24 ha ) of Dundas Street is proposed to drain to Pond 2 in the subdivision. This pond and some of the internal storm sewers in the subdivision will have to be designed to accommodate the storm water drainage from this section of roadway.

Please note that the Region previously had the EIR/FSS peered reviewed in regards to impacts of storm drainage from this development on Dundas Street. In particular, the Region retained MMM Group to review an interim EIR/FSS (date unknown) and they provided their comments to the Region in a memo dated on Dec 14/15. In this memo it was noted that there is a potential for parts of Dundas Street to be in an overtopping condition for a Regional Storm Event in the post development period. The location that this could occur is at Culvert FM-D2 using the existing culvert at this location. This

## WSP Response

We understand that the watermain connections crossing Dundas Street will be the responsibility of the developer. The timing of the development will dictate if front ending of the watermain connections is required.

## The decommissioning of existing wells is explicitly noted in

 section 8.7 on page 8-17.Recommendations to decommission wells are provided in the Hydrogeology section of the EIS submission.

The storm pond and relevant internal storm sewers have been designed to accommodate the runoff from Dundas Street.

Noted.

| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| culvert is slated for replacement and upsizing when Dundas Street is reconstructed which is to remove the overtopping issue. |  |
| The FSS should be revised to address the potential overtopping issues on Dundas Street. | The development will likely proceed after the completion of the Dundas Street road widening and therefore the overtopping potential will be eliminated. This is reflected in the FSS. |
| Official Plan/Transportation Master Plan Right-ofWay Requirements: <br> Any lands within $\underline{25 m}$ of the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) that are part of the subject property shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The applicant is to provide confirmation that the proposed widening blocks on the plan would achieve the Region's road widening requirements (including those set out in the Dundas Street EA and as part of the Dundas Street widening project). | We have reviewed the requirement and can confirm the proposed widening blocks on the plan achieve the Region's road widening requirements. |
| Daylight triangles measuring 15m along Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) and 15m along Street "Avenue Two" shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The daylight triangle on the most current draft plan (May 2020) appears to be insufficient in size. The owner shall submit a revised plan that indicates the correct size of daylight triangle (and demonstrate with a dimensioned drawing that the above-noted requirement is achieved). <br> In addition, the Region's jurisdiction at an intersection extends to the end of the daylight triangle. As such the road widening block (Block 22) and extent of Avenue Two need to be revised to reflect this as show below: | The daylight triangle and Block 22 has been revised in accordance with the comment. |

## Region of Halton

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street Corridor Improvements Brant Street (Regional Road 18) to Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study/Environmental
Study Report, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street from Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) to Appleby Line (Regional Road 20) Detailed Design Project, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.

The plan of subdivision has been circulated to the Project Team (Project Manager, Jennifer Trimble, Public Works) for the Dundas Street widening/reconstruction project for review and comment. They will advise with regard to any additional requirements or impacts (e.g. additional right-of-way, easements, swm impacts). Additional comments will be provided once received.

## Other Comments:

All lands to be dedicated to Halton Region shall be dedicated with clear title (free and clear of encumbrances) and a Certificate of title shall be provided, in a form satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services or their designate. Any proposed signage, plantings etc., for the site must be placed outside of the new Regional right-of-way (on private property). The location of the future intersection to Dundas Street must be as per the approved North Oakville West Secondary Plan.

## Transportation Impact Study:

The Transportation Impact Study (TIS) by WSP dated August 4, 2020, submitted as part of this application review, has been sent out for peer review. The study has been reviewed by CIMA Canada Inc. and comments are provided below:

## Provide detailed 15-minute TMCs breakdowns and use the same PHF across all

 existing scenarios;Provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development;

WSP Response

Noted. There does not appear to be any additional land requirements from this property.

Noted. There does not appear to be any additional land requirements from this property.

We have not received any additional property requests from the Region.

Noted and accepted.

See transportation comment response table/memo.

See transportation comment response table/memo.

See transportation comment response table/memo.

| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| State potential implications if the Burnhamthorpe extension is not completed by <br> 2025 through the Bentall site; | See transportation comment response table/memo. |
| Provide raw TTS data outputs in the Appendices; | See transportation comment response table/memo. |
| Adjust background growth rates using 3.0 percent in the first year and 4.0 <br> percent in all subsequent years as outlined in Section 6.2 and results must be <br> updated accordingly; | See transportation comment response table/memo. |
| Provide alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road and <br> Dundas Street West; | See transportation comment response table/memo. |
| Conduct a SimTraffic analysis to assess the 95th percentile queues and <br> recommend the appropriate storage capacity based on the SimTraffic analysis. | See transportation comment response table/memo. |
| Agreements/Permits: <br> The owner will be required to enter into a Subdivision Agreement through the <br> Development Project Manager) for the completion of required Works for all development <br> associated road improvements along Dundas Street and/or at any new intersections. <br> The owner is responsible for all costs associated with the improvements detailed as part <br> of the works and must submit for approval detail design drawings and cost estimates. | Noted and Agreed. |
| Finance: <br> The Owner will be required to pay all applicable Regional Development Charges in <br> accordance with the Region of Halton Development Charge By-law(s), as amended. <br> Please visit our website at https://www.halton.ca/The-Region/Finance-and- <br> Transparency/Financing-Growth/Development-Charges-Front-ending-Recovery-Payment | Noted and Agreed. |
| to obtain the most current Development Charge and Front-ending Recovery <br> Payment information, which is subject to change. | Dead-end watermains are not proposed. The other items have |
| been addressed in the revised FSS. |  |
| Conclusion: <br> We require that the following matters be addressed before we are in a position to <br> provide conditions of draft approval: |  |
| Receipt of a satisfactory FSS. In particular, the FSS is required to be revised to <br> address the temporary looping, dead-end watermains and to demonstrate how <br> the ultimate watermain system is to be constructed. We are not in support of the <br> dead-end watermains as proposed. |  |


| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Receipt of a satisfactory Transportation Impact Study. | In 2021 WSP was seeking for clarifications on previous <br> comments from the Region and we suggested that we would <br> not be updating the TIS as it would be redundant (i.e. the <br> previous report had 682 pages with the Appendices) but provide <br> a response letter, response matrix and relevant attachments. |
| On June 3, 2021 the Region confirmed that they find the <br> proposed approach by WSP acceptable if the Town agrees to it. <br> On June 7, 2021 the Town also confirmed that the letter, matrix <br> and attachments are fine and that there is no need to submit <br> the entire document. |  |
| Confirmation from CH that the matters identified to be fulfilled in advanced of <br> draft plan approval in correspondence dated March 30, 2020 have been <br> satisfactorily resolved. | Based on receiving these clarifications WSP submitted a <br> response to comments letter, dated June 30, 2021 with relevant <br> attachments in the Appendices and a separate response <br> matrix. If the context of the responses are satisfactory this <br> should satisfy the requirement for a satisfactory TIS. |
| That a revised draft plan of subdivision be submitted that correctly reflects the <br> daylight and property dedication requirements and that the split between Region <br> and Town jurisdiction at the Avenue Two/Dundas Street intersection is depicted <br> as per the comments in this letter. Receipt of comments from Public Works in <br> regard to the Dundas Street project is required in this regard. | CH has confirmed their acceptance of the EIR/FSS with <br> conditions outlined in their last comment letter. |
| It is recommended that the applicant not resubmit the draft plan until comments from <br> our Capital Works group regarding the Dundas Street project are received in order that <br> their comments can be incorporated into the plan/resubmission. | See the attached transportation response memo for responses <br> related to the Dundas Street Project. |
| Finally, the owner may wish to engage in discussions with our Public Work Dundas <br> Street capital project team regarding co-ordination/funding of works identified in this has been revised to indicate the daylight and <br> letter such as the Dundas Street local watermain crossings and the Dundas Street local <br> watermain extension. <br> transportation response memo for responses related to the <br> Dundas Street Project. |  |
| Depending on timing of the development, the developer will |  |
| engage in discussions with the Town |  |

MEMO
TO: Robert Thun, Town of Oakville
FROM: WSP
SUBJECT: Response to Region of Halton Transportation Comments (January 13, 2021 and April 19, 2021) - 3269 and 3271 Dundas Street West

DATE: June 30, 2021

| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regional Transportation: | Acknowledged. |
| Section 173(8) of the ROP states that the Region and the Local municipalities will <br> work together to control access to Arterial Roads in accordance with Council <br> adopted access 10 <br> management policies. On Map 3 of the ROP, Regional Road 5 (Dundas Street) is <br> defined as Major Arterial road. <br> In considering development applications, the ROP further requires that the <br> proponent for any development considered to have a transportation impact <br> prepare a detailed transportation study to assess the impact of the proposal and to <br> recommend necessary improvements is required. In addition, the ROP provides <br> direction to restrict access to Major Arterial Roads, and require land dedication for <br> road widening and daylight triangle <br> purposes as defined by the ROP. The following comments are provided in relation <br> to the materials provided as part of the above noted development resubmission. |  |
| Halton's Capital Implementation Plan (2018 - 2031): <br> The updated timing of Halton's capital works, is as follows (all timing subject to <br> change): |  |
| Dundas Street Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Tremaine Road to Bronte Road - Q2 <br> 2022 to Q4 2024 | Acknowledged. |
| Dundas Street Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Tremaine Road to Appleby Line - Q3 <br> 2020 to Q3 2023 | Acknowledged. |

## Region of Halton

| Bronte Road Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Speers Road to Derry Road - 2025 to <br> 2027 |
| :--- |
| William Halton Parkway - 2 to 4 Lanes Widening from Old Bronte Road to Hospital <br> Gate - Q2 2023 to Q4 2023 |
| Tremaine Road - 2 to 4 lane widening from Dundas Street to Lower Base Line - start <br> of construction 2024 |
| Official Plan/Transportation Master Plan Right-of-Way Requirements: |

## WSP Response

Acknowledged.
Acknowledged.

## Acknowledged.

A figure is provided in Appendix C of WSP June 2021 Response Letter, which shows that the property line is 27 m from the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5. The proposed road widening for Dundas Street as provided by the Region is shown as the proposed property line for the subject property.
The property line is beyond the 25 m from the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5). Therefore, the proposed property line achieves the Region's road widening requirements - the Dundas Street EA requirements and the Official Plan / Transportation Master Plan requirements.

Due to the intersection angle of 84 degrees the daylight triangle has been revised to 16.8 m by 15.0 m along the proposed streetline for Avenue 2 and the proposed road widening for Dundas Street as shown in Appendix C of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter. The hypotenuse of the daylight triangle is 21.2 m . Based on Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide intersection angles should be between 70 and 110 degrees. The proposed intersection angle of 84 degrees is acceptable.

## Region of Halton

## Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study/Environmental Study Report (Transportation Planning) Right-of-Way Requirements - Dundas Street:

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street Corridor Improvements Brant Street (Regional Road 18) to Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study/Environmental Study Report, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road

## improvements.

Detail Design Project (Engineering \& Construction) Right-of-Way Requirements -

## Dundas Street:

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street from Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) to Appleby Line (Regional Road 20) Detailed Design Project, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.

The plan of subdivision has been circulated to the Project Team (Project Manager, Jennifer Trimble, Public Works) for the Dundas Street widening/reconstruction project for review and comment. They will advise with regard to any additional requirements or
impacts (e.g. additional right-of-way, easements, swm impacts). Additional comments will be provided once received.

## Other Comments:

All lands to be dedicated to Halton Region shall be dedicated with clear title (free and clear of encumbrances) and a Certificate of title shall be provided, in a form satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services or their designate.

Any proposed signage, plantings etc., for the site must be placed outside of the new Regional right-of-way (on private property).

The location of the future intersection to Dundas Street must be in accordance with the approved North Oakville West Secondary Plan.
Transportation Impact Study:

WSP Response
Acknowledged.

Acknowledged. Additional comments were provided on April 19, 2021. The comments and responses are provided towards the end of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter (comment 11 onwards).

## Acknowledged.

## Region of Halton

The Transportation Impact Study (TIS) by WSP dated August 4, 2020, submitted as part of this application review, has been sent out for peer review. The study has been reviewed by CIMA Canada Inc. and comments are provided below:
Provide detailed 15-minute TMCs breakdowns and use the same PHF across all existing scenarios;

## WSP Response

The detailed 15-minute TMC are provided in Appendix D of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter.

WSP calculated the peak hour factor for the AM and PM peak hours for each intersection and used the consistent peak hour factor for existing conditions.

The exception is Dundas Street at Tremaine Road in the PM peak hour where the overall intersection PHF was 0.95 . The peak hour factor for the east approach was calculated to be 0.97 and this peak hour factor was applied to the east approach of the intersection as part of the calibration. As shown in Table 4-2 of the report, the resulting westbound through V/C was 0.99 for the calibrated Synchro model was 0.99 . If the overall PHF of 0.95 was used the V/C for the westbound through would increase to 1.01 as shown in Appendix E of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter.

WSP acknowledges that this adjustment to the PHF should have been documented on page 22 of the report.

Provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development;

The proposed Phase 2 (2030) lane configuration is provided in Figure 5-2 of the report. The proposed Phase 2A (2030) lane configuration is provided in Figure 5-3 of the report. The estimate 95th percentile queue lengths and recommended storage lanes are provided in the response to comment 8 g ) in the WSP June 2021 Response Letter.

The intersection improvements, responsibility and the proposed development cost share percentage for each intersection improvement is provided in Appendix F of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter. The cost share percentage for the proposed development was calculated by determining the site traffic percentage relative to the total additional traffic at the intersections from background developments and growth.

In general, the Region would be responsible for the Tremaine Road and Bronte Road widening for which a future EA will be completed. The Region would also be responsible for the Dundas Street widening for which the EA was completed and is currently in the design phase. WSP recommends that the implementation of improvements along Dundas Street West and roadways connecting to Dundas Street West be completed through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract

| Region of Halton |
| :--- |
| State potential implications if the Burnhamthorpe extension is not completed by | 2025 through the Bentall site;

## WSP Response

The remaining intersections would be developed as part of the North Oakville West Secondary Plan roadway network.

WSP analysis (Figure 5-1) assumed that Burnhamthorpe Road would be constructed between Avenue Two and Avenue 3 by 2025. All site traffic (Figure 5-4 and Figure 5-5) was assigned to Avenue Two. Should Burnhamthorpe Road not be constructed through Bentall lands, Blocks C4-1, C5-1, G5-2 and G5-1 (see Figure 3-1) would not have access to the external roadways unless Avenue 3 is constructed.

Provide raw TTS data outputs in the Appendices;

Adjust background growth rates using 3.0 percent in the first year and 4.0 percent in all subsequent years as outlined in Section 6.2 and results must be updated accordingly;

The 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data associated with mode split in the Town of Oakville referenced in Section 5.3.4 on page 31 and associated with trip distribution referenced in Section 5.4 on page 34 is provided in Appendix $G$ of the WSP 2021 Response Letter.
As documented in Section 6.2 a through traffic growth rate of 3.0 percent per annum (linear) was applied to Tremaine Road as directed by Region staff, between 2020 and 2021 Subsequent to 2021, a growth rate of 4.0 percent per annum (linear) was applied to Tremaine Road as directed by Region staff. The traffic growth along Tremaine Road was distributed along Dundas Street West based on the existing trip distribution at the study intersection.

Background growth rates were correctly applied as documented in Section 6.2 and figures 6-5 to 6-8. For additional clarifications of figures 6-5 to 6-6, as part of this response WSP prepared the figures in Appendix H of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter to show how traffic growth was derived between 2020 and 2021, 2021 to 2025.

Since the growth rates were correctly applied, it is our opinion that the analysis does not require to be updated.
The alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road are:

- Appleby Line
- Tremaine Road
- Third Line
- Sixth Line

Region of Halton

## WSP Response

The alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Dundas Street West are:

- Highway 407
- Upper Middle Road West
- Highway 403

Conduct a SimTraffic analysis to assess the 95th percentile queues and recommend the appropriate storage capacity based on the SimTraffic analysis.

## Agreements/Permits:

The owner will be required to enter into a Subdivision Agreement through the Development Project Manager) for the completion of required Works for all development associated road improvements along Dundas Street and/or at any new intersections. The owner is responsible for all costs associated with the improvements detailed as part of the works and must submit for approval detail design drawings and cost estimates.

## Finance:

The Owner will be required to pay all applicable Regional Development Charges in accordance with the Region of Halton Development Charge By-law(s), as amended. Please visit our website at https://www.halton.ca/The-Region/Finance-andTransparency/Financing-Growth/Development-Charges-Front-endingRecoveryPayment to obtain the most current Development Charge and Frontending Recovery Payment information, which is subject to change.

WSP completed the SimTraffic analysis for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes for Phase 2 and Phase 2A.

The estimated average and 95th percentile queues for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes for Phase 2 and recommended storage lengths are provided in Table 1 of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter. The estimated average and 95th percentile queues for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes for Phase 2A and recommended storage lengths are provided in Table 2 of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter. The SimTraffic reports are provided in Appendix I of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter.

Acknowledged.

Acknowledged.

## Region of Halton

The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Two do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will not accommodate the proposed right / right-left / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the right / right-left / left configuration. There may be additional property required to add a south bound turn lane. The west side of the north leg is quite close to the property line that we have on our property plans. If we can shift the north leg it may be able to accommodate the additional south bound lane.


WSP Response
WSP acknowledges Stantec's comment and recommends that the proposed configuration of the north leg from the TIS be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

| Region of Halton | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Region of Halton

The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Five do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will accommodate a through-right / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the through-right / left / left configuration. Impacts on the 3111 Dundas should be manageable if an additional SB lane is added to the north leg. It appears to be far enough east to accommodate an additional lane.


Based on the above assessment, we kindly request confirmation of the proposed intersection configurations so that we can ensure that we have made the correct provisions in the contract package to minimize the potential for throw away and/or

WSP acknowledges Stantec's comment and confirms that we recommend the intersection configurations documented in the TIS.

## Region of Halton

We also wanted to highlight that the Transportation Impact Study recommends changes to the boundary road network to improve 2025 traffic operations following the implementation of the Phase 1 development, including the following (See TIS, pg. 73 and pg. 93):

Dundas Street at Tremaine Road:

- Modify the existing permissive southbound right-turn movement to provide a free channelized right turn lane along with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street West that would taper off. Channelized southbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Tremaine Road with additional receiving lane at the west leg of the intersection - \$279,500

Dundas Street at Bronte Road:

- Introduce a dual southbound left-turn movement. Conversion of the existing single southbound left-turn lane to double left-turn lanes at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Bronte Road - \$45,500
- Introduce an exclusive westbound right-turn lane. Addition of an exclusive westbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Bronte Road - \$270,400
The implementation of a channelized right turn movement at Tremaine Road would have implications to the current design plan (please see excerpts from the current new construction and pavement marking/signing plans for reference). Shifting and widening the alignment of the NW intersection radius to the west to accommodate a channelized refuge island would also shift the bus bay and realigned culvert C20 to the west and the addition of a WB receiving lane would shift these elements to the north including a longer Culvert C20. This may also trigger additional and/or revised permits from CH . Additional property would be required from the Evergreen development in the NW quadrant to allow for the incorporation of a channelized refuge island if the current horizontal alignment of Tremaine Road is maintained. There is also a large hydro pole located in the NW quadrant that would be impacted. Moving this hydro pole could prove problematic and very expensive. There is a large number of circuits and lines attached. This is also an area where Burlington and Oakville hydro transitions so there may be potential impacts on the SE corner of Dundas and Tremaine.


## WSP Response

WSP recommends that these recommendations be adopted and included as part of the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

As a sensitivity analysis, WSP modelled the intersection without the free channelized lane. The Synchro and Sim Traffic reports are provided in Appendix J of the WSP June 2021 Response Letter.

It was found that in the PM peak period of both the Phase 2 and 2A scenarios, the intersection without the free channelized lane would operate significantly over capacity (overall intersection V/C ratio of 1.42 or higher). In fact, the southbound right lane is expected to experience significant delays ( +10 minutes) and be severely congested (V/C ratios of 2.25 or higher). The average and 95th percentile queues for the southbound approach is expected to extend beyond 250 metres, which will block the intersection of Burnhamthorpe Road and Tremaine Avenue.

The free channelized lane and additional receiving lane will provide the southbound right turn lane more capacity at the intersection. As shown in the Phase 2 and 2A models with the channelized lane, the intersection and all movements operate within capacity at acceptable levels of service. The southbound right movement is expected to operate at


## WSP Response

V/C ratios of 0.77 or less and experience 3 seconds delays or less during both the AM and PM peak periods. The expected queues on the southbound approach are still expected to be long and may extend past Burnhamthorpe Road and Tremaine Avenue, however the queues are slightly shorter with the channelized lane compared to without it.

Therefore, WSP recommends providing a free channelized southbound right turn lane at the intersection with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street that tapers off.

WSP recommends that this improvement be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## Electrical Design

Moon Matz has completed the electrical design for Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design. The design includes the north leg of the proposed intersections for Avenues Two, Three, and Five based on the road design noted above.

At Avenue Two, the traffic signal design consists of underground provisions for future traffic signals only. If the signal design is required now, we would need to

WSP acknowledges these comments that the electrical design for traffic signals and illumination will need to be revised to match the intersection configurations recommended in the TIS and that tThe traffic signals at the new Street ' $A$ ' intersection will need to be coordinated with the signals at the Dundas Street / Tremaine Road intersection.

## Region of Halton

update the design to accommodate it. In addition, if we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.

At Avenue Three, the traffic signal design includes the full layout since Zenon Drive is currently an active roadway north of Dundas Street West. If we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.

At Avenue Five, the traffic signal design includes the full layout at existing Valleyridge Drive, which is currently an active roadway south of Dundas Street West. If we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.
If we need to accommodate the differences in north leg lane configuration noted in the above Road Design comments, we will need to revisit and update the electrical design for traffic signals and illumination to match the geometric changes to ensure that the pole and associated underground structures are in the correct location. The temporary traffic signal layouts will need to be reviewed to confirm that the temporary pole location locations can accommodate any geometric changes required to the north approach legs at these three intersections.

The traffic signals at the new Street ' $A$ ' intersection will need to be coordinated with the signals at the Dundas Street / Tremaine Road intersection.

## Utilities

The addition of a third lane to the north approach leg at Avenue Three (Zenon Drive) will conflict with the position of an Oakville Hydro pole in the NW quadrant of the intersection. Please see screenshot below. Oakville Hydro has completed their design for Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design. Changes to the pole location should ideally be made now to avoid a future relocation.

WSP Response

WSP acknowledges these comments. For the reasons documented in Response \#16 in the WSP June 2021 Response Letter, WSP recommends providing a free channelized southbound right turn lane at the intersection with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street that tapers off.
WSP recommends that this improvement be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## いゆ|)


MEMO

| TO: | Robert Thun, Town of Oakville |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM: | WSP |
| SUBJECT: | Response to Comments on Interim Partial EIR/FSS September 2018 (24T-11001/1333) 7th Submission |
|  | Town of Oakville Development Engineering Comments (January 26, 2021) |


| Development Engineering | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Development Engineering Staff have reviewed the updated EIR/FSS document and wish to offer the <br> following comments. Staff have comments remaining that can be addressed through conditions of <br> draft plan approval. Staff also have minor comments remaining related to edits for the final EIR. For <br> the purposes of tracking comments over time, the status of items discussed over the various <br> submissions has been summarized using the original comment numbering systems for responses to <br> Development Engineering comments since May 11, 2012: |  |
| 3. Interim drainage conditions: Staff had concerns regarding interim drainage conditions as these will <br> likely be a "long term condition" scenario. Staff required further details to confirm SWMP design sizing <br> has considered the interim conditions appropriately, as well as details on impacts to flow regime, <br> timing of diversion and pond operations during these conditions. These comments have been <br> addressed. | These comments have been addressed. |
| Lands to the west have been included in sizing of Pond 2 for interim phases, but excluded for the |  |
| ultimate condition. Staff note continued coordination with the adjacent landowners is needed. |  |
| 5. Erosion Threshold: Staff expressed concerned with the analysis supporting the erosion threshold <br> work including the selection of sensitive reaches, as well as, methods to analyze the flow duration, <br> frequency and erosion index values. Staff required justification for the proposed changes to erosion <br> threshold exceedances. This work was peer reviewed. Staff are comfortable moving forward given that <br> a robust monitoring program will be required to support this work. | Noted with thanks. |

## Development Engineering

6. Location and Size of Stormwater Management Ponds: Staff requested further details for all ponds within the EIR catchments, including grading, cross sectional, stage/storage, drawdown, key elevations, outlet configurations, operations and tailwater analyses information. These details have been provided for all stages/phases of development, including figures and schematics. Continued coordination with the Region regarding drainage from Dundas Street is required.

For consistency and to avoid confusion through detailed design, please update the Regional flood elevation for Pond 3 on Figure D3 to be inserted into the Final EIR.
7. Grading Plan: Several iterations of updates for a fulsome grading plan have occurred that provide sufficient information to ensure block sizing is adequate. Access to the NHS has been demonstrated via the partial submission of December 2019. As discussed, a condition of draft plan approval will be to provide updated sections to demonstrate access between the two channels, $14 \mathrm{~W}-16$ and $14 \mathrm{~W}-22$ to the
satisfaction of the town prior to site alteration.
See comment 15 below regarding concern that grading is shown within the transit way along 14W-21.
8. Storm Drainage Plan Details: Staff requested updates to the proposed storm drainage plan, as well as additional details regarding the proposed infiltration trenches for all phases of development. These details have been provided. With respect to the holdout property just upstream of FM-D3, a binding agreement with the current owner was provided by Quadreal.
9. SWM Plan Components: Staff requested further details on proposed infiltration galleries and supplemental system for $14 \mathrm{~W}-12 \mathrm{~A}$. These details were provided. As discussed, the subdivision agreement will speak to the infiltration systems and requirement to construct, operate and maintain. The agreement will also speak to the stormwater system to supplement $14 \mathrm{~W}-12 \mathrm{~A}$, including that all infrastructure associated with the system should be located within a town-owned block, or if possible within a right of way. These details should remain part of the letter of commitment to carry through to detailed design throughout the phasing plan
16. Monitoring Program: A detailed monitoring program will be required at the engineering design stage and should be prepared in accordance with "The Town of Oakville Stormwater Monitoring Program" for ponds located in North Oakville.
17. Trails Impact Assessment: Staff appreciate the updated drawings and cross-sections that provide details on the implementation of infiltration swales and grading requirements adjacent to trails.

WSP Response
The Regional Flood Elevation in Figure D3 for Pond 3 has been updated and included in this submission.

Noted. We will demonstrate access between the two channels prior to site alteration.

This comment has been addressed.

This comment has been addressed. The relevant requirements will be included in the subdivision agreement.

Noted. A detailed monitoring program will be provided at the engineering design stage.

Figure 3.3 has been updated and is included in this submission.

WSP Response
Figure 3.3 of the EIR/FSS requires updating to reflect the revised trail system so that it matches that shown on the grading plan.
19. Thermal Mitigation: The proposed SWMPs will have deeper permanent pools as per direction from MNRF. Thermal trenches at pond outlets were discussed, however they would require on-going maintenance within the valley along a reach that is considered red side dace habitat.

As discussed, a condition of draft plan approval will be that opportunities to enhance thermal mitigation be considered through detailed design.
21. Road Network and Servicing: Comments related to the road network and servicing were provided from Development Engineering under separate cover.

Confirmation is needed to confirm these comments have been addressed.
13. Viability of Proposed Block P1: The frontage for this block will be at minimum 15 m .
22. Commitment Table: A section has been included within the EIR that provides a commitment table summary. This summary includes conditions and items to work through during detailed design and provides guidance of next steps through detailed design to implementation. The summary tables for the stormwater plan (infiltration trenches, supplement system to $14 \mathrm{~W}-12 \mathrm{~A}$ and ponds) is part of this section. The commitment table was provided in the sixth submission.
24. 407 Corridor Drainage: The proposed location of the corridor for $14 \mathrm{~W}-21$ has been shifted south of the transit way corridor. However, minor grading is shown within the transit way in this area.

A revised draft plan is required that removes any grading proposed within the transit way corridor. A condition of draft plan approval will be that further details of the design for $14 \mathrm{~W}-21$ can be considered through detailed design.
25. NEW- Draft Plan: The dimensions shown on the draft plan to describe the width of Open Space/NHS Blocks 12 and 13 at Avenue Three are conflicting. A revised draft plan is required to properly label these dimensions.
26. NEW- CH Comment 76 (Schreiner:Thun, January 22, 2021): Staff are in agreement that the proposed NHS Blocks should be able to accommodate the additional flows from the larger Highway 407 Transitway.

Additional thermal mitigation measures will be considered through detailed design.

Our understanding is that these comments have been addressed.

The frontage of Block P1 is greater than 15m.

Noted.

There is no grading proposed in the 407 transitway corridor. The draft plan has been revised accordingly.

A dimension has been added to the Draft Plan to provide clarity to the width of the Open Space/NHS Blocks.

The Stormwater Management Plan and model will be updated through detailed design of Phase 1 and as the project progresses through each phase of development.

| Development Engineering | WSP Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| As such, a condition of draft plan approval will be that the GAWSER model and Stormwater <br> Management Plan be updated to reflect these changes through detailed design of Phase 1. Updates <br> to the GAWSER model and revisiting of the Stormwater Management Plan are required as needed, <br> through each phase of development. |  |
| 27. Staff request that the updates noted above be made for the final EIR/FSS (updates can be inserted). <br> Staff request a consolidated response table for all comments for our records. Items that have been <br> noted to be dealt with through detailed design will be addressed through draft plan conditions. | We have provided the updated Draft Plan and <br> components of the EIR/FSS with this submission. |
| Via Email: With respect to the surface water compensation to support 14W-12A (the hook), a <br> prescriptive plan has been provided within the commitment table for drainage areas needed to support <br> the system for various phases. While the block required to contain the infrastructure has been shown <br> on the stormwater figures, it has not been included on the draft plan. Recognizing that it is unknown <br> how the larger blocks will be subdivided into lots, the town-owned block needs to be shown with <br> minimum 6 m width on the draft plan as per the stormwater figures, for red line revision after with the <br> note "schematically shown subject to land division process". Please adjust the draft plan. | As per the email from Kristina Parker on October 4, <br> 2021, the Town has not requested the addition of a <br> block for this infrastructure. We have therefore not <br> updated the Draft Plan to include this block and this <br> will be addressed through a holding provision. |

QUADREAL PROPERTY GROUP
407 WEST EMPLOYEMENT AREA, LAZY PAT FARMS
TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY - RESPONSE TO COMMENTS


June 30, 2021

QuadReal Property Group
Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street, Suite 4900
P.O Box 373

CC Postal Station
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1G2

## Attention: Michael Reel, Vice President, Investment Management

Subject: Transportation Impact Study - Response to Comments - Lazy Pat Farms Property (3269 and 3271 Dundas Street West)

Dear Mr. Reel,
In August 2020, WSP submitted a Transportation Impact Study Update related to the proposed development located north of Dundas Street West and mid-block between Bronte Road and Tremaine Road at 3269 and 3271 Dundas Street West, in the Town of Oakville.
Following the submission, Halton Region provided comments on the study in a letter dated January 13, 2021 and in an e-mail dated April 19, 2021 (see Appendix A). WSP provided the Region with some additional details associated with the comments and asked for clarifications on several comments before proceeding with preparing this response letter. The Region provided clarifications via e-mail on April 9, 2021, June 3, 2021 and June 7, 2021 (see Appendix B).
Halton Region transportation related comments and WSP responses are provided below.
The responses to transportation comments are also provided in a separate response matrix prepared by WSP Planning, Landscape Architecture and Urban Design (PLAUD) where responses are provided to comments from all disciplines.

## JANUARY 13, 2021 COMMENTS

## Comment \#1:

Section 173(8) of the ROP states that the Region and the Local municipalities will work together to control access to Arterial Roads in accordance with Council adopted access 10 management policies. On Map 3 of the ROP, Regional Road 5(Dundas Street) is defined as Major Arterial road.
In considering development applications, the ROP further requires that the proponent for any development considered to have a transportation impact prepare a detailed transportation study to assess the impact of the proposal and to recommend necessary improvements is required. In addition, the ROP provides direction to restrict access to Major Arterial Roads, and require land dedication for road widening and daylight triangle purposes as defined by the ROP. The following comments are provided in relation to the materials provided as part of the above noted development resubmission.

WSP Response \#1:
Acknowledged.
Comment \#2:
The updated timing of Halton's capital works, is as follows (all timing subject to change):
a) Dundas Street Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Tremaine Road to Bronte Road - Q2 2022 to Q4 2024
b) Dundas Street Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Tremaine Road to Appleby Line - Q3 2020 to Q3 2023
c) Bronte Road Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Speers Road to Derry Road - 2025 to 2027
d) William Halton Parkway - 2 to 4 Lanes Widening from Old Bronte Road to Hospital Gate - Q2 2023 to Q4 2023
e) Tremaine Road - 2 to 4 lane widening from Dundas Street to Lower Base Line - start of construction 2024

WSP Response \#2:
a) Acknowledged.
b) Acknowledged.
c) Acknowledged.
d) Acknowledged.
e) Acknowledged.

## Comment \#3:

Any lands within 25 metres of the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) that are part of the subject property shall be gratuitously dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The applicant is to provide confirmation that the proposed widening blocks on the plan would achieve the Region's road widening requirements (including those set out in the Dundas Street EA and as part of the Dundas Street widening project).

WSP Response \#3:
A figure is provided in Appendix C, which shows that the property line is 27 m from the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5). The proposed road widening for Dundas Street as provided by the Region is shown as the proposed property line for the subject property.

The property line is beyond the 25 m from the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5). Therefore, the proposed property line achieves the Region's road widening requirements - the Dundas Street EA requirements and the Official Plan / Transportation Master Plan requirements.

## Comment \#4:

Daylight triangles measuring 15 metres along Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) and 15 metres along Street "Avenue Two" shall be gratuitously dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The daylight triangle on the most current draft plan (May 2020) appears to be insufficient in size. The owner shall submit a revised plan that indicates the correct size of daylight triangle (and demonstrate with a dimensioned drawing that the above-noted requirement is achieved).
In addition, the Region's jurisdiction at an intersection extends to the end of the daylight triangle. As such the road widening block (Block 22) and extent of Avenue Two need to be revised to reflect this as follows:


WSP Response \#4:
Due to the intersection angle of 84 degrees the daylight triangle has been revised to 16.8 m by 15.0 m along the proposed streetline for Avenue 2 and the proposed road widening for Dundas Street as shown in Appendix C. The hypotenuse of the daylight triangle is 21.2 m . Based on Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide intersection angles should be between 70 and 110 degrees. The proposed intersection angle of 84 degrees is acceptable.

## Comment \#5:

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street Corridor Improvements Brant Street (Regional Road 18) to Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study/Environmental Study Report, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.

WSP Response \#5:
Acknowledged.

## Comment \#6:

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street from Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) to Appleby Line (Regional Road 20) Detailed Design Project, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.
The plan of subdivision has been circulated to the Project Team (Project Manager, Jennifer Trimble, Public Works) for the Dundas Street widening/reconstruction project for review and comment. They will advise with regard to any additional requirements or impacts (e.g. additional right-of-way, easements, swm impacts). Additional comments will be provided once received.
WSP Response \#6:
Acknowledged. Additional comments were provided on April 19, 2021. The comments and responses are provided towards the end of this letter (comment 11 onwards).

## Comment \#7:

All lands to be dedicated to Halton Region shall be dedicated with clear title (free and clear of encumbrances) and a Certificate of title shall be provided, in a form satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services or their designate.
Any proposed signage, plantings etc., for the site must be placed outside of the new Regional right-of-way (on private property).
The location of the future intersection to Dundas Street must be in accordance with the approved North Oakville West Secondary Plan.

## WSP Response \#7:

Acknowledged.
Comment \#8:
The Transportation Impact Study (TIS) by WSP dated August 4, 2020, submitted as part of this application review, has been sent out for peer review. The study has been reviewed by CIMA Canada Inc. and comments are provided below:
a) Provide detailed 15-minute TMCs breakdowns and use the same PHF across all existing scenarios;
b) Provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development;
c) State potential implications if the Burnhamthorpe extension is not completed by 2025 through the Bentall site;
d) Provide raw TTS data outputs in the Appendices;
e) Adjust background growth rates using 3.0 percent in the first year and 4.0 percent in all subsequent years as outlined in Section 6.2 and results must be updated accordingly;
f) Provide alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road and Dundas Street West;
g) Conduct a SimTraffic analysis to assess the 95th percentile queues and recommend the appropriate storage capacity based on the SimTraffic analysis.

WSP Response \#8:
a) The detailed 15 -minute TMC are provided in Appendix D.

WSP calculated the peak hour factor for the AM and PM peak hours for each intersection and used the consistent peak hour factor for existing conditions.

The exception is Dundas Street at Tremaine Road in the PM peak hour where the overall intersection PHF was 0.95 . The peak hour factor for the east approach was calculated to be 0.97 and this peak hour factor was applied to the east approach of the intersection as part of the calibration. As shown in Table 4-2 of the report, the resulting westbound through V/C was 0.99 for the calibrated Synchro model was 0.99 . If the overall PHF of 0.95 was used the V/C for the westbound through would increase to 1.01 as shown in Appendix E.
WSP acknowledges that this adjustment to the PHF should have been documented on page 22 of the report.
b) The proposed Phase 2 (2030) lane configuration is provided in Figure 5-2 of the report. The proposed Phase 2A (2030) lane configuration is provided in Figure 5-3 of the report. The estimate $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths and recommended storage lanes are provided in the response to comment 8 g ) in this letter.
The intersection improvements, responsibility and the proposed development cost share percentage for each intersection improvement is provided in Appendix F. The cost share percentage for the proposed development was calculated by determining the site traffic percentage relative to the total additional traffic at the intersections from background developments and growth.
In general, the Region would be responsible for the Tremaine Road and Bronte Road widening for which a future EA will be completed. The Region would also be responsible for the Dundas Street widening for which the EA was completed and is currently in the design phase. WSP recommends that the implementation of improvements along Dundas Street West and roadways connecting to Dundas Street West be completed through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

The remaining intersections would be developed as part of the North Oakville West Secondary Plan roadway network.
c) WSP analysis (Figure 5-1) assumed that Burnhamthorpe Road would be constructed between Avenue Two and Avenue 3 by 2025. All site traffic (Figure 5-4 and Figure 5-5) was assigned to Avenue Two. Should Burnhamthorpe Road not be constructed through Bentall lands, Blocks C4-1, C5-1, G5-2 and G51 (see Figure 3-1) would not have access to the external roadways unless Avenue 3 is constructed.
d) The 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data associated with mode split in the Town of Oakville referenced in Section 5.3.4 on page 31 and associated with trip distribution referenced in Section 5.4 on page 34 is provided in Appendix G.
e) As documented in Section 6.2 a through traffic growth rate of 3.0 percent per annum (linear) was applied to Tremaine Road as directed by Region staff, between 2020 and 2021. Subsequent to 2021, a growth rate of 4.0 percent per annum (linear) was applied to Tremaine Road as directed by Region staff. The traffic growth along Tremaine Road was distributed along Dundas Street West based on the existing trip distribution at the study intersection.
Background growth rates were correctly applied as documented in Section 6.2 and figures 6-5 to 6-8. For additional clarifications of figures 6-5 to 6-6, as part of this response WSP prepared the figures in Appendix H to show how traffic growth was derived between 2020 and 2021, 2021 to 2025.
Since the growth rates were correctly applied, it is our opinion that the analysis does not require to be updated.
f) The alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road are:

- Appleby Line
- Tremaine Road
- Third Line
- Sixth Line

The alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Dundas Street West are:

- Highway 407
- Upper Middle Road West
- Highway 403
g) WSP completed the SimTraffic analysis for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes for Phase 2 and Phase 2A.

The estimated average and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes for Phase 2 and recommended storage lengths are provided in Table 1. The estimated average and 95th percentile queues for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes for Phase 2A and recommended storage lengths are provided in Table 2. The SimTraffic reports are provided in Appendix I.

Table 1: Phase 2 (2030) Future Total Traffic Conditions, SimTraffic Queues \& Proposed Turn Storage Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | ${ }^{(1)}$ Proposed Storage Length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) |  |
| Tremaine Rd \& Avenue One | EBL |  |  | 1 | 4 | 10 |
|  | WBL | 5 | 14 | 91 | 179 | 180 |
|  | NBL | 11 | 23 | 11 | 25 | 30 |
|  | SBL | 8 | 19 | 11 | 54 | 60 |
| Avenue Two \& Avenue One | NBL | 1 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 20 |
| Avenue Three \& Avenue One | EBR | 3 | 11 | 10 | 27 | 30 |
|  | WBL | 5 | 27 | 19 | 34 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 36 | 58 | 11 | 23 | 60 |
|  | NBR | 13 | 25 | 3 | 9 | 30 |
| Avenue Five \& Avenue One | NBL | 9 | 19 | 4 | 12 | 20 |
| Bronte Road \& Avenue One | EBL | 13 | 28 | 64 | 154 | 160 |
|  | NBL | 78 | 100 | 25 | 42 | 100 |
|  | NBL | 76 | 96 | 33 | 60 | 100 |
|  | SBR | 49 | 81 | 8 | 16 | 90 |
| Tremaine Rd \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL |  |  | 2 | 7 | 10 |
|  | NBL | 15 | 31 | 4 | 15 | 40 |
|  | SBL | 7 | 17 | 16 | 58 | 60 |
| Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 12 | 24 | 4 | 12 | 30 |
|  | EBR | 2 | 8 | 6 | 17 | 20 |
|  | WBL | 10 | 22 | 19 | 35 | 40 |
|  | WBR | 14 | 29 | 8 | 18 | 30 |
|  | NBL | 9 | 21 | 7 | 16 | 30 |
|  | NBR | 4 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 20 |
|  | SBL | 9 | 19 | 27 | 45 | 50 |
|  | SBR | 2 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 20 |
| Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 0 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 20 |
|  | WBL | 10 | 23 | 11 | 23 | 30 |
|  | WBR | 10 | 39 | 1 | 5 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 8 | 18 | 12 | 23 | 30 |
|  | NBR | 7 | 19 | 11 | 21 | 30 |
|  | SBL | 5 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 40 |
| Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 13 | 26 | 9 | 33 | 40 |
|  | WBL | 8 | 22 | 15 | 31 | 40 |
|  | WBR | 11 | 31 | 7 | 21 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 11 | 23 | 6 | 15 | 30 |
|  | NBR | 7 | 15 | 13 | 26 | 30 |
|  | SBL | 10 | 22 | 38 | 64 | 70 |
|  | SBR | 5 | 12 | 10 | 18 | 20 |
| Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway | EBL | 25 | 46 | 63 | 107 | 110 |
|  | EBR | 14 | 32 | 143 | 217 | 220 |
|  | WBL | 24 | 60 | 68 | 100 | 110 |
|  | WBR | 19 | 41 | 63 | 101 | 110 |
|  | NBL | 56 | 81 | 15 | 30 | 90 |
|  | NBL | 60 | 89 | 18 | 32 | 90 |
|  | NBR | 6 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 20 |
|  | SBL | 52 | 78 | 75 | 184 | 190 |
|  | SBL | 63 | 109 | 172 | 310 | 310 |
|  | SBR | 65 | 107 | 15 | 66 | 110 |
| Avenue Two \& Street Four | WBL | 7 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 20 |
|  | NBR | 4 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 20 |
| Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd | EBL | 99 | 133 | 55 | 80 | 140 |
|  | EBL | 108 | 146 | 62 | 87 | 150 |
|  | WBR | 22 | 42 | 40 | 98 | 100 |
|  | SBL | 43 | 66 | 13 | 34 | 70 |
|  | SBL | 45 | 68 | 145 | 326 | 330 |
|  | SBR | 18 | 50 | 254 | 258 | 260 |

Table 1: Phase 2 (2030) Future Total Traffic Conditions, SimTraffic Queues \& Proposed Turn Storage Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | ${ }^{(1)}$ Proposed Storage Length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) |  |
| Dundas St W \& Avenue two | EBL | 17 | 30 | 19 | 36 | 40 |
|  | WBR | 9 | 21 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
|  | SBL | 5 | 14 | 31 | 50 | 60 |
|  | SBR | 3 | 9 | 30 | 53 | 60 |
| Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W | EBL | 19 | 37 | 15 | 30 | 40 |
|  | EBR | 12 | 24 | 6 | 15 | 30 |
|  | WBL | 10 | 22 | 17 | 31 | 40 |
|  | WBR | 18 | 33 | 16 | 38 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 19 | 36 | 46 | 68 | 70 |
|  | NBR | 24 | 46 | 10 | 28 | 50 |
|  | SBL | 22 | 43 | 66 | 80 | 80 |
|  | SBR | 2 | 8 | 24 | 51 | 60 |
| Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W | EBL | 25 | 69 | 8 | 19 | 70 |
|  | EBR | 14 | 65 | 4 | 12 | 70 |
|  | WBL | 6 | 14 | 7 | 17 | 20 |
|  | WBR | 28 | 68 | 9 | 29 | 70 |
|  | NBL | 22 | 39 | 13 | 25 | 40 |
|  | SBL | 5 | 14 | 32 | 55 | 60 |
|  | SBL | 9 | 20 | 46 | 69 | 70 |
| Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W | EBL | 132 | 196 | 89 | 157 | 200 |
|  | EBR | 50 | 102 | 79 | 135 | 140 |
|  | WBL | 67 | 147 | 150 | 227 | 230 |
|  | WBR | 89 | 104 | 82 | 117 | 120 |
|  | NBL | 44 | 81 | 169 | 255 | 260 |
|  | NBL | 250 | 322 | 209 | 313 | 330 |
|  | NBR | 25 | 59 | 103 | 178 | 180 |
|  | SBL | 26 | 48 | 192 | 264 | 270 |
|  | SBL | 29 | 83 | 214 | 308 | 310 |
|  | SBR | 46 | 128 | 50 | 119 | 130 |

Note: (1) - Proposed storage lengths are based on the 95th percentile queues, unless noted otherwise. The proposed storage lengths takes the maximum observed 95th percentile queue in the AM and PM peak hours, then rounded up to the nearest 10 metres.

Table 2: Phase 2A (2030) Future Total Traffic Conditions, SimTraffic Queues \& Proposed Turn Storage Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | ${ }^{(1)}$ Proposed Storage Length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) |  |
| Tremaine Rd \& Avenue One | EBL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 |
|  | WBL | 3 | 9 | 14 | 34 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 12 | 25 | 9 | 20 | 30 |
|  | SBL | 3 | 9 | 3 | 29 | 30 |
| Avenue Two \& Avenue One | NBL | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 10 |
| Avenue Three \& Avenue One | EBR | 12 | 20 | 58 | 91 | 100 |
|  | NBL | 97 | 110 | 26 | 44 | 110 |
| Avenue Five \& Avenue One | NBL | 12 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 20 |
| Bronte Road \& Avenue One | EBL | 7 | 18 | 42 | 68 | 70 |
|  | NBL | 34 | 53 | 10 | 22 | 60 |
|  | NBL | 36 | 54 | 8 | 19 | 60 |
|  | SBR | 13 | 25 | 4 | 11 | 30 |
| Tremaine Rd \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
|  | NBL | 15 | 34 | 4 | 19 | 40 |
|  | SBL | 13 | 26 | 8 | 40 | 40 |
| Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 15 | 29 | 5 | 13 | 30 |
|  | EBR | 2 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 20 |
|  | WBL | 12 | 25 | 28 | 52 | 60 |
|  | WBR | 28 | 49 | 12 | 25 | 50 |
|  | NBL | 9 | 20 | 6 | 15 | 30 |
|  | NBR | 8 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 20 |
|  | SBL | 15 | 27 | 54 | 83 | 90 |
|  | SBR | 2 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 20 |
| Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 27 | 49 | 14 | 50 | 50 |
|  | WBL | 11 | 28 | 30 | 54 | 60 |
|  | WBR | 62 | 88 | 16 | 53 | 90 |
|  | NBL | 14 | 28 | 18 | 35 | 40 |
|  | NBR | 15 | 25 | 16 | 31 | 40 |
|  | SBL | 12 | 23 | 113 | 172 | 180 |
| Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road | EBL | 57 | 84 | 21 | 62 | 90 |
|  | WBL | 23 | 71 | 21 | 42 | 80 |
|  | WBR | 56 | 119 | 9 | 38 | 120 |
|  | NBL | 19 | 35 | 9 | 21 | 40 |
|  | NBR | 7 | 16 | 18 | 34 | 40 |
|  | SBL | 8 | 19 | 71 | 101 | 110 |
|  | SBR | 11 | 25 | 32 | 64 | 70 |
| Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway | EBL | 33 | 58 | 89 | 140 | 150 |
|  | EBR | 7 | 32 | 182 | 234 | 240 |
|  | WBL | 36 | 88 | 38 | 69 | 90 |
|  | WBR | 38 | 116 | 66 | 104 | 120 |
|  | NBL | 92 | 116 | 35 | 55 | 120 |
|  | NBL | 95 | 120 | 37 | 57 | 120 |
|  | NBR | 8 | 20 | 2 | 8 | 20 |
|  | SBL | 106 | 159 | 24 | 41 | 160 |
|  | SBL | 135 | 201 | 29 | 46 | 210 |
|  | SBR | 79 | 86 | 9 | 25 | 90 |
| Avenue Two \& Street Four | WBL | 7 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 20 |
|  | NBR | 4 | 13 | 3 | 11 | 20 |
| Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd | EBL | 100 | 135 | 55 | 80 | 140 |
|  | EBL | 110 | 150 | 62 | 87 | 150 |
|  | WBR | 19 | 42 | 40 | 97 | 100 |
|  | SBL | 42 | 63 | 14 | 36 | 70 |
|  | SBL | 44 | 65 | 133 | 313 | 320 |
|  | SBR | 20 | 53 | 254 | 259 | 260 |

Table 2: Phase 2A (2030) Future Total Traffic Conditions, SimTraffic Queues \& Proposed Turn Storage Lengths

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | ${ }^{(1)}$ Proposed Storage Length (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) | Average Queue (m) | 95th Percentile Queues (m) |  |
| Dundas St W \& Avenue two | EBL | 17 | 32 | 19 | 37 | 40 |
|  | WBR | 10 | 23 | 10 | 21 | 30 |
|  | SBL | 5 | 13 | 35 | 54 | 60 |
|  | SBR | 3 | 9 | 29 | 50 | 50 |
| Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W | EBL | 19 | 35 | 9 | 20 | 40 |
|  | EBR | 11 | 22 | 5 | 13 | 30 |
|  | WBL | 11 | 24 | 15 | 28 | 30 |
|  | WBR | 21 | 39 | 12 | 28 | 40 |
|  | NBL | 21 | 40 | 46 | 68 | 70 |
|  | NBR | 24 | 44 | 8 | 21 | 50 |
|  | SBL | 19 | 38 | 64 | 81 | 90 |
|  | SBR | 2 | 8 | 18 | 39 | 40 |
| Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W | EBL | 41 | 111 | 8 | 18 | 120 |
|  | EBR | 15 | 70 | 4 | 12 | 70 |
|  | WBL | 5 | 15 | 8 | 17 | 20 |
|  | WBR | 14 | 27 | 9 | 26 | 30 |
|  | NBL | 22 | 44 | 13 | 26 | 50 |
|  | SBL | 6 | 17 | 30 | 54 | 60 |
|  | SBL | 9 | 20 | 44 | 66 | 70 |
| Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W | EBL | 149 | 196 | 83 | 158 | 200 |
|  | EBR | 49 | 94 | 76 | 127 | 130 |
|  | WBL | 71 | 158 | 125 | 211 | 220 |
|  | WBR | 89 | 100 | 81 | 117 | 120 |
|  | NBL | 42 | 73 | 137 | 237 | 240 |
|  | NBL | 247 | 331 | 224 | 325 | 340 |
|  | NBR | 24 | 49 | 108 | 180 | 180 |
|  | SBL | 26 | 46 | 182 | 258 | 260 |
|  | SBL | 26 | 43 | 189 | 273 | 280 |
|  | SBR | 18 | 44 | 50 | 118 | 120 |

Note: (1) - Proposed storage lengths are based on the 95th percentile queues, unless noted otherwise. The proposed storage lengths takes the maximum observed 95th percentile queue in the AM and PM peak hours, then rounded up to the nearest 10 metres.

## Comment \#9:

The owner will be required to enter into a Subdivision Agreement through the Development Project Manager) for the completion of required Works for all development associated road improvements along Dundas Street and/or at any new intersections. The owner is responsible for all costs associated with the improvements detailed as part of the works and must submit for approval detail design drawings and cost estimates.

WSP Response \#9:

## Acknowledged.

Comment \#10:
The Owner will be required to pay all applicable Regional Development Charges in accordance with the Region of Halton Development Charge By-law(s), as amended. Please visit our website at https://www.halton.ca/The-Region/Finance-andTransparency/Financing-Growth/Development-Charges-Front-ending-RecoveryPayment to obtain the most current Development Charge and Front-ending Recovery Payment information, which is subject to change.
WSP Response \#10:
Acknowledged.

## APRIL 19, 2021 COMMENTS

## Comment \#11:

The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Two do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will not accommodate the proposed right / right-left / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the right / right-left / left configuration. There may be additional property required to add a south bound turn lane. The west side of the north leg is quite close to the property line that we have on our property plans. If we can shift the north leg it may be able to accommodate the additional south bound lane.


WSP Response \#11:
WSP acknowledges Stantec's comment and recommends that the proposed configuration of the north leg from the TIS be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## Comment \#12:

The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Three do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will accommodate a through-right / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the right / through / left configuration. The available property seems to accommodate the extra SB Lane; however, there may be impacts on the bus bay at this location.


## WSP Response \#12:

WSP acknowledges Stantec's comment and recommends that the proposed configuration of the north leg from the TIS be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## Comment \#13:

The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Five do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will accommodate a through-right / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the through-right / left / left configuration. Impacts on the 3111 Dundas should be manageable if an additional SB lane is added to the north leg. It appears to be far enough east to accommodate an additional lane.


WSP Response \#13:
WSP acknowledges Stantec's comment and recommends that the proposed configuration of the north leg from the TIS be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## Comment \#14:

Based on the above assessment, we kindly request confirmation of the proposed intersection configurations so that we can ensure that we have made the correct provisions in the contract package to minimize the potential for throw away and/or rework. To adjust the north intersection legs to match the configurations shown in the Transportation Impact Study, we will need to make some geometric revisions and electrical modifications to adjust our current design plan to match.

WSP Response \#14:
WSP acknowledges Stantec's comment and confirms that we recommend the intersection configurations documented in the TIS.

## Comment \#15:

We also wanted to highlight that the Transportation Impact Study recommends changes to the boundary road network to improve 2025 traffic operations following the implementation of the Phase 1 development, including the following (See TIS, pg. 73 and pg. 93):

Dundas Street at Tremaine Road:

- Modify the existing permissive southbound right-turn movement to provide a free channelized right turn lane along with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street West that would taper off. Channelized southbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Tremaine Road with additional receiving lane at the west leg of the intersection - \$279,500


## Dundas Street at Bronte Road:

- Introduce a dual southbound left-turn movement. Conversion of the existing single southbound left-turn lane to double left-turn lanes at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Bronte Road - \$45,500

Introduce an exclusive westbound right-turn lane. Addition of an exclusive westbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Bronte Road - \$270,400.

Does the Region of Halton intend to adopt any of these recommendations? If so, does the Region of Halton intend to implement them as separate projects or is there any intention to include them in the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract?

WSP Response \#15:
WSP recommends that these recommendations be adopted and included as part of the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## Comment \#16:

The implementation of a channelized right turn movement at Tremaine Road would have implications to the current design plan (please see excerpts from the current new construction and pavement marking/signing plans for reference). Shifting and widening the alignment of the NW intersection radius to the west to accommodate a channelized refuge island would also shift the bus bay and realigned culvert C20 to the west and the addition of a WB receiving lane would shift these elements to the north including a longer Culvert C20. This may also trigger additional and/or revised permits from CH . Additional property would be required from the Evergreen development in the NW quadrant to allow for the incorporation of a channelized refuge island if the current horizontal alignment of Tremaine Road is maintained. There is also a large hydro pole located in the NW quadrant that would be impacted. Moving this hydro pole could prove problematic and very expensive. There is a large number of circuits and lines attached. This is also an area where Burlington and Oakville hydro transitions so there may be potential impacts on the SE corner of Dundas and Tremaine.


## WSP Response \#16:

As a sensitivity analysis, WSP modelled the intersection without the free channelized lane. The Synchro and Sim Traffic reports are provided in Appendix J.

It was found that in the PM peak period of both the Phase 2 and 2A scenarios, the intersection without the free channelized lane would operate significantly over capacity (overall intersection V/C ratio of 1.42 or higher). In fact, the southbound right lane is expected to experience significant delays ( +10 minutes) and be severely congested ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratios of 2.25 or higher). The average and 95 th percentile queues for the southbound approach is expected to extend beyond 250 metres, which will block the intersection of Burnhamthorpe Road and Tremaine Avenue.

The free channelized lane and additional receiving lane will provide the southbound right turn lane more capacity at the intersection. As shown in the Phase 2 and 2A models with the channelized lane, the intersection and all movements operate within capacity at acceptable levels of service. The southbound right movement is expected to
operate at $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ratios of 0.77 or less and experience 3 seconds delays or less during both the AM and PM peak periods. The expected queues on the southbound approach are still expected to be long and may extend past Burnhamthorpe Road and Tremaine Avenue, however the queues are slightly shorter with the channelized lane compared to without it.

Therefore, WSP recommends providing a free channelized southbound right turn lane at the intersection with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street that tapers off.

WSP recommends that this improvement be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

## Comment \#17:

## Electrical Design

Moon Matz has completed the electrical design for Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design. The design includes the north leg of the proposed intersections for Avenues Two, Three, and Five based on the road design noted above.

At Avenue Two, the traffic signal design consists of underground provisions for future traffic signals only. If the signal design is required now, we would need to update the design to accommodate it. In addition, if we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.

At Avenue Three, the traffic signal design includes the full layout since Zenon Drive is currently an active roadway north of Dundas Street West. If we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.
At Avenue Five, the traffic signal design includes the full layout at existing Valleyridge Drive, which is currently an active roadway south of Dundas Street West. If we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.
If we need to accommodate the differences in north leg lane configuration noted in the above Road Design comments, we will need to revisit and update the electrical design for traffic signals and illumination to match the geometric changes to ensure that the pole and associated underground structures are in the correct location. The temporary traffic signal layouts will need to be reviewed to confirm that the temporary pole location locations can accommodate any geometric changes required to the north approach legs at these three intersections.
The traffic signals at the new Street 'A' intersection will need to be coordinated with the signals at the Dundas Street / Tremaine Road intersection.
WSP Response \#17:
WSP acknowledges these comments that the electrical design for traffic signals and illumination will need to be revised to match the intersection configurations recommended in the TIS and that the traffic signals at the new Street 'A' intersection will need to be coordinated with the signals at the Dundas Street / Tremaine Road intersection.

## Comment \#18:

## Utilities

The addition of a third lane to the north approach leg at Avenue Three (Zenon Drive) will conflict with the position of an Oakville Hydro pole in the NW quadrant of the intersection. Please see screenshot below. Oakville Hydro has completed their design for Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design. Changes to the pole location should ideally be made now to avoid a future relocation.


The addition of a channelized right turn lane to the north approach leg at the Dundas Street West / Tremaine Road intersection would impact a large hydro pole located in the NW quadrant that may require relocation.

WSP Response \#18:
WSP acknowledges these comments. For the reasons documented in Response \#16, WSP recommends providing a free channelized southbound right turn lane at the intersection with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street that tapers off.

WSP recommends that this improvement be implemented through the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract.

We trust that the responses provided in this letter addresses the comments. Should you have any questions about the content of this letter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Kind regards,


David Lukezic, M.Eng., LEL, RPP
Project Manager
Transportation Planning and Science


Brittany Chung, MASc
Designer EIT
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## APPENDIX

January 13, 2021
Legislative and Planning Services Halton Region 1151 Bronte Road Oakville, ON, L6M 3L1
Fax: (905) 825-8822

Robert Thun, Senior Planner
Town of Oakville, Planning Services Department 1225 Trafalgar Rd
Oakville, ON L6H 0H3

| Re: | Region of Halton Comments $-7^{\text {th }}$ Submission |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed Draft Plan of Subdivision and Application to Amend the Zoning |  |
| By-law |  |
| Part of Lots 33 and 34, Concession 11, NDS |  |
| File: 24T-11001/1333, Z.1333.01 |  |
| QuadReal Properties Group/bcIMC Corporation/Bentall |  |
| 3269, 3271 Dundas St. West |  |

Regional Staff has reviewed revised submission ( $7^{\text {th }}$ submission) for the above-noted application and provide the following comments. Our last comments were provided in a letter dated April 7, 2020.

The current submission includes the following documents:

- EIR/FSS Complete Report and Appendices, prepared by WSP, dated September 2020;
- Transportation Study Update, prepared by WSP, dated August 4, 2020, and
- $\quad$ Draft Plan of Subdivision, dated May 28, 2020.

The subject applications consist of a draft plan of subdivision for employment and service employment uses which also includes blocks for stormwater management, natural heritage system, park, transitway, road widening blocks and a zoning amendment application to rezone the lands from an Existing Development 'ED' zone to Light Employment 'LE', General Employment 'GE', Service Area 'SA', and other zone categories to implement stormwater management, natural heritage system and park purposes.

It appears the main changes over the previous version of the draft plan include:

- provision of a new Block at the north end of the plan to accommodate right-of-way for the future 407 Transitway with corresponding adjustments to the NHS blocks in the area;
- adjustments to the right-of-way width for Avenue Three in the vicinity of its intersection with the Burnhamthorpe Road Extension. With corresponding adjustments to the adjacent employment blocks
- $\quad$ Slight change in size to Stormwater Management Block 10


## Matters of Provincial \& Regional Interest:

## Provincial Policy Statement and Growth Plan:

Regional Staff has considered the applications in the context of the Provincial Policy Statement, 2020 (PPS) and 2020 Growth Plan (GP) and is of the opinion that these applications will assist in achieving the natural heritage, growth management and employment area policy directions of the Policy Statement and Growth Plan.

Regional Staff is also of the opinion that, subject to the comments contained herein, and once the technical comments identified in this letter have been addressed, the applications will generally be consistent with the PPS and conform to the GP.

## Region of Halton Official Plan:

The subject lands are designated as 'Urban Area' and 'Regional Natural Heritage System' within the 2009 Official Plan (ROP). The subject lands are also identified as forming part of the 'Employment Area - Overlay'. Dundas Street is designated a "Higher Order Transit Corridor" on Map 3: Functional Plan of Major Transportation Facilities. Dundas Street is also identified as an "Intensification Area", based on the policies of the ROP.

## Urban Area/Employment Area Policies

The policies of Urban Area designation support a range of uses and the development of vibrant and healthy mixed use communities which afford maximum choices for residence, work and leisure. Policy 76 states that the range of permitted uses and the creation of new lots in the Urban Area will be in accordance with Local Official Plans and Zoning By-laws. All development, however, shall be subject to the policies of this Plan.

The Employment Area policies provide for the planning, protection and development of Employment Areas for employment purposes. In addition, the ROP provides for promotion of intensification and increased densities of Employment Areas, where appropriate.

## Higher Order Transit/Intensification Policies:

Higher Order Transit corridors are outlined in support of Plan objectives to establish a balanced transportation system that reduces dependency on automobile use and provides for a safe, convenient, accessible, affordable and efficient public transit
system. Intensification Areas are a component of the overall urban structure and are identified as locations where intensification and mixed-uses are to be directed such that they develop into transit-supportive areas with integrated active transportation facilities. Accordingly, the Plan directs Area Municipalities to set out specific policies related to densities, active transportation, transit-supportive urban design and integration with higher order transit, among other matters. It also requires Area Municipalities to promote development densities that support existing or planned transit facilities. (Section 81.7-d).

## Regional Natural Heritage System:

Portions of the site are designated as being part of the Regional Natural Heritage system. Policy 116.3 states that within the North Oakville West Secondary Plan Area, the Regional Natural Heritage System will be delineated and implemented in accordance with the decision of the Ontario Municipal Board with respect to Town of Oakville Official Plan Amendment No. 289.

Conservation Halton (CH) staff provides environmental advisory services to the Region and Town in relation to the protection of certain natural heritage features and area and natural hazard land management.

The last comments provided by CH (March 30,2020) on this file indicated that there are several issues remaining that must be addressed prior to draft plan approval. As the Region is relying on CH for the review of technical matters related to the Natural Heritage System, within the North Oakville West Secondary Plan, the Region requires confirmation that CH is satisfied with respect to the implementation of the Natural Heritage policies of the Region OP and the North Oakville West Secondary Plan prior to providing draft plan conditions and recommending approval.

## Site Contamination:

Section 147(17) of the ROP requires that prior to the Region considering any development application proposals, the proponent must identify whether there is any potential for soils on the site to be contaminated. Regional Staff note that the Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) that was provided as part of a previous submission is out of date and will require updating based upon O.reg. 153/04 standards and requirements. Further, among other recommendations, it recommends a limited Phase 2 ESA be undertaken.

Once the Region is ready to issue conditions on this plan, a condition will be imposed to require the submission of a satisfactory Phase 1 and 2 ESA (prior to any site alteration) and to ensure the recommendations are implemented.

## Archaeological Resources:

Our previous comments dated April 7, 2020 indicated that our concerns related to archaeological resources had been addressed.

## Summary:

Subject to addressing comments by CH related to impacts on the Regional Natural Heritage System and addressing the other technical comments provided in this letter such as those made with respect to environmental site contamination, transportation and servicing, the proposed plan would conform to the policies of the ROP.

## Other Matters of Regional Interest:

## Water/Wastewater Servicing:

A revised Functional Servicing Study (FSS) was submitted as part of the Environmental Implementation Report (EIR) prepared by MMM Group and WSP (dated September 2020). This report was revised numerous times and these submissions can be summarized as follows:
May 2011
December 2012
November 2014
June 2017
August 2018 (Addendum)
May 2019
September 2020
These servicing comments are based on the review of the latest FSS. Please note that the FSS addresses the servicing of lands well outside the limits of this development and reiterates the concepts and methodology used to service the entire secondary plan area as noted in the Area Servicing Plan (ASP).

The servicing for the western portion of the North Oakville West Secondary Plan (NOWSP) is addressed in the 407 West Employment Area - Area Servicing Plan. The ASP provides the overall servicing plan for the ultimate servicing and infrastructure requirements for this part of the NOWSP.

The existing services in the area of the site are:

## Watermain:

- A 1200 mm dia. trunk watermain is located on Dundas Street West adjacent to the property.

Sanitary Sewer:

- There are no existing sanitary sewers located adjacent to the property.


## Water Servicing:

The FSS proposes to service the development by providing a watermain network to be located within the proposed road network for the subdivision. As part of this network, a 600 mm diameter trunk watermain is proposed on Avenue One and local watermains are to be provided on Avenue Two and Avenue Three. This network will be connected to the existing external 1200 mm diameter trunk watermain on Dundas Street where Avenue Two and Avenue Three intersect Dundas Street. This proposed water system is in accordance with the ASP.

Please note that the existing 1200 mm diameter trunk watermain is located in the southerly boulevard of Dundas Street. When this watermain was constructed, no crossing stubs/connections were provided for or constructed across Dundas Street at the future intersections of Avenue Two and Avenue Three. Valve chambers were provided in the general vicinity of these intersections in order to accommodate these future connections. The developer should consider funding these watermain crossings, and have the Region design, and construct the crossings as part of the Region's Dundas Street road construction project. The applicant may wish to discuss options in this regard with our project team for the reconstruction project.

The proposed 600mm diameter watermain on Avenue One is a DC reimbursable project (ID \#5627). The project is not currently included in a current Regional budget.

Should the funding not be available at the time of proceeding with the design and construction of this section of watermain, then the developer will have to front-end the funding of the design and construction of the watermain and be reimbursed in the future once funding becomes available in a Regional budget.

The looping of the watermain system within this subdivision is contingent on watermains that are to be located on the adjacent lands that are both east and west of this subdivision. Avenue Two is located on both the lands of this subdivision and also on the adjacent lands to the west. Avenue Three is located on the lands of this subdivision and also the adjacent lands to the east. The FSS does not address how the watermain system/loop is to be completed by providing the external connections on these adjoining
lands. The timing of the development of the adjacent lands could also be problematic in terms of providing proper watermain looping since it could result in temporary looping connections within the subdivision and/or possible long term temporary dead end watermains.

An external local watermain will be required to be constructed within the north boulevard of Dundas Street in order to service the blocks fronting on this street and also to provide fire protection for these blocks.

The subdivision is located within the Zone 3 pressure zone. The FSS notes that the proposed water system was modeled using the Region's existing hydraulic model. The results show that there are parts of the subdivision that will be located in the lower end of the pressure range in this zone. Consideration may have to be given to providing pressure booster units in the buildings that are located on the lower end of the pressure range.

## Water Pressure Zone Realignment:

The Region is currently undergoing a program to realign the water pressure zones in the Region. As part of this program, it is proposed to implement both an interim zone condition and an ultimate zone condition within the Region's water distribution system. The timing of implementing the new pressure zone boundaries may take several years to complete. It is possible that the proposed development may be impacted by the changes to the pressure zones in both the interim and ultimate conditions depending on the timing of the implementation of these changes. Please note that minimum service levels for both water pressure and flow will be maintained throughout the Region during this process. Buildings and units within the development may undergo changes to their water pressure when the zones are changed over from the existing zone to the interim zone and also when the interim zone is changed to the ultimate zone.

The Region requires that the FSS be revised prior to the engineering drawing submission to include water modelling of the development that addresses watermain sizing, flows, pressures, dead-end watermains and the proposed water pressure zone realignment.

## Wastewater Servicing:

The FSS notes that the wastewater servicing of this subdivision will be by an internal gravity sewer system that will convey flows to a proposed Regional trunk sanitary sewer that is to be located on Dundas Street West. The flows from this trunk sewer flow eastward to the existing trunk sewer located on Colonel William Parkway.

The Dundas Street sanitary trunk sewer is a development charges project (ID \#6911) and it is currently being designed as part of the Region's Dundas Street road reconstruction project. Funding for the construction of this sewer still has to be secured in a Regional budget. The trunk sewer is required to service this development. The status of the funding may impact the timing of this development. In order to have the trunk sewer designed and constructed in advance of the Region funding being available the developer may have to accelerate this project and front end the financing of this project. The developer would then be reimbursed for the cost of this sewer once Regional funding became available. The timing of the construction of the trunk sewer in relation to the timing of the proposed development could be a factor in the development proceeding.

## Phasing of the Development:

The FSS notes that this development will be phased in Phase 1A, Phase 1B and Phase 2. Due to this, the servicing of the development will also be phased. Further, it appears that this draft plan of subdivision will proceed prior to the adjacent lands being developed. This is problematic from a servicing perspective since full road connections throughout the entire secondary plan area will not occur at the same time. This will impact the watermain system in the area since it will result in temporary dead-end watermains. The FSS notes that temporary and/or interim watermains may be required for looping. Servicing Plans for the different phases were included in the FSS. The interim watermain proposed can be summarized as follows:

Phase 1A:

- A local watermain is proposed on Avenue Two and a short section of watermain is proposed on Burnhamthorpe Road.
- A temporary watermain is proposed through Block 3 and Block 1 and connects to the existing 1200 mm dia. watermain on Dundas Street. This main would eventually be decommissioned and abandoned. This watermain would have to be in a temporary Regional easement.
- $\quad$ This results in a dead-end watermain on Burnhamthorpe Road.

Phase 1B:

- A local watermain would be constructed on the remaining portion of Burnhamthorpe Road that is within the limits of this subdivision. A small portion of local watermain would also be constructed on Avenue Three.
- A temporary local watermain would be constructed southward along the eastern limit of the property and connect to the existing 1200mm dia. watermain on Dundas Street. This watermain would have to be in a temporary Regional easement.
- The temporary watermain that was constructed in Phase 1A within Blocks 3 and 1 would be decommissioned, removed and/or abandoned in this phase.

Phase 2:

- A local watermain would be constructed on a portion of Avenue Three that is north of Burnhamthorpe Road.
- A 600 mm dia. trunk watermain would be constructed on the eastern portion of Avenue One.
- A temporary local watermain would be constructed along the eastern limit of the property just south of Avenue One. This watermain would have to be in a temporary Regional easement.
- $\quad$ The temporary watermain that was constructed in Phase 1B along the eastern limit would be decommissioned, removed and/or abandoned in this phase.
- $\quad$ This results in a dead-end watermain on Avenue One.
- A temporary watermain is proposed through Block 7 and would connect to what would be then an existing local watermain on Burnhamthorpe Road. This main would eventually be decommissioned and abandoned. This watermain would have to be in a temporary Regional easement.

The FSS provided no further phases that showed when and how the remaining portion of the proposed 600 mm dia. trunk watermain would be constructed and when the remaining temporary watermains constructed in Phase 2 would be decommissioned.

The FSS notes that where temporary looping cannot be provided that a regular flushing program will be required at these dead ends. Temporary flushing hydrants would have to be installed at these dead-ends. This is problematic to the Region since these deadends, although temporary, may be in place for long extended periods. The FSS did not address how such a flushing program would be funded and what forces would provide this flushing service. Further, the assumption of the subdivision by the Region could be affected by these temporary dead-ends and hydrants since the Region would not assume these works until the proper and ultimate watermain system is installed according to the ASP.

The FSS is required to be revised to address the temporary looping, dead-end watermains and to demonstrate how the ultimate watermain system is to be constructed.

## Reconstruction of Dundas Street:

The Region is planning to reconstruct Dundas Street from Appleby Line to Bronte Road under Project PR-2671B/2672B. The project is currently under design; however, the scope of work for the reconstruction of Dundas Street does not include the design of the local watermain crossings required along Dundas Street. There is a possibility that the trunk sanitary sewer may be added to the scope of work for this project. If the funding for the trunk sewer is delayed then consideration should be given to having the developers in the area provide the front-end financing for these projects in order that they can be included in scope of work for the road reconstruction project.

The local watermain crossings required at Avenue Two and Avenue Three are considered local watermains and are not eligible as DC infrastructure. For these crossings to be included in the scope of work for the reconstruction of Dundas Street, the developer would have to provide the funding to the Region and the Region would construct the crossings on their behalf.

## Existing Private Water Well \& Septic System Decommissioning:

The FSS did not indicate if there where private wells and/or septic systems located on the property from former use of these lands. Any existing private wells and/or septic systems are to be decommissioned prior to construction commencing on the site. Both existing wells and septic systems, if present on the site are to be decommissioned and removed from the site according to the proper MOE guidelines.

## Storm Water Drainage on Regional Roads:

Dundas Street West is adjacent to this subdivision and it is slated for reconstruction and urbanization by the Region. Section 7.8.2 of the FSS addresses storm drainage from the reconstructed Dundas Street being accommodated in SWM Pond 2 that is located in this subdivision and this pond is to be constructed in the first phase of the subdivision (Phase 1A). The FSS indicates that a small section 2.24 ha) of Dundas Street is proposed to drain to Pond 2 in the subdivision. This pond and some of the internal storm sewers in the subdivision will have to be designed to accommodate the storm water drainage from this section of roadway.

Please note that the Region previously had the EIR/FSS peered reviewed in regards to impacts of storm drainage from this development on Dundas Street. In particular, the Region retained MMM Group to review an interim EIR/FSS (date unknown) and they provided their comments to the Region in a memo dated on Dec 14/15. In this memo it was noted that there is a potential for parts of Dundas Street to be in an overtopping condition for a Regional Storm Event in the post development period. The location that this could occur is at Culvert FM-D2 using the existing culvert at this location. This culvert is slated for replacement and upsizing when Dundas Street is reconstructed which is to remove the overtopping issue.

The FSS is to be revised to address the potential overtopping issues on Dundas Street.

## Regional Transportation:

Section 173(8) of the ROP states that the Region and the Local municipalities will work together to control access to Arterial Roads in accordance with Council adopted access
management policies. On Map 3 of the ROP, Regional Road 5 (Dundas Street) is defined as Major Arterial road.

In considering development applications, the ROP further requires that the proponent for any development considered to have a transportation impact prepare a detailed transportation study to assess the impact of the proposal and to recommend necessary improvements is required. In addition, the ROP provides direction to restrict access to Major Arterial Roads, and require land dedication for road widening and daylight triangle purposes as defined by the ROP. The following comments are provided in relation to the materials provided as part of the above noted development resubmission.

Halton's Capital Implementation Plan (2018-2031):
The updated timing of Halton's capital works, is as follows (all timing subject to change):

- Dundas Street Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Tremaine Road to Bronte Road - Q2 2022 to Q4 2024
- Dundas Street Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Tremaine Road to Appleby Line - Q3 2020 to Q3 2023
- Bronte Road - Widening - 4 to 6 lanes from Speers Road to Derry Road -- 2025 to 2027
- William Halton Parkway - 2 to 4 Lanes Widening from Old Bronte Road to Hospital Gate -- Q2 2023 to Q4 2023
- William Halton Parkway -- 4 lanes from Third Line to Neyagawa Boulevard -- Q3 2020 to Q3 2023
- Tremaine Road -- 2 to 4 lane widening from Dundas Street to Lower Base Line -start of construction 2024


## Official Plan/Transportation Master Plan Right-of-Way Requirements:

Any lands within 25 metres of the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) that are part of the subject property shall be gratuitously dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The applicant is to provide confirmation that the proposed widening blocks on the plan would achieve the Region's road widening requirements (including those set out in the Dundas Street EA and as part of the Dundas Street widening project).

Daylight triangles measuring 15 metres along Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) and 15 metres along Street "Avenue Two" shall be gratuitously dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The daylight triangle on the most current draft plan (May 2020) appears to be insufficient in size. The owner shall submit a revised plan that indicates the
correct size of daylight triangle (and demonstrate with a dimensioned drawing that the above-noted requirement is achieved).

In addition, the Region's jurisdiction at an intersection extends to the end of the daylight triangle. As such the road widening block (Block 22) and extent of Avenue Two need to be revised to reflect this as follows:


## Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study/Environmental Study Report (Transportation Planning) Right-of-Way Requirements - Dundas Street:

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street Corridor Improvements Brant Street (Regional Road 18) to Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) Municipal Class Environmental Assessment
Study/Environmental Study Report, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.

## Detail Design Project (Engineering \& Construction) Right-of-Way Requirements Dundas Street:

Any additional lands that are part of the subject property and have been identified as required for the future widening and of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5), as identified in the Dundas Street from Bronte Road (Regional Road 25) to Appleby Line (Regional Road 20) Detailed Design Project, shall be dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.

The plan of subdivision has been circulated to the Project Team (Project Manager, Jennifer Trimble, Public Works) for the Dundas Street widening/reconstruction project for review and comment. They will advise with regard to any additional requirements or impacts (e.g. additional right-of-way, easements, swm impacts). Additional comments will be provided once received.

## Other Comments:

All lands to be dedicated to Halton Region shall be dedicated with clear title (free and clear of encumbrances) and a Certificate of title shall be provided, in a form satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services or their designate.

Any proposed signage, plantings etc., for the site must be placed outside of the new Regional right-of-way (on private property).

The location of the future intersection to Dundas Street must be in accordance with the approved North Oakville West Secondary Plan.

## Transportation Impact Study:

The Transportation Impact Study (TIS) by WSP dated August 4, 2020, submitted as part of this application review, has been sent out for peer review. The study has been reviewed by CIMA Canada Inc. and comments are provided below:

- Provide detailed 15-minute TMCs breakdowns and use the same PHF across all existing scenarios;
- Provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development;
- $\quad$ State potential implications if the Burnhamthorpe extension is not completed by 2025 through the Bentall site;
- Provide raw TTS data outputs in the Appendices;
- Adjust background growth rates using 3.0 percent in the first year and 4.0 percent in all subsequent years as outlined in Section 6.2 and results must be updated accordingly;
- $\quad$ Provide alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road and Dundas Street West;
- Conduct a SimTraffic analysis to assess the 95th percentile queues and recommend the appropriate storage capacity based on the SimTraffic analysis.


## Agreements/Permits:

The owner will be required to enter into a Subdivision Agreement through the Development Project Manager) for the completion of required Works for all development associated road improvements along Dundas Street and/or at any new intersections. The owner is responsible for all costs associated with the improvements detailed as part of the works and must submit for approval detail design drawings and cost estimates.

## Finance:

The Owner will be required to pay all applicable Regional Development Charges in accordance with the Region of Halton Development Charge By-law(s), as amended. Please visit our website at https://uww.halton.ca/The-Region/Finance-and-Transparency/Financing-Grouth/Development-Charges-Front-ending-RecoveryPayment to obtain the most current Development Charge and Front-ending Recovery Payment information, which is subject to change.

## Conclusion:

We require that the following matters be addressed before we are in a position to provide conditions of draft approval:

- Receipt of a satisfactory FSS. In particular, the FSS is required to be revised to address the temporary looping, dead-end watermains and to demonstrate how the ultimate watermain system is to be constructed. We are not in support of the dead-end watermains as proposed.
- Receipt of a satisfactory Transportation Impact Study.
- Confirmation from CH that the matters identified to be fulfilled in advanced of draft plan approval in correspondence dated March 30, 2020 have been satisfactorily resolved.
- That a revised draft plan of subdivision be submitted that correctly reflects the daylight and property dedication requirements and that the split between Region and Town jurisdiction at the Avenue Two/Dundas Street intersection is depicted as per the comments in this letter. Receipt of comments from Public Works in regard to the Dundas Street project is required in this regard.

It is recommended that the applicant not resubmit the draft plan until comments from our Capital Works group regarding the Dundas Street project are received in order that their comments can be incorporated into the plan/resubmission.

Finally, the owner may wish to engage in discussions with our Public Work Dundas Street capital project team regarding co-ordination/funding of works identified in this letter such as the Dundas Street local watermain crossings and the Dundas Street local watermain extension.

I trust these comments are of assistance to you. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at (905) 8256000, extension 7060.

Sincerely,

## Bernie Steiger, MCIP RPP

Senior Planner
c: Ron Mackenzie, Development Project Manager, Halton Region (via email) Matt Krusto, Transportation Coordinator, Halton Region (via email) Jennifer Trimble, Senior Project Manager, Halton Region (via email) Laura Schreiner, Conservation Halton (via email)
Rebecca Tannahill, WSP (by email)

## APPENDIX

## A-2 APRIL 19, 2021 COMMENTS

## APPENDIX

| From: | Tannahill, Rebecca |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, April 19, 2021 2:15 PM |
| To: | Lukezic, Dave; Williams, Alex |
| Cc: | Tyrrell, Chris |
| Subject: | FW: Quadreal -Region Capital Comments. 24T-11001_1333. Z.1333.01 |

Hi Dave \& Alex,
Just received this from Halton - please let me know if you'd like to discuss.
Thanks,
Rebecca

Rebecca Tannahill, MES PL., MCIP, RPP
Senior Planner, PLAUD
T 289-982-4378
C 416-402-1237
*New phone number

From: Steiger, Bernie [Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca](mailto:Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca)
Sent: Monday, April 19, 2021 2:08 PM
To: Robert Thun [robert.thun@oakville.ca](mailto:robert.thun@oakville.ca); Tannahill, Rebecca [Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com](mailto:Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com)
Cc: MacKenzie, Ronald [Ronald.MacKenzie@halton.ca](mailto:Ronald.MacKenzie@halton.ca); Krusto, Matt [Matt.Krusto@halton.ca](mailto:Matt.Krusto@halton.ca)
Subject: Quadreal -Region Capital Comments. 24T-11001_1333. Z.1333.01
Hi ,
In our letter of January 13, 2021, we advised that we were waiting on comments from our Capital Group and would forward these once received. We have now been provided these comments, the plans/reports were vetted by Capital's consultant (Stantec) on the Dundas Street road project.

We are forwarding the following comments as it relates to the TIS and transportation matters. WSP can review these and provide a response table; advising which they see as impacting the subject plan.
We are reviewing comments related to servicing, drainage and the EIR to see if any need to be forwarded to you.
I trust that this is of assistance.

Bernie
"All details for this proposed development will need to be coordinated as the Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design progresses. We have prepared the following comments that are specific to the coordination of the developer's design against the proposed road widening for Dundas Street phase 2:

## Road Design:

There have been several iterations of Transportation Impact Study completed related to this subject property / development including several peer reviews completed on behalf of the Town of Oakville and the Region of Halton. The
current Transportation Study Update was completed by WSP and dated August 4, 2020, which we understand has addressed all previous regional and municipal comments and has been completed using updated capital works timing.

Based on the Transportation Impact Study, Avenue Two will be constructed as part of Phase 1 (2025) while Avenue Three and Avenue Five would be constructed as Part of Phase 2 (2030). All three of these intersections have been included in the detail design plan for the Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design project. Stantec has not completed a review of the Transportation Impact Study as this has already been completed by the Region of Halton and Town of Oakville. The main purpose of our review is to ensure that the Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design project has incorporated the proposed intersection configurations based on the updated Transportation Impact Study.

The proposed lane configurations at the Avenue Two intersection are as follows:


The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Two do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will not accommodate the proposed right / right-left / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the right / right-left / left configuration. There may be additional property required to add a south bound turn lane. The west side of the north leg is quite close to the property line that we have on our property plans. If we can shift the north leg it may be able to accommodate the additional south bound lane.


The proposed lane configurations at the Avenue Three (Zenon Drive) intersection are as follows:


The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Three do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will accommodate a through-right / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the right / through / left configuration. The available property seems to accommodate the extra SB Lane; however, there may be impacts on the bus bay at this location.


The proposed lane configurations at the Avenue Five intersection are as follows:


The proposed lane configurations at Avenue Five do not match what has been proposed in the Transportation Impact Study. The throat for the north leg of the intersection will accommodate a through-right / left configuration and one NB lane. To match the Transportation Impact Study proposed configuration, we will have to add an additional SB lane at the north leg of the intersection to provide the through-right / left / left configuration. Impacts on the 3111 Dundas should be manageable if an additional SB lane is added to the north leg. It appears to be far enough east to accommodate an additional lane.


Based on the above assessment, we kindly request confirmation of the proposed intersection configurations so that we can ensure that we have made the correct provisions in the contract package to minimize the potential for throw away and/or rework. To adjust the north intersection legs to match the configurations shown in the Transportation Impact Study, we will need to make some geometric revisions and electrical modifications to adjust our current design plan to match.

We also wanted to highlight that the Transportation Impact Study recommends changes to the boundary road network to improve 2025 traffic operations following the implementation of the Phase 1 development, including the following (See TIS, pg. 73 and pg. 93):

- Dundas Street at Tremaine Road:
- Modify the existing permissive southbound right-turn movement to provide a free channelized right turn lane along with an additional receiving lane on Dundas Street West that would taper off. Channelized southbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Tremaine Road with additional receiving lane at the west leg of the intersection - $\$ 279,500$
- Dundas Street at Bronte Road:
- Introduce a dual southbound left-turn movement. Conversion of the existing single southbound left-turn lane to double left-turn lanes at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Bronte Road - \$45,500
- Introduce an exclusive westbound right-turn lane. Addition of an exclusive westbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Dundas Street West and Bronte Road - \$270,400

Does the Region of Halton intend to adopt any of these recommendations? If so, does the Region of Halton intend to implement them as separate projects or is there any intention to include them in the Dundas Street widening Phase 2 contract?

The implementation of a channelized right turn movement at Tremaine Road would have implications to the current design plan (please see excerpts from the current new construction and pavement marking/signing plans for reference). Shifting and widening the alignment of the NW intersection radius to the west to accommodate a channelized refuge island would also shift the bus bay and realigned culvert C20 to the west and the addition of a WB receiving lane would shift these elements to the north including a longer Culvert C20. This may also trigger additional and/or revised permits from CH . Additional property would be required from the Evergreen development in the NW quadrant to allow for the incorporation of a channelized refuge island if the current horizontal alignment of Tremaine Road is maintained. There
is also a large hydro pole located in the NW quadrant that would be impacted. Moving this hydro pole could prove problematic and very expensive. There is a large number of circuits and lines attached. This is also an area where Burlington and Oakville hydro transitions so there may be potential impacts on the SE corner of Dundas and Tremaine.


रEET (REG. RD. 5)



## Electrical Design

Moon Matz has completed the electrical design for Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design. The design includes the north leg of the proposed intersections for Avenues Two, Three, and Five based on the road design noted above.

At Avenue Two, the traffic signal design consists of underground provisions for future traffic signals only. If the signal design is required now, we would need to update the design to accommodate it. In addition, if we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.

At Avenue Three, the traffic signal design includes the full layout since Zenon Drive is currently an active roadway north of Dundas Street West. If we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.

At Avenue Five, the traffic signal design includes the full layout at existing Valleyridge Drive, which is currently an active roadway south of Dundas Street West. If we need to accommodate three SB lanes at the north approach leg, the electrical design will need to be updated.

If we need to accommodate the differences in north leg lane configuration noted in the above Road Design comments, we will need to revisit and update the electrical design for traffic signals and illumination to match the geometric changes to ensure that the pole and associated underground structures are in the correct location. The temporary traffic signal layouts will need to be reviewed to confirm that the temporary pole location locations can accommodate any geometric changes required to the north approach legs at these three intersections.

The traffic signals at the new Street ' $A$ ' intersection will need to be coordinated with the signals at the Dundas Street / Tremaine Road intersection.

## $\underline{\text { Utilities }}$

The addition of a third lane to the north approach leg at Avenue Three (Zenon Drive) will conflict with the position of an Oakville Hydro pole in the NW quadrant of the intersection. Please see screenshot below. Oakville Hydro has completed their design for Dundas Street Phase 2 Detail Design. Changes to the pole location should ideally be made now to avoid a future relocation.


The addition of a channelized right turn lane to the north approach leg at the Dundas Street West / Tremaine Road intersection would impact a large hydro pole located in the NW quadrant that may require relocation."

Bernie Steiger, MCIP, RPP
Acting Manager-South
Planning Services
Legislative \& Planning Services
Halton Region
905-825-6000, ext. 7060 | 1-866-442-5866


This message, including any attachments, is intended only for the person(s) named above and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any use, distribution, copying or disclosure by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone or e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission from us, including any attachments, without making a copy.
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| From: | Steiger, Bernie [Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca](mailto:Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, April 08, 2021 4:23 PM |
| To: | Tannahill, Rebecca |
| Cc: | Lukezic, Dave; Krusto, Matt |
| Subject: | RE: Quadreal Oakville - TIS Comments |

Hi Rebecca,
Please find below the comments from Matt.
I trust that this is of assistance.
Bernie

## Land Dedication:

Halton: Any lands within 25 metres of the centre line of the original right-of-way of Dundas Street (Regional Road 5) that are part of the subject property shall be gratuitously dedicated to the Regional Municipality of Halton for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements. The applicant is to provide confirmation that the proposed widening blocks on the plan would achieve the Region's road widening requirements (including those set out in the Dundas Street EA and as part of the Dundas Street widening project).

WSP: We are showing the proposed road widening for Dundas Street as provided by the Region that as the proposed property line for the subject property as shown in Attachment 4. The daylight triangle has been shown as 25 m by 25 m along the proposed streetline for Avenue 2 and the proposed road widening for Dundas Street as shown in Attachment 4.

Halton: There are no dimensions on attachment \#4. Also, if this is a plan based on the Dundas Street capital project, it still has to also show/account for the 25 m from centerline ( $\mathrm{OP} / \mathrm{TMP}$ requirement). The onus remains on the applicant to ensure to proper right-of-way is clearly defined. Also, the daylight triangles are $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$, from the approved land dedication line.

## TIS Information:

Halton: Provide detailed 15-minute TMCs breakdowns and use the same PHF across all existing scenarios;

WSP: The detailed 15-minute TMC are provided in Attachment 1.
WSP calculated the peak hour factor for the AM and PM peak hours for each intersection and used the consistent peak hour factor for existing conditions.

The exception is Dundas Street at Tremaine Road in the PM peak hour where the overall intersection PHF was 0.95 . The peak hour factor for the east approach was calculated to be 0.97 and this peak hour factor was applied to the east approach of the intersection as part of the calibration. As shown in Table 4-2 of the report, the resulting westbound through V/C was 0.99 for the calibrated Synchro model was 0.99 . If the overall PHF of 0.95 was used the V/C for the westbound through would increase to 1.01 as shown in Attachment 2.

WSP acknowledges that this adjustment to the PHF should have been documented on page 22 of the report.

Halton: Acceptable.

Halton: Provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development;

WSP: WSP will provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development.

Halton: Acceptable.

Halton: Provide raw TTS data outputs in the Appendices;
WSP: WSP will provide the TTS outputs as an Attachment
Halton: Acceptable.

Halton: Adjust background growth rates using 3.0 percent in the first year and 4.0 percent in all subsequent years as outlined in Section 6.2 and results must be updated accordingly;

WSP: As documented in Section 6.2 a through traffic growth rate of 3.0 percent per annum (linear) was applied to Tremaine Road as directed by Region staff, between 2020 and 2021. Subsequent to 2021, a growth rate of 4.0 percent per annum (linear) was applied to Tremaine Road as directed by Region staff. The traffic growth along Tremaine Road was distributed along Dundas Street West based on the existing trip distribution at the study intersection.

Background growth rates were correctly applied as documented in Section 6.2 and figures 6.5 to 6.8. For additional clarifications of figures 6-5 to 6-6, as part of this response WSP prepared the figures in Attachment 3 to show how traffic growth was derived between 2020 and 2021, 2021 to 2025.

Since the growth rates were correctly applied, it is our opinion that the analysis would not require to be updated.
Halton: Acceptable.

Halton: Conduct a SimTraffic analysis to assess the 95th percentile queues and recommend the appropriate storage capacity based on the SimTraffic analysis.

WSP: WSP will complete this analysis for the 2030 future total AM and PM conditions using the Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes.

Halton: Acceptable.

[^0]

This message, including any attachments, is intended only for the person(s) named above and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any use, distribution, copying or disclosure by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone or e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission from us, including any attachments, without making a copy.

From: Tannahill, Rebecca [mailto:Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com]
Sent: Friday, March 19, 2021 3:57 PM
To: Steiger, Bernie [Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca](mailto:Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca)
Cc: Lukezic, Dave [David.Lukezic@wsp.com](mailto:David.Lukezic@wsp.com)
Subject: Quadreal Oakville - TIS Comments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you are unsure or need assistance please contact the IT Service Desk.

Hi Bernie,
We are currently working on the resubmission, including the TIS. To try to ensure that this is the last resubmission, we would like to obtain input/agreement on some of the comments provided. In the attached table, the items highlighted in green are what we are looking for Regional sign off on before completing the TIS updates. Previously we have been asked to submit this through the planner on the file, for circulation to Regional transportation staff and their peer reviewer. If you would like to discuss, please let me know.

Thanks,
Rebecca
Rebecca Tannahill, MES PL., MCIP, RPP
Senior Planner, Planning, Landscape Architecture and Urban Design

T 289-982-4378
C 416-402-1237
*New phone number
destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/casl. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to caslcompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant («le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au www.wsp.com/lcap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages electroniques commerciaux.

| From: | Tannahill, Rebecca |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 03, 2021 4:39 PM |
| To: | Lukezic, Dave; Williams, Alex; Tyrrell, Chris |
| Subject: | FW: Quadreal Properties -24T-11001_1333. |

Please see below response from Halton on outstanding TIS comments

Rebecca Tannahill, MES PL., MCIP, RPP
Senior Planner, PLAUD
T 289-982-4378
C 416-402-1237
*New phone number

From: Steiger, Bernie [Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca](mailto:Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca)
Sent: Thursday, June 03, 2021 4:33 PM
To: Tannahill, Rebecca [Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com](mailto:Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com)
Cc: Krusto, Matt [Matt.Krusto@halton.ca](mailto:Matt.Krusto@halton.ca); Robert Thun [robert.thun@oakville.ca](mailto:robert.thun@oakville.ca); MacKenzie, Ronald [Ronald.MacKenzie@halton.ca](mailto:Ronald.MacKenzie@halton.ca)
Subject: RE: Quadreal Properties -24T-11001_1333.
Hi Rebecca,
Apologies for the delay, here are our further responses.

## Land Dedication (per your email below):

The plan that was last sent to us did not show the correct configuration for the daylight triangle, nor size. A $15 \times 15$ triangle, has a hypotenuse of about 22 metres, the one on the plan showed 20 . Also, the land shown as a widening block to go to the Region needs to go to the end of the triangle as per the sketch that was provided in our comments.

Also you should confirm to us that widening achieves our requirements as per our letter.

## TIS Clarification Questions:

The following comments are provided (in red).
From Comment Clarification matrix:
Provide distinction for which roadway improvement are to be built by the Region and those built as part of the proposed development;

WSP will provide distinction for which roadway improvement are those built as part of the proposed development.

Ok.

| Provide raw TTS data outputs in the Appendices; | WSP will provide the TTS outputs as an Attachment. |
| :--- | :--- |

Ok.

Conduct a SimTraffic analysis to assess the 95th percentile queues and recommend the appropriate storage capacity based on the SimTraffic analysis.

WSP will complete this analysis for the 2030 future total AM and Sensitivity Scenario traffic volumes.

Ok.

Please note that clarifications were provided to all of our responses except on our response regarding alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road and Dundas Street West (bottom of page 5). We would appreciate if you could provide clarification on that response as well.
Provide alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffic from Bronte Road and Dundas Street West;

The alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffi

```
- Appleby Line
- Tremaine Road
- Third Line
_ Sixth Line
```

The alternate parallel routes for redistributed traffi

```
-
-
- Upper Middle Ro
-
```

Ok.

From WSP (David Lukezic) email of April 9, 2021:
Our Land Development Group will inform if they need any further clarification / information to respond to the land dedication comment.
Ok

We will wait on comments from the capital group before we finalize the analysis and response matrix.
We provided those comments in an email April 19, 2021. If something further is required please advise.

Note that we would not be updating the TIS as it would be redundant (i.e. the previous report had 682 pages with the Appendices) but provide a response letter, response matrix and relevant attachments
OK, if Town of Oakville also agrees.

I trust that this is of assistance.
Bernie

From: Tannahill, Rebecca [mailto:Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com]
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 3:38 PM
To: Steiger, Bernie [Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca](mailto:Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca)
Subject: RE: Quadreal Properties -24T-11001_1333.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you are unsure or need assistance please contact the IT Service Desk.

Hi Bernie,

Can you provide clarification on what the Region wants, with regards to this comment:

I have attached the draft plan that was sent to us. I can advise that it does not reflect our comments of January 13, 2021, regarding the daylight triangle (it doesn't show it as $15 \times 15$ ) and the correct configuration where the Region's ownership ends.

Can you also confirm when we will receive a response from Matt on the outstanding TIS clarification question.

Thanks,

Rebecca Tannahill, MES PL., MCIP, RPP
Senior Planner, PLAUD
T 289-982-4378
C 416-402-1237
*New phone number

From: Steiger, Bernie [Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca](mailto:Bernie.Steiger@halton.ca)
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2021 3:55 PM
To: Tannahill, Rebecca [Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com](mailto:Rebecca.Tannahill@wsp.com)
Cc: Robert Thun [robert.thun@oakville.ca](mailto:robert.thun@oakville.ca); Krusto, Matt [Matt.Krusto@halton.ca](mailto:Matt.Krusto@halton.ca); MacKenzie, Ronald [Ronald.MacKenzie@halton.ca](mailto:Ronald.MacKenzie@halton.ca)
Subject: Quadreal Properties -24T-11001_1333.

Hi,
This is a bit of an omnibus email to deal with a few things.

## Informal Draft Plan Circulation

Rebecca, you had emailed indicating that Rob had circulated the draft plan informally in March requesting comments before making a formal submission.
I did receive the plan from the Town. I understood the request was that we provide conditions/comments based on the revised plan and also comments on the plan itself.

I have attached the draft plan that was sent to us. I can advise that it does not reflect our comments of January 13, 2021, regarding the daylight triangle (it doesn't show it as $15 \times 15$ ) and the correct configuration where the Region's ownership ends. You should also confirm if our widening requirements are met and how the property requirements from our Capital Group as it relates to the Dundas Street project relate to the ROP requirements (are they lesser or greater?).

I haven't started to prepare conditions at this time. I note CH did still have a minor matter related to the DP, before they were in a position to issue conditions (which is our trigger to issue conditions).

Dave Lukezic email April 28, 2021
We will respond to this shortly.

## Further Comments from Capital/Servicing

In our email of April 19, 2021, which relayed comments from Capital, we indicated that we would follow up in relation to servicing/drainage.
I can provide those comments now.

## Servicing

With respect to servicing we note that there may not be sufficient space within the right-of-way extents that Capital is working within to accommodate the local water and sanitary that are needed to service this development. The Region's consultant has been asked to look to confirm this and to see if space can be reserved to accommodate the local services in the right-of-way so that Quadreal can install them when they develop.
If not, the services will need to be accommodated in either a Region easement on the subdivision lands (or they may fit within the ultimate right-of-way if that is greater than that which Capital is working with).

If Quadreal is considering having the servicing and intersection works included in the Dundas project, then you need to reach out to Ron MacKenzie (cc'd on this email), to initiate that discussion. Our initial feel is that the Dundas project is too far along at this point to be able to include items from the Quadreal development.

## Drainage

With regard to drainage we advise follows (the main takeaway being that there is no plan at this time to utilize the proposed SWM pond within the subdivision to capture storm drainage from Dundas Street. Instead the design of Dundas Street will incorporate measures to control flow and water quality within the road allowance. Due to this the applicant should be advised not to oversize the proposed SWM pond in the subdivision to accommodate drainage from Dundas Street).
"As noted in the Stormwater Management Design Report - Dundas Street Widening - Appleby Line to Bronte Road (Town of Oakville and City of Burlington) prepared by Stantec (March 20, 2020), the Environmental Implementation Report / Functional Servicing Study (EIR/FSS) for the Lazy Pat Farm Development, under review by various approval agencies, indicates that the flows from drainage area D21B of Dundas Street can be treated in the proposed SWM Pond 2 (as noted in the EIR/FSS) for the proposed development. Depending on the schedule of the Lazy Pat Farm Development and Dundas Street Widening projects, the runoff from this portion of Dundas Street can be managed within the proposed SWM Pond 2. Since the Dundas Street widening will proceed in advance of the Lazy Pat Farm development, the runoff from Dundas Street will be managed within underground pipe storage and treated by OGS and outlet to the south side of Dundas Street. Section 7.8.2 of the EIR / FSS has assumed that this area would drain to SWM Pond 2. However, this will not be the case. Additional information is provided below with reference to the screenshots from the new construction plans from Dundas Street Widening Phase 2.

With reference to our current Dundas Street Detail Design Phase 2 new construction plan sheets R24 through R32, we have added red arrows to highlight the drainage flows in the vicinity of the development (please see screenshots below for reference) based on the proposed design. Blue arrows have been added to show the direction of the existing storm sewer system. Please note that all of the surface drainage from Dundas Street between the rounding break points drains toward the roadway gutters. The Dundas Street surface run off drains to the new storm sewer system and into the Stormtech chambers before being outlet to the south side of Dundas Street. The only portion of the Dundas Street drainage on the north side of the cross section is from fill cross sections where a small ditch is required to collect the runoff and guide it to the nearest crossing culvert, which conveys the water to the south away from the development area. There is also an outlet for the existing storm sewer at the east end of the project that conveys the drainage from Bronte Road at the east end to an outlet at approximately Sta. 19+720 to the east of Culvert C23. The outfall enters the watercourse at C23 within the proposed Halton Region ROW and then through culvert C23 to the south. Based on the proposed drainage scheme, there will not be any water from Dundas Street entering the Lazy Pat Farms development property.
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INTERSECTION
IMPROVEMENTS, RESPONSIBILITY AND COST SHARING PERCENTAGES

## APPENDIX

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|c} +L_{8} & \stackrel{A}{5} \\ \hline \underset{F}{A} & i A \end{array}$ | $\frac{4 \rightarrow\|c\| c}{\frac{1}{t}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { 訁 }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  | 苞 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  |  |
| 受 |  | $\stackrel{\text { k }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\square}$ |  |  |
| สี |  | \％ٌ | \％${ }_{\circ}^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| 甾 |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | － |  |
| \％ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | 훈 |  |  |
| \％ |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { 츙 }}{ }$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ® }}$ |  | 莴 | む̈ |  |  |
| Ј |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { ® }}$ | ฐ |  |  |
| ลิ |  | \％ | \％ |  | 嗕 |
| \％ |  | \％ | ＊ | － | 䍖 |
| $\pm$ |  | \％ | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |
| \％${ }^{\circ}$ |  | 츙 | \％ |  |  |
| （\％ |  | \％์ํ | \％ |  |  |
| \％ |  | \％ | き |  | 咢 |
|  |  |  |  | 砣 |  |
| \％ |  | \％ | ๕． |  |  |
| 㕩 |  | \％ | \％ |  | － |
|  |  |  |  | 碗 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## TOWN OF OAKVILLE



TOWN OF OAKVILLE

| HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Households | Dwelling Type |  |  | Household Size |  |  |  |  | Number of Available Vehicles |  |  |  |  | Household Averages |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \stackrel{H}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | $m$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{+}{\text { - }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sim$ | m | + |  | n ¢ ¢ 3 | $\stackrel{\text { n }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ | $\frac{\square}{\frac{u}{0}}$ | 命 |
| 66,200 | 65\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 28\% | 18\% | 24\% | 12\% | 4\% | 30\% | 48\% | 13\% | 5\% | 2.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 6.1 |


| POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Daily Work Trips per } \\ & \text { Worker } \end{aligned}$ | Population | Employment Type |  |  | Student | Licensed | Transit Pass |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ | + |  |  |  |  | Full Time | Part <br> Time | At Home |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92,400 | 44\% | 6\% | 5\% | 26\% | 72\% | 24\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191,000 | 13\% | 8\% | 13\% | 24\% | 28\% | 13\% | 41.3 | 2.4 | 0.70 | 98,600 | 30\% | 10\% | 5\% | 26\% | 70\% | 23\% |


| TRIPS MADE BY RESIDENTS OF TOWN OF OAKVILLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trips | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ 24 \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | Trip Purpose |  |  |  | Mode of Travel |  |  |  |  |  | Median Trip Length (km) |  |  |  |
| Period |  |  | HB-W | HB-S | HB-D | N-HB | Driver | Pass. | Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GO } \\ & \text { Train } \end{aligned}$ | Walk \& Cycle | Other | Driver | Pass. | Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GO } \\ & \text { Train } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6-9 AM | 101,000 | 24.9\% | 43\% | 22\% | 25\% | 9\% | 64\% | 12\% | 2\% | 9\% | 9\% | 5\% | 7.5 | 3.4 | 5.8 | 34.3 |
| 24 Hrs | 405,500 |  | 29\% | 13\% | 44\% | 14\% | 69\% | 14\% | 2\% | 5\% | 7\% | 3\% | 5.7 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 34.3 |


| TRIPS MADE TO TOWN OF OAKVILLE BY RESIDENTS OF THE TTS AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trips | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | Trip Purpose |  |  |  | Mode of Travel |  |  |  |  |  | Median Trip Length (km) |  |  |  |
| Period |  |  | Work | School | Home | Other | Driver | Pass. | Transit | $\begin{gathered} \text { GO } \\ \text { Train } \end{gathered}$ | Walk \& Cycle | Other | Driver | Pass. | Transit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GO } \\ \text { Train } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6-9 AM | 103,200 | 25.2\% | 50\% | 23\% | 7\% | 21\% | 71\% | 12\% | 3\% | 0\% | 9\% | 5\% | 9.4 | 3.5 | 5.9 | 29.2 |
| 24 Hrs | 409,600 |  | 20\% | 7\% | 42\% | 30\% | 71\% | 14\% | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 3\% | 6.1 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 34.1 |

Tue Dec 112018 13:17:44 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 1820ms
Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2016 v1.1
Row: Planning district of destination - pd_dest
Column: Planning district of origin - pd_orig
Filters:
Planning district of origin - pd_orig $\ln 39$
and
Primary travel mode of trip - mode_prim
and
Trip purpose - trip_purp $\ln 1$

| Trip 2016Table: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin: | Oakville |  | Direction | Percentage |
| Destination: |  |  | Within Oakville | 27\% |
| PD 1 of Toronto | 2464 | 2.4\% | Toronto | 11\% |
| PD 2 of Toronto | 1442 | 1.4\% | York Region | 3\% |
| PD 3 of Toronto | 708 | 0.7\% | Peel Region | 27\% |
| PD 4 of Toronto | 446 | 0.4\% | Burlington | 13\% |
| PD 5 of Toronto | 430 | 0.4\% | Milton | 4\% |
| PD 6 of Toronto | 189 | 0.2\% | Halton Hills | 1\% |
| PD 7 of Toronto | 669 | 0.7\% | Hamilton-Niagara Region | 12\% |
| PD 8 of Toronto | 2410 | 2.4\% | Waterloo Region | 1\% |
| PD 9 of Toronto | 603 | 0.6\% | Guelph | 1\% |
| PD 10 of Toronto | 744 | 0.7\% |  |  |
| PD 11 of Toronto | 283 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| PD 12 of Toronto | 221 | 0.2\% | Total: | 100\% |
| PD 13 of Toronto | 246 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| PD 14 of Toronto | 54 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| PD 15 of Toronto | 54 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| PD 16 of Toronto | 209 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Pickering | 54 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Ajax | 57 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Whitby | 49 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Oshawa | 64 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Clarington | 18 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Georgina | 64 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Newmarket | 82 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Richmond Hill | 283 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| Whitchurch-Stouffville | 71 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Markham | 639 | 0.6\% |  |  |
| King | 54 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Vaughan | 1530 | 1.5\% |  |  |
| Caledon | 292 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| Brampton | 4051 | 4.0\% |  |  |
| Mississauga | 22765 | 22.4\% |  |  |
| Halton Hills | 802 | 0.8\% |  |  |
| Milton | 3782 | 3.7\% |  |  |
| Oakville | 27032 | 26.6\% |  |  |
| Burlington | 12838 | 12.6\% |  |  |
| Flamborough | 1170 | 1.2\% |  |  |
| Dundas | 291 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| Ancaster | 848 | 0.8\% |  |  |
| Glanbrook | 424 | 0.4\% |  |  |
| Stoney Creek | 1515 | 1.5\% |  |  |
| Hamilton | 5730 | 5.6\% |  |  |
| Grimsby | 940 | 0.9\% |  |  |
| Lincoln | 372 | 0.4\% |  |  |
| Pelham | 21 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Niagara-on-the-Lake | 30 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| St. Catharines | 408 | 0.4\% |  |  |
| Niagara Falls | 236 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Welland | 182 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Fort Erie | 11 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| West Lincoln | 99 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Waterloo | 188 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Kitchener | 283 | 0.3\% |  |  |
| Cambridge | 795 | 0.8\% |  |  |
| North Dumfries | 69 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Wilmot | 16 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Wellesley | 15 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Woolwich | 32 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| City of Guelph | 566 | 0.6\% |  |  |
| Puslinch | 59 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Guelph/Eramosa | 113 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Centre Wellington | 25 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Erin | 190 | 0.2\% |  |  |
| Orangeville | 93 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Barrie | 78 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Innisfil | 32 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Bradford-West Gwillimbury | 67 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| New Tecumseth | 22 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Adjala-Tosorontio | 19 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Essa | 74 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Kawartha Lakes | 36 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Peterborough | 24 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Brant | 142 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Severn | 19 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Mulmur | 7 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Shelburne | 75 | 0.1\% |  |  |
| Mono | 23 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Brantford | 551 | 0.5\% |  |  |
| External | 39 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Total | 101628 | 100\% |  |  |

## APPENDIX



GROWTH BREAKDOWN BETWEEN 2020 AND 2025

## APPENDIX






## APPENDIX

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SIMTRAFFIC } \\
& \text { REPORTS }
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

## I-1

SIMTRAFFIC 2030 PHASE 2 FT

## APPENDIX

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12943 | 13120 | 12973 | 13014 | 13150 | 12971 | 13268 |
| Vehs Exited | 12774 | 12994 | 13022 | 12858 | 12982 | 12881 | 13112 |
| Starting Vehs | 1004 | 957 | 1039 | 1001 | 1043 | 1016 | 977 |
| Ending Vehs | 1173 | 1083 | 990 | 1157 | 1211 | 1106 | 1133 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29337 | 29822 | 29675 | 29538 | 29778 | 29349 | 29948 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1967.9 | 1865.1 | 1798.1 | 1847.5 | 1779.9 | 1919.7 | 1958.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1450.2 | 1338.6 | 1275.3 | 1326.0 | 1254.4 | 1400.3 | 1429.7 |
| Total Stops | 30694 | 29414 | 29321 | 30315 | 30814 | 28763 | 31112 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3573.0 | 3509.7 | 3457.9 | 3482.9 | 3443.0 | 3532.4 | 3613.8 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13073 | 13121 | 13124 | 13077 |
| Vehs Exited | 13043 | 13019 | 13017 | 12974 |
| Starting Vehs | 1026 | 1038 | 983 | 1008 |
| Ending Vehs | 1056 | 1140 | 1090 | 1105 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29750 | 29813 | 29754 | 29677 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1809.9 | 1826.6 | 1872.0 | 1864.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1283.4 | 1299.8 | 1346.6 | 1340.4 |
| Total Stops | 29992 | 30234 | 29679 | 30035 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3462.4 | 3500.5 | 3517.1 | 3509.3 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |

Total Time (min) 60

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 12943 | 13120 | 12973 | 13014 | 13150 | 12971 | 13268 |
| Vehs Exited | 12774 | 12994 | 13022 | 12858 | 12982 | 12881 | 13112 |
| Starting Vehs | 1004 | 957 | 1039 | 1001 | 1043 | 1016 | 977 |
| Ending Vehs | 1173 | 1083 | 990 | 1157 | 1211 | 1106 | 1133 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29337 | 29822 | 29675 | 29538 | 29778 | 29349 | 29948 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1967.9 | 1865.1 | 1798.1 | 1847.5 | 1779.9 | 1919.7 | 1958.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1450.2 | 1338.6 | 1275.3 | 1326.0 | 1254.4 | 1400.3 | 1429.7 |
| Total Stops | 30694 | 29414 | 29321 | 30315 | 30814 | 28763 | 31112 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3573.0 | 3509.7 | 3457.9 | 3482.9 | 3443.0 | 3532.4 | 3613.8 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 13073 | 13121 | 13124 | 13077 |
| Vehs Exited | 13043 | 13019 | 13017 | 12974 |
| Starting Vehs | 1026 | 1038 | 983 | 1008 |
| Ending Vehs | 1056 | 1140 | 1090 | 1105 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29750 | 29813 | 29754 | 29677 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1809.9 | 1826.6 | 1872.0 | 1864.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1283.4 | 1299.8 | 1346.6 | 1340.4 |
| Total Stops | 29992 | 30234 | 29679 | 30035 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3462.4 | 3500.5 | 3517.1 | 3509.3 |

Intersection: 1: Bronte Rd \& 407 Off Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR | R | T | T | T | $\uparrow$ | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 65.0 | 65.9 | 57.4 | 19.9 | 27.2 | 26.4 | 177.3 | 179.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 36.0 | 44.1 | 32.1 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 11.9 | 79.7 | 81.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 59.3 | 62.0 | 54.8 | 14.8 | 21.4 | 24.2 | 139.2 | 143.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 467.1 | 467.1 |  | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 506.3 | 506.3 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Tremaine Rd \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.0 | 17.3 | 24.4 | 28.6 | 54.5 | 62.4 | 22.2 | 33.7 | 36.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.8 | 5.3 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 23.8 | 29.9 | 8.3 | 14.2 | 16.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.6 | 13.5 | 21.0 | 23.2 | 47.9 | 57.5 | 18.5 | 29.0 | 31.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 151.4 |  | 227.9 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 |  | 897.3 | 897.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 100.0 |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 10 |  |  | 8 | 9 |  | 4 | 6 |  |

Intersection: 4: Avenue Two \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 | 13.9 | 8.1 | 17.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 9.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 15.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 227.9 | 787.8 |  | 313.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 6: Avenue Three \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.9 | 12.4 | 46.1 | 137.3 | 65.2 | 32.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.0 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 74.3 | 35.7 | 12.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 25.0 | 10.8 | 26.6 | 123.0 | 58.0 | 24.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 787.8 |  |  | 469.5 |  | 190.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 25.0 | 30.0 |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 1 |  |  | 17 | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 4 | 6 |  |

Intersection: 8: Avenue Five \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.2 | 93.5 | 168.7 | 23.4 | 22.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.1 | 9.2 | 25.9 | 9.4 | 8.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.2 | 51.4 | 113.6 | 19.4 | 16.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 469.5 | 441.1 | 441.1 |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |

Intersection: 10: Bronte Road \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 35.8 | 43.7 | 105.3 | 104.3 | 74.4 | 62.5 | 22.2 | 178.2 | 162.8 | 165.6 | 93.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 13.3 | 18.9 | 78.1 | 76.0 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 7.3 | 130.3 | 123.4 | 123.1 | 49.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 28.2 | 36.8 | 99.6 | 96.1 | 47.1 | 30.8 | 18.5 | 171.2 | 161.1 | 163.3 | 81.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 441.1 |  |  | 121.5 | 121.5 | 121.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 230.0 |  | 205.0 | 205.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230.0 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 20: Tremaine Rd \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 31.0 | 30.0 | 13.5 | 43.0 | 90.3 | 104.0 | 21.4 | 43.9 | 51.8 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 14.8 | 12.5 | 3.0 | 14.5 | 36.8 | 53.0 | 7.1 | 15.0 | 21.1 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 27.1 | 24.7 | 10.4 | 31.3 | 76.4 | 96.8 | 17.1 | 33.8 | 42.0 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ | 188.1 | 419.1 | 419.1 |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 13 |  |  | 10 | 11 |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 13 |  |  |  | 46 | 10 |  | 23 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 30.2 | 29.3 | 32.8 | 10.0 | 27.4 | 30.1 | 32.1 | 36.0 | 26.7 | 41.1 | 14.8 | 23.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 11.9 | 11.2 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 14.3 | 9.3 | 17.7 | 4.3 | 8.7 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 24.3 | 25.4 | 29.5 | 7.7 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 26.7 | 28.6 | 20.9 | 33.7 | 10.2 | 19.2 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 419.1 | 419.1 |  |  | 639.5 | 639.5 |  |  | 241.3 |  |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 60.0 | 50.0 |  | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 3 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |

## Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.8 | 6.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.1 | 1.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.9 | 6.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 313.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 24: Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 1.4 | 42.0 | 40.3 | 30.7 | 81.8 | 88.1 | 69.4 | 21.7 | 54.4 | 29.2 | 20.0 | 20.1 |
| Average Queue (m) | 0.0 | 19.1 | 19.0 | 10.2 | 33.2 | 40.1 | 9.7 | 8.1 | 28.7 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 5.6 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 1.0 | 34.4 | 34.6 | 23.3 | 64.6 | 70.9 | 39.4 | 18.2 | 47.8 | 19.3 | 14.5 | 15.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 639.5 | 639.5 |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 450.2 |  |  | 190.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 8 |  |  | 0 | 11 | 1 |  | 22 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 18 | 3 |  | 24 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 33.2 | 16.4 | 20.0 | 31.9 | 76.3 | 83.3 | 54.1 | 28.2 | 46.8 | 15.7 | 25.0 | 14.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 13.1 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 8.2 | 26.5 | 32.1 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 22.6 | 6.9 | 9.6 | 3.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 25.8 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 21.7 | 63.7 | 70.8 | 30.7 | 23.2 | 40.0 | 14.7 | 21.7 | 10.3 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  | 297.9 | 297.9 |  |  | 396.5 |  |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 25.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 40.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 8 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 9 |  | 0 | 1 |

## Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 16.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 54.3 | 18.9 | 23.7 | 40.6 | 84.3 | 118.0 | 113.6 | 61.9 | 88.8 | 108.3 | 128.6 | 75.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 24.8 | 5.9 | 9.4 | 13.8 | 23.7 | 71.0 | 71.3 | 18.8 | 56.2 | 60.4 | 75.8 | 33.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 46.2 | 15.0 | 19.8 | 32.3 | 59.7 | 106.7 | 105.2 | 40.9 | 80.7 | 88.7 | 114.5 | 58.5 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 297.9 | 297.9 |  |  | 575.5 | 575.5 |  |  |  | 144.3 | 144.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  | 140.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 80.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 51 | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 4 | 37 | 14 |  | 0 | 1 | 14 |  |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | NB | NB | B14 | B14 | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B12 | B12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | T | T | L | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 65.9 | 25.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 86.5 | 133.3 | 165.7 | 177.6 | 182.1 | 80.0 | 18.3 | 12.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 34.9 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.8 | 63.2 | 119.6 | 122.3 | 131.1 | 64.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 56.1 | 18.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 77.8 | 109.1 | 162.5 | 168.8 | 180.1 | 106.6 | 13.3 | 12.6 |
| Link Distance (m) | 144.3 |  | 237.5 | 237.5 |  |  | 262.2 | 262.2 | 262.2 |  | 121.5 | 121.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 150.0 |  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 33 |  | 60 | 31 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 162 |  | 149 | 181 |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | B12 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 5.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 0.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 2.7 |
| Link Distance (m) | 121.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 31: Avenue two \& Street Four

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 13.3 | 9.3 | 16.0 | 17.1 |
| Average Queue (m) | 7.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 12.7 | 8.7 | 13.5 | 15.5 |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 150.9 |  | 241.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

|  | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | B9 | B9 | B9 | WB | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Directions Served | 133.2 | 164.3 | 123.5 | 123.4 | 83.0 | 302.4 | 302.8 | 61.1 | 108.9 | 96.9 | 90.3 | 50.2 |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 98.8 | 107.8 | 39.3 | 44.4 | 42.4 | 35.2 | 30.8 | 2.0 | 66.3 | 60.0 | 56.7 | 22.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 132.7 | 146.1 | 89.7 | 84.4 | 71.3 | 195.1 | 180.7 | 42.1 | 97.6 | 90.1 | 84.2 | 41.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 285.2 | 285.2 | 285.2 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 13 | 21 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 80.1 | 84.7 | 68.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 42.7 | 45.3 | 17.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.6 | 68.4 | 50.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 40: Dundas St W \& Avenue two

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R | L | LR | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 36.8 | 40.0 | 49.0 | 52.0 | 32.2 | 37.3 | 41.7 | 26.8 | 18.9 | 21.2 | 12.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.0 | 20.3 | 23.3 | 29.6 | 11.6 | 12.1 | 14.4 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 9.7 | 3.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.0 | 38.0 | 43.1 | 51.3 | 26.9 | 30.0 | 34.6 | 20.5 | 13.8 | 19.2 | 8.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  |  | 129.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.0 | 185.0 | 185.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 44.6 | 67.7 | 77.8 | 83.8 | 31.7 | 26.8 | 58.6 | 68.8 | 72.9 | 39.6 | 44.7 | 72.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 18.6 | 34.3 | 39.9 | 48.6 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 24.9 | 32.0 | 37.1 | 17.7 | 18.7 | 14.7 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 36.7 | 61.0 | 68.4 | 77.1 | 24.0 | 22.2 | 45.8 | 52.9 | 60.0 | 32.7 | 36.2 | 44.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 315.2 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 3 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 6 | 3 |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 53.7 | 52.2 | 19.8 | 11.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.4 | 22.1 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 46.1 | 43.0 | 11.7 | 7.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 450.2 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 30.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 8 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 72.3 | 169.0 | 175.3 | 187.1 | 76.9 | 18.8 | 80.1 | 92.9 | 118.8 | 85.0 | 43.4 | 52.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.8 | 74.3 | 77.9 | 95.7 | 14.1 | 5.6 | 46.5 | 55.7 | 68.4 | 27.7 | 21.5 | 22.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 69.2 | 173.6 | 179.2 | 194.8 | 65.0 | 14.4 | 74.9 | 86.3 | 103.4 | 67.6 | 39.3 | 44.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 325.1 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  | 25.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 17 |  | 13 |  | 0 | 19 |  | 27 | 2 | 22 | 18 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 22 |  | 13 |  | 0 | 6 |  | 92 | 14 | 22 | 16 |

## Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 16.3 | 24.1 | 14.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.7 | 9.2 | 4.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.5 | 19.8 | 10.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 396.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 164.9 | 216.7 | 220.4 | 208.8 | 128.3 | 169.0 | 767.5 | 772.6 | 775.0 | 90.0 | 94.3 | 260.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 132.1 | 145.0 | 126.0 | 98.9 | 49.6 | 67.1 | 635.4 | 711.8 | 722.0 | 88.6 | 44.1 | 250.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 195.6 | 247.0 | 223.3 | 166.3 | 102.2 | 146.5 | 961.2 | 918.6 | 913.4 | 104.4 | 80.9 | 322.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 756.8 | 756.8 | 756.8 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 11 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 34 | 77 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 82 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 95.0 |  |  |  | 80.0 | 115.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 57 | 4 |  | 14 | 2 | 0 | 22 |  | 70 | 38 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 247 | 15 |  | 80 | 10 | 2 | 44 |  | 533 | 166 |  |  |

## Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B14 | B14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 359.5 | 353.8 | 345.4 | 95.1 | 63.7 | 67.0 | 169.5 | 173.6 | 177.6 | 125.0 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 350.5 | 325.2 | 211.0 | 25.2 | 25.5 | 29.4 | 122.3 | 124.1 | 124.0 | 45.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 357.3 | 409.8 | 367.0 | 58.6 | 48.1 | 82.5 | 178.7 | 183.5 | 185.8 | 127.8 | 10.3 | 11.8 |
| Link Distance (m) | 341.6 | 341.6 | 341.6 |  |  |  | 237.5 | 237.5 | 237.5 |  | 144.3 | 144.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 72 | 21 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 4 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 45.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 88 |  | 16 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 34 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 549 |  | 52 | 10 |  |  | 6 |  | 58 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | B14 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 144.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist m$)$ |  |
| Storage Bk Time $(\%)$ |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Network Summary |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: |  |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13179 | 13180 | 13252 | 13208 | 13139 | 13185 | 13295 |
| Vehs Exited | 12281 | 12052 | 12238 | 12115 | 12256 | 12394 | 12224 |
| Starting Vehs | 1054 | 1005 | 1032 | 1024 | 1035 | 1026 | 1063 |
| Ending Vehs | 1952 | 2133 | 2046 | 2117 | 1918 | 1817 | 2134 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30342 | 30006 | 30123 | 29801 | 30140 | 30214 | 30266 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1575.4 | 1674.5 | 1677.3 | 1674.0 | 1667.8 | 1581.1 | 1756.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1039.0 | 1144.5 | 1144.6 | 1147.7 | 1134.1 | 1045.9 | 1219.3 |
| Total Stops | 37909 | 40126 | 38983 | 39367 | 38454 | 38379 | 41041 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3270.5 | 3344.5 | 3344.2 | 3337.8 | 3338.3 | 3272.5 | 3415.4 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13253 | 13202 | 13246 | 13212 |
| Vehs Exited | 12082 | 12180 | 12362 | 12219 |
| Starting Vehs | 1012 | 1033 | 1082 | 1038 |
| Ending Vehs | 2183 | 2055 | 1966 | 2028 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29931 | 29877 | 30323 | 30102 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1728.3 | 1610.5 | 1566.6 | 1651.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1198.6 | 1081.9 | 1028.9 | 1118.5 |
| Total Stops | 39910 | 37961 | 36738 | 38887 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3369.1 | 3268.3 | 3258.4 | 3321.9 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |

Total Time (min)
. 0
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 13179 | 13180 | 13252 | 13208 | 13139 | 13185 | 13295 |
| Vehs Exited | 12281 | 12052 | 12238 | 12115 | 12256 | 12394 | 12224 |
| Starting Vehs | 1054 | 1005 | 1032 | 1024 | 1035 | 1026 | 1063 |
| Ending Vehs | 1952 | 2133 | 2046 | 2117 | 1918 | 1817 | 2134 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30342 | 30006 | 30123 | 29801 | 30140 | 30214 | 30266 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1575.4 | 1674.5 | 1677.3 | 1674.0 | 1667.8 | 1581.1 | 1756.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1039.0 | 1144.5 | 1144.6 | 1147.7 | 1134.1 | 1045.9 | 1219.3 |
| Total Stops | 37909 | 40126 | 38983 | 39367 | 38454 | 38379 | 41041 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3270.5 | 3344.5 | 3344.2 | 3337.8 | 3338.3 | 3272.5 | 3415.4 |

## Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 13253 | 13202 | 13246 | 13212 |
| Vehs Exited | 12082 | 12180 | 12362 | 12219 |
| Starting Vehs | 1012 | 1033 | 1082 | 1038 |
| Ending Vehs | 2183 | 2055 | 1966 | 2028 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29931 | 29877 | 30323 | 30102 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1728.3 | 1610.5 | 1566.6 | 1651.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1198.6 | 1081.9 | 1028.9 | 1118.5 |
| Total Stops | 39910 | 37961 | 36738 | 38887 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3369.1 | 3268.3 | 3258.4 | 3321.9 |

Intersection: 1: Bronte Rd \& 407 Off Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 37.4 | 35.7 | 16.8 | 13.7 | 23.9 | 29.2 | 32.2 | 26.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 11.4 | 7.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.4 | 29.1 | 13.3 | 8.8 | 17.3 | 19.4 | 26.1 | 20.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 467.1 | 467.1 |  | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 506.3 | 506.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Tremaine Rd \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 6.6 | 54.9 | 149.7 | 227.8 | 30.8 | 70.8 | 75.0 | 79.8 | 906.2 | 903.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 | 23.0 | 91.3 | 95.4 | 11.2 | 42.5 | 48.3 | 10.9 | 466.3 | 466.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.2 | 48.6 | 179.1 | 248.1 | 24.8 | 68.1 | 73.7 | 54.3 | 1051.1 | 1042.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 151.4 |  | 227.9 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 | 897.3 | 897.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  | 24 | 23 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 34 | 45 | 9 | 14 | 26 |  | 0 | 70 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 | 51 | 12 | 44 | 13 |  | 1 | 10 |  |

Intersection: 4: Avenue Two \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.6 | 153.6 | 16.0 | 14.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.0 | 27.9 | 4.4 | 7.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.6 | 140.6 | 14.9 | 13.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 227.9 | 787.8 |  | 313.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 0 |

Intersection: 6: Avenue Three \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 70.3 | 37.7 | 43.0 | 37.8 | 28.2 | 11.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 29.2 | 10.1 | 18.6 | 7.1 | 11.4 | 3.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 58.7 | 27.2 | 33.9 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 8.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 787.8 |  |  | 469.5 |  | 190.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 25.0 | 30.0 |  | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 16 | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 8: Avenue Five \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.1 | 32.9 | 4.3 | 11.9 | 25.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.5 | 11.8 | 0.1 | 4.0 | 10.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.7 | 25.5 | 3.4 | 11.6 | 20.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 469.5 | 441.1 | 441.1 |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 0 | 3 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |

## Intersection: 9: Bend

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 31.1 |
| Average Queue (m) | 1.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.6 |
| Link Distance (m) | 328.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 10: Bronte Road \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B12 | B12 | B12 | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 170.0 | 240.2 | 46.9 | 77.2 | 95.5 | 104.0 | 106.0 | 1.9 | 8.4 | 11.8 | 91.1 | 79.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 64.4 | 125.8 | 24.9 | 33.2 | 63.9 | 72.5 | 77.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 59.4 | 50.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 154.2 | 232.6 | 41.9 | 59.5 | 93.8 | 101.4 | 105.9 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 83.0 | 72.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 441.1 |  |  | 94.2 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 289.7 | 289.7 | 289.7 | 478.5 | 478.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 15 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 230.0 |  | 205.0 | 205.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 13 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Bronte Road \& Avenue One

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 69.1 | 20.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 39.5 | 8.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 61.5 | 16.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 478.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 230.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 20: Tremaine Rd \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.6 | 34.1 | 313.0 | 246.7 | 21.8 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 79.7 | 429.0 | 428.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.5 | 13.9 | 209.1 | 87.6 | 4.0 | 57.5 | 67.1 | 16.3 | 382.0 | 385.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 6.7 | 28.2 | 399.2 | 279.4 | 14.7 | 84.1 | 95.6 | 57.8 | 530.5 | 520.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 188.1 | 419.1 | 419.1 |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 54 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 213 | 266 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 13 |  |  | 1 | 21 |  | 7 | 24 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  | 35 | 13 |  |

Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 15.3 | 35.0 | 36.6 | 24.3 | 42.6 | 57.2 | 51.3 | 21.6 | 20.4 | 18.1 | 18.5 | 56.3 |
| Average Queue (m) | 4.3 | 15.0 | 15.2 | 6.4 | 18.6 | 28.0 | 22.2 | 8.4 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 27.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 12.4 | 28.5 | 28.7 | 17.2 | 34.8 | 48.7 | 43.5 | 17.8 | 15.8 | 13.2 | 14.2 | 45.0 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 419.1 | 419.1 |  |  | 639.5 | 639.5 |  |  | 241.3 |  |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 60.0 | 50.0 |  | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 7 | 7 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 41.8 | 16.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 16.6 | 6.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.6 | 12.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 313.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 24: Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 22.5 | 70.0 | 76.6 | 30.6 | 50.7 | 51.5 | 8.9 | 27.4 | 23.5 | 25.7 | 40.9 | 53.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 3.5 | 36.4 | 43.1 | 11.0 | 21.6 | 23.8 | 0.9 | 12.3 | 6.1 | 11.3 | 20.1 | 26.8 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 13.9 | 59.0 | 66.7 | 23.4 | 42.2 | 44.1 | 4.5 | 23.4 | 16.2 | 21.1 | 34.9 | 44.3 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 639.5 | 639.5 |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 450.2 |  |  | 190.5 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 20 |  |  | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 52.8 | 329.6 | 337.9 | 34.9 | 49.4 | 57.0 | 22.5 | 18.4 | 20.4 | 30.5 | 76.7 | 72.1 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 9.4 | 100.9 | 120.7 | 15.4 | 23.1 | 28.0 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 13.1 | 37.7 | 17.1 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 32.5 | 302.3 | 312.0 | 30.8 | 44.3 | 51.4 | 21.0 | 15.2 | 14.4 | 25.6 | 64.1 | 49.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  | 298.0 | 298.0 |  |  | 396.5 |  |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 25.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 | 16 |  | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 13 | 9 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 28 | 34 |

## Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 22.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 112.3 | 250.2 | 285.4 | 189.7 | 84.9 | 299.3 | 285.8 | 112.0 | 33.1 | 38.0 | 70.4 | 80.1 |
| Average Queue (m) | 63.3 | 79.2 | 140.3 | 142.9 | 68.2 | 115.8 | 96.3 | 63.0 | 15.2 | 18.0 | 32.6 | 37.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 106.5 | 208.6 | 325.2 | 217.4 | 100.4 | 319.3 | 293.8 | 100.9 | 30.2 | 32.1 | 64.4 | 71.3 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 298.0 | 298.0 |  |  | 575.2 | 575.2 |  |  |  | 129.3 | 129.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 7 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 49 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  | 140.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 80.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 74 | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 6 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 65 | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | NB | NB | B14 | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B12 | B12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | T | R | T | L | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |

## Intersection: 31: Avenue two \& Street Four

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.3 | 45.8 | 14.3 | 27.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.5 | 14.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.3 | 34.2 | 12.0 | 14.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 150.9 |  | 241.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 11 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 9 | 3 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 90.8 | 96.4 | 39.8 | 32.5 | 25.9 | 128.3 | 137.4 | 143.3 | 110.0 | 45.9 | 258.4 | 261.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 55.3 | 62.3 | 11.8 | 10.7 | 6.0 | 91.5 | 97.4 | 99.5 | 40.2 | 13.4 | 145.4 | 254.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 80.4 | 87.4 | 29.0 | 24.6 | 18.0 | 122.9 | 127.6 | 131.2 | 97.8 | 33.9 | 325.7 | 258.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 328.3 | 328.3 | 328.3 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 31 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 215 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 40: Dundas St W \& Avenue two

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | $R$ | L | LR | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 44.7 | 36.9 | 35.2 | 46.2 | 93.0 | 99.2 | 105.5 | 25.5 | 56.6 | 67.1 | 63.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.0 | 14.0 | 16.4 | 19.2 | 52.4 | 59.4 | 64.3 | 9.7 | 31.3 | 40.3 | 30.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 36.4 | 28.2 | 31.8 | 37.2 | 82.5 | 91.1 | 97.1 | 20.0 | 50.4 | 59.3 | 53.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  |  | 129.6 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.0 | 185.0 | 185.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 39.9 | 56.3 | 54.9 | 62.1 | 19.8 | 38.0 | 129.1 | 134.5 | 141.8 | 57.7 | 59.9 | 122.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 14.5 | 28.3 | 30.0 | 35.3 | 5.6 | 16.5 | 85.2 | 90.3 | 96.2 | 16.0 | 45.8 | 32.4 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 29.8 | 48.6 | 48.7 | 57.0 | 14.5 | 30.7 | 117.1 | 121.0 | 127.3 | 38.0 | 68.2 | 102.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 315.2 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 25 |  | 26 |  | 39 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 64 |  | 29 | 0 |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 41.5 | 69.9 | 231.5 | 67.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 9.5 | 66.4 | 124.4 | 24.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 28.3 | 79.7 | 252.5 | 50.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 450.2 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 30.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 66 | 1 | 23 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 139 | 7 | 104 |

Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | $R$ | L | T | T | T | $R$ | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 22.2 | 62.4 | 72.7 | 97.5 | 14.1 | 18.7 | 105.3 | 99.9 | 92.7 | 60.5 | 30.1 | 24.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.4 | 27.4 | 34.3 | 52.1 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 54.1 | 63.0 | 69.5 | 8.7 | 12.7 | 7.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.8 | 51.6 | 60.7 | 84.5 | 11.9 | 16.6 | 84.3 | 90.3 | 91.0 | 29.4 | 25.3 | 18.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 325.1 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 75.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  | 25.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 55.0 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 0 | 18 |  | 23 | 0 | 9 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 8 |  | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

## Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 61.8 | 79.7 | 51.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 31.7 | 46.4 | 20.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 55.2 | 69.1 | 39.2 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 396.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 139.5 | 163.1 | 165.1 | 157.6 | 140.8 | 175.0 | 698.8 | 695.3 | 696.8 | 90.0 | 221.7 | 260.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 89.0 | 97.7 | 96.2 | 92.9 | 78.6 | 150.4 | 434.0 | 433.2 | 436.6 | 82.1 | 169.3 | 209.4 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 156.9 | 160.8 | 150.6 | 138.5 | 134.6 | 227.4 | 782.7 | 772.9 | 770.8 | 117.0 | 254.9 | 312.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 756.2 | 756.2 | 756.2 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 95.0 |  |  |  | 80.0 | 115.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 27 | 6 |  | 18 | 9 | 3 | 60 |  | 71 | 7 | 16 | 28 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 105 | 12 |  | 111 | 33 | 16 | 176 |  | 212 | 37 | 68 | 120 |

## Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B14 | B14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 349.9 | 342.0 | 335.3 | 130.0 | 217.5 | 252.7 | 272.5 | 223.3 | 157.7 | 117.9 | 135.8 | 128.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 269.2 | 256.2 | 238.7 | 103.0 | 191.8 | 213.9 | 207.5 | 113.3 | 110.0 | 50.2 | 67.4 | 13.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 398.0 | 385.7 | 373.5 | 178.4 | 264.4 | 308.1 | 335.4 | 176.6 | 154.2 | 118.9 | 171.1 | 74.6 |
| Link Distance (m) | 341.6 | 341.6 | 341.6 |  |  |  | 252.8 | 252.8 | 252.8 |  | 129.3 | 129.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 19 | 5 | 7 |  |  | 24 | 33 | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 255 | 0 |  |  | 90 | 4 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 45.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 49 |  | 75 | 6 | 57 | 63 | 1 |  | 44 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 305 |  | 155 | 24 | 246 | 275 | 5 |  | 114 | 12 |  |  |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | B14 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 59.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.7 |
| Link Distance (m) | 129.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
|  |  |
| Network Summary |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 4602 |  |

## APPENDIX

I-2
SIMTRAFFIC 2030 PHASE 2A FT

## APPENDIX

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13089 | 12939 | 12856 | 12889 | 12940 | 12962 | 12967 |
| Vehs Exited | 12794 | 12598 | 12670 | 12755 | 12733 | 12629 | 12611 |
| Starting Vehs | 990 | 956 | 997 | 952 | 1024 | 988 | 991 |
| Ending Vehs | 1285 | 1297 | 1183 | 1086 | 1231 | 1321 | 1347 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29901 | 29581 | 29546 | 29524 | 29862 | 29644 | 29502 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2085.0 | 2158.0 | 1976.1 | 1945.7 | 2141.4 | 2094.9 | 2050.7 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1561.2 | 1639.3 | 1458.7 | 1427.8 | 1618.0 | 1574.6 | 1534.3 |
| Total Stops | 32413 | 32618 | 32337 | 30278 | 33096 | 33370 | 31573 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3705.6 | 3734.9 | 3585.4 | 3543.1 | 3747.4 | 3689.6 | 3650.2 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13088 | 12835 | 12990 | 12952 |
| Vehs Exited | 12888 | 12560 | 12686 | 12695 |
| Starting Vehs | 1039 | 958 | 982 | 986 |
| Ending Vehs | 1239 | 1233 | 1286 | 1248 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30071 | 29356 | 29607 | 29660 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2117.5 | 2006.0 | 2085.3 | 2066.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1590.6 | 1491.2 | 1566.8 | 1546.2 |
| Total Stops | 33398 | 30413 | 32452 | 32187 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3741.9 | 3596.2 | 3680.2 | 3667.5 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording


Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 13088 | 12835 | 12990 | 12952 |
| Vehs Exited | 12888 | 12560 | 12686 | 12695 |
| Starting Vehs | 1039 | 958 | 982 | 986 |
| Ending Vehs | 1239 | 1233 | 1286 | 1248 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30071 | 29356 | 29607 | 29660 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2117.5 | 2006.0 | 2085.3 | 2066.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1590.6 | 1491.2 | 1566.8 | 1546.2 |
| Total Stops | 33398 | 30413 | 32452 | 32187 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3741.9 | 3596.2 | 3680.2 | 3667.5 |

Intersection: 1: Bronte Rd \& 407 Off Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 61.0 | 66.4 | 59.6 | 28.1 | 23.3 | 20.3 | 114.8 | 123.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.6 | 42.5 | 30.0 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 62.2 | 63.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 56.6 | 60.8 | 52.8 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 17.0 | 101.1 | 105.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 467.1 | 467.1 |  | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 506.3 | 506.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 0 | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $(\mathrm{veh})$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Tremaine Rd \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.6 | 12.1 | 27.8 | 33.5 | 49.9 | 52.9 | 12.4 | 35.4 | 36.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.6 | 2.5 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 20.4 | 23.7 | 2.7 | 15.5 | 15.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 23.8 | 9.2 | 23.1 | 24.6 | 42.7 | 49.2 | 9.0 | 30.3 | 31.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 151.4 |  | 227.9 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 |  | 897.3 | 897.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 100.0 |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 10 |  |  | 9 | 8 |  | 0 | 6 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 29 | 7 |  | 2 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 4: Avenue Two \& Avenue One

| Movement | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 7.4 | 5.4 | 20.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 0.5 | 0.4 | 11.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.7 | 3.4 | 17.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 785.9 |  | 313.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |

Intersection: 6: Avenue Three \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 24.3 | 99.9 | 195.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 11.6 | 96.9 | 135.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 20.2 | 110.0 | 245.5 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 190.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 42 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 25.0 | 50.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 33 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 8: Avenue Five \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 1.4 | 13.4 | 21.8 | 18.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.0 | 0.8 | 11.7 | 10.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.0 | 5.8 | 18.2 | 15.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 441.1 |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 | 1 |

Intersection: 10: Bronte Road \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 24.0 | 23.1 | 59.8 | 60.1 | 14.7 | 15.8 | 20.3 | 129.3 | 127.6 | 131.7 | 32.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 6.8 | 7.3 | 34.2 | 36.1 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 71.8 | 67.5 | 74.1 | 12.8 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 18.1 | 17.6 | 53.4 | 53.9 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 17.9 | 120.4 | 116.6 | 123.8 | 25.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 441.1 |  |  | 394.3 | 394.3 | 394.3 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 230.0 |  | 205.0 | 205.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230.0 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 20: Tremaine Rd \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 29.1 | 30.6 | 15.4 | 51.4 | 91.9 | 128.1 | 34.3 | 43.9 | 57.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.4 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 14.8 | 40.7 | 60.6 | 12.8 | 15.7 | 22.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 25.8 | 25.7 | 12.5 | 34.4 | 79.5 | 109.8 | 26.3 | 34.3 | 44.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 188.1 | 419.1 | 419.1 |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 13 |  |  | 9 | 12 |  | 14 | 6 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 36 | 12 |  | 60 | 5 |  |

## Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 34.6 | 43.1 | 39.3 | 9.3 | 31.8 | 29.3 | 32.1 | 61.2 | 26.1 | 29.2 | 20.7 | 31.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 14.5 | 21.4 | 18.9 | 1.5 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 14.6 | 28.2 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 7.7 | 14.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 29.2 | 38.6 | 35.3 | 6.7 | 25.2 | 24.7 | 27.8 | 49.3 | 20.1 | 24.5 | 15.5 | 27.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 419.1 | 419.1 |  |  | 637.6 | 637.6 |  |  | 241.3 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 60.0 | 50.0 |  | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 10 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 32 | 9 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.2 | 7.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.5 | 1.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.5 | 6.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 313.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |

Intersection: 24: Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 58.8 | 66.8 | 31.8 | 43.9 | 125.7 | 203.1 | 70.0 | 33.5 | 42.2 | 29.9 | 28.8 | 25.7 |
| Average Queue (m) | 26.9 | 16.6 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 62.4 | 89.2 | 61.9 | 13.9 | 18.5 | 14.9 | 13.8 | 12.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 49.3 | 45.3 | 23.4 | 28.4 | 104.4 | 164.5 | 87.7 | 28.0 | 35.8 | 24.5 | 26.1 | 23.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 637.6 | 637.6 |  | 569.3 | 569.3 |  |  | 450.1 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 35 | 4 |  |  | 3 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 17 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 89 | 8 |  |  | 3 | 212 | 168 | 0 | 42 | 3 |  |  |

## Intersection: 24: Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 23.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 190.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 74.9 | 118.9 | 29.4 | 89.8 | 207.6 | 217.7 | 90.0 | 40.9 | 36.9 | 17.6 | 22.6 | 17.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 56.7 | 28.8 | 8.5 | 22.5 | 121.9 | 137.8 | 56.4 | 18.6 | 15.7 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 2.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 84.0 | 91.4 | 21.8 | 71.1 | 200.6 | 216.5 | 119.0 | 34.5 | 31.5 | 15.5 | 18.8 | 10.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 569.3 | 569.3 |  | 297.2 | 297.2 |  |  | 396.5 |  |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 25.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 40.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 53 | 0 |  |  | 22 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 114 | 1 |  |  | 22 | 79 | 1 | 7 | 4 |  |  | 1 |

## Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 24.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 67.2 | 25.7 | 25.2 | 48.6 | 84.9 | 161.9 | 160.1 | 101.0 | 106.4 | 108.2 | 126.3 | 61.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 33.4 | 6.9 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 35.7 | 100.1 | 103.3 | 38.0 | 92.0 | 94.5 | 84.2 | 27.8 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 58.4 | 18.6 | 23.2 | 32.2 | 88.3 | 171.4 | 176.7 | 116.0 | 115.8 | 119.6 | 147.0 | 47.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 297.2 | 297.2 |  |  | 575.5 | 575.5 |  |  |  | 108.3 | 108.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 11 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 96 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  | 140.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 80.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 3 | 66 | 34 |  | 7 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 8 | 44 | 62 |  | 26 | 44 | 62 |  |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | NB | NB | B12 | B12 | B12 | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | T | T | T | L | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 52.9 | 25.7 | 158.8 | 66.2 | 13.2 | 130.5 | 164.9 | 346.8 | 357.9 | 356.5 | 80.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 30.2 | 7.6 | 47.8 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 106.1 | 135.2 | 210.8 | 205.0 | 214.5 | 79.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 46.2 | 19.5 | 182.9 | 43.3 | 20.0 | 158.7 | 201.2 | 381.6 | 366.4 | 360.9 | 85.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 108.3 |  | 277.4 | 277.4 | 277.4 |  |  | 394.3 | 394.3 | 394.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 150.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 43 | 36 |  | 68 | 68 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 173 | 216 | 178 |  | 313 | 341 |

Intersection: 31: Avenue two \& Street Four

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.3 | 8.7 | 0.8 | 15.5 | 21.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 6.6 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 5.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.9 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 13.2 | 16.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 150.9 | 129.6 |  | 241.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | B9 | B9 | B9 | WB | WB | WB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 134.8 | 166.8 | 126.3 | 98.5 | 80.5 | 302.6 | 301.9 | 89.8 | 115.6 | 108.0 | 97.5 | 61.1 |
| Average Queue (m) | 100.2 | 110.4 | 38.7 | 43.0 | 43.5 | 32.0 | 28.0 | 3.0 | 65.2 | 56.7 | 54.3 | 19.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 135.0 | 149.6 | 93.0 | 82.9 | 71.1 | 184.6 | 172.3 | 52.2 | 101.7 | 89.8 | 84.9 | 41.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 285.2 | 285.2 | 285.2 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 13 | 23 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 100 | 168 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 0 |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 69.6 | 71.7 | 71.7 |
| Average Queue (m) | 41.6 | 43.7 | 19.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 63.0 | 64.8 | 53.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 40: Dundas St W \& Avenue two

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R | L | LR | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 40.1 | 43.6 | 47.1 | 53.8 | 33.0 | 38.2 | 48.2 | 32.0 | 17.5 | 22.0 | 13.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 17.0 | 21.3 | 23.4 | 28.8 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 16.9 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 9.3 | 3.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 31.8 | 39.3 | 42.8 | 50.2 | 29.1 | 32.7 | 39.2 | 23.1 | 13.3 | 19.1 | 8.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  |  | 129.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.0 | 185.0 | 185.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 43.1 | 76.8 | 89.5 | 99.0 | 26.6 | 30.8 | 62.2 | 75.2 | 130.4 | 46.8 | 49.3 | 64.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 19.2 | 36.3 | 43.7 | 53.0 | 10.7 | 10.8 | 34.7 | 43.9 | 52.7 | 21.0 | 20.6 | 13.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 35.3 | 65.7 | 75.7 | 85.4 | 21.8 | 24.2 | 58.7 | 69.9 | 105.5 | 38.6 | 39.5 | 41.2 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 315.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 6 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  | 7 |  | 11 | 3 |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 54.1 | 45.9 | 12.0 | 10.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 23.5 | 18.5 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 43.9 | 37.5 | 6.7 | 8.0 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 450.1 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 30.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 20 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 | 5 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 105.8 | 239.0 | 243.9 | 256.4 | 123.0 | 18.0 | 58.6 | 82.4 | 89.6 | 31.0 | 48.9 | 140.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 41.0 | 95.5 | 93.7 | 97.8 | 15.2 | 5.4 | 30.7 | 39.8 | 48.8 | 14.4 | 22.0 | 35.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 110.8 | 218.4 | 209.1 | 199.8 | 69.9 | 14.7 | 54.5 | 68.5 | 77.0 | 27.1 | 44.3 | 100.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 325.1 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  | 25.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 36 |  | 14 |  | 0 | 14 |  | 25 | 1 | 22 | 32 |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ | 1 | 46 |  | 15 |  | 0 | 5 |  | 86 | 7 | 22 | 28 |

## Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 20.2 | 24.2 | 12.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.9 | 8.8 | 3.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.1 | 19.7 | 8.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 396.5 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 165.0 | 220.6 | 222.7 | 196.7 | 128.1 | 174.8 | 768.5 | 772.9 | 774.6 | 90.0 | 87.4 | 260.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 149.4 | 175.9 | 127.0 | 101.1 | 48.5 | 70.9 | 626.0 | 712.5 | 724.5 | 89.1 | 41.7 | 246.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 196.0 | 270.5 | 223.8 | 163.1 | 94.1 | 157.8 | 953.9 | 929.5 | 917.0 | 100.1 | 73.4 | 330.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 756.6 | 756.6 | 756.6 |  |  |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  | 29 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 36 | 78 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 223 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 95.0 |  |  |  | 80.0 | 115.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 78 | 5 |  | 21 | 1 | 6 | 19 |  | 63 | 50 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 350 | 17 |  | 115 | 6 | 24 | 37 |  | 481 | 219 |  |  |

## Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B12 | B12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 358.9 | 351.8 | 343.0 | 60.8 | 52.7 | 51.8 | 123.3 | 116.3 | 113.5 | 65.6 | 11.2 | 44.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 350.4 | 321.9 | 201.8 | 23.8 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 88.0 | 87.9 | 86.8 | 17.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 357.3 | 403.6 | 354.2 | 49.1 | 45.6 | 43.3 | 114.2 | 110.2 | 108.0 | 44.0 | 14.8 | 22.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 341.6 | 341.6 | 341.6 |  |  |  | 277.4 | 277.4 | 277.4 |  | 108.3 | 108.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 70 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 45.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 83 |  | 11 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 23 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 512 |  | 36 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 39 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | B12 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 30.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 17.7 |
| Link Distance (m) | 108.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
|  |  |
| Network Summary |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 5183 |  |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12981 | 12642 | 12854 | 12907 | 13087 | 13136 | 12943 |
| Vehs Exited | 12238 | 11827 | 12000 | 12083 | 12228 | 12373 | 12062 |
| Starting Vehs | 1127 | 1010 | 1031 | 966 | 950 | 972 | 1003 |
| Ending Vehs | 1870 | 1825 | 1885 | 1790 | 1809 | 1735 | 1884 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30093 | 29248 | 29646 | 29883 | 29995 | 30411 | 29978 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1688.1 | 1776.6 | 1551.2 | 1519.3 | 1484.9 | 1598.7 | 1734.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1152.9 | 1261.2 | 1025.3 | 986.5 | 952.0 | 1056.2 | 1202.9 |
| Total Stops | 41579 | 37751 | 37344 | 39451 | 37214 | 39918 | 39808 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3362.9 | 3373.5 | 3220.3 | 3204.6 | 3171.9 | 3311.6 | 3393.1 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12945 | 13038 | 13154 | 12963 |
| Vehs Exited | 12190 | 12105 | 12206 | 12134 |
| Starting Vehs | 1070 | 1078 | 1031 | 1022 |
| Ending Vehs | 1825 | 2011 | 1979 | 1856 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30184 | 30094 | 30186 | 29972 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1649.3 | 1716.5 | 1751.3 | 1647.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1111.0 | 1181.6 | 1215.9 | 1114.6 |
| Total Stops | 41401 | 41423 | 41741 | 39761 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3337.8 | 3385.9 | 3413.9 | 3317.6 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |

Total Time (min) 60

Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 12981 | 12642 | 12854 | 12907 | 13087 | 13136 | 12943 |
| Vehs Exited | 12238 | 11827 | 12000 | 12083 | 12228 | 12373 | 12062 |
| Starting Vehs | 1127 | 1010 | 1031 | 966 | 950 | 972 | 1003 |
| Ending Vehs | 1870 | 1825 | 1885 | 1790 | 1809 | 1735 | 1884 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30093 | 29248 | 29646 | 29883 | 29995 | 30411 | 29978 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1688.1 | 1776.6 | 1551.2 | 1519.3 | 1484.9 | 1598.7 | 1734.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1152.9 | 1261.2 | 1025.3 | 986.5 | 952.0 | 1056.2 | 1202.9 |
| Total Stops | 41579 | 37751 | 37344 | 39451 | 37214 | 39918 | 39808 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3362.9 | 3373.5 | 3220.3 | 3204.6 | 3171.9 | 3311.6 | 3393.1 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 12945 | 13038 | 13154 | 12963 |
| Vehs Exited | 12190 | 12105 | 12206 | 12134 |
| Starting Vehs | 1070 | 1078 | 1031 | 1022 |
| Ending Vehs | 1825 | 2011 | 1979 | 1856 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 30184 | 30094 | 30186 | 29972 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1649.3 | 1716.5 | 1751.3 | 1647.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1111.0 | 1181.6 | 1215.9 | 1114.6 |
| Total Stops | 41401 | 41423 | 41741 | 39761 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3337.8 | 3385.9 | 3413.9 | 3317.6 |

Intersection: 1: Bronte Rd \& 407 Off Ramp

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR | R | T | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 38.7 | 37.8 | 18.7 | 36.3 | 43.1 | 43.9 | 29.1 | 24.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.8 | 14.8 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 18.3 | 20.8 | 11.7 | 7.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.1 | 28.9 | 14.4 | 26.6 | 37.2 | 39.8 | 25.5 | 19.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 467.1 | 467.1 |  | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 506.3 | 506.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Tremaine Rd \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 8.7 | 65.0 | 40.6 | 29.9 | 22.7 | 65.1 | 70.6 | 42.6 | 905.7 | 904.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.9 | 22.8 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 37.1 | 43.7 | 3.4 | 422.5 | 423.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.1 | 56.2 | 34.0 | 22.9 | 20.1 | 63.9 | 69.1 | 29.2 | 1000.3 | 992.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 151.4 |  | 227.9 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 | 897.3 | 897.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 16 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 30 |  |  | 10 | 21 |  |  | 66 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  |  | 34 | 10 |  |  | 3 |  |

Intersection: 4: Avenue Two \& Avenue One

| Movement | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.6 | 10.5 | 14.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.6 | 2.3 | 7.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 5.7 | 9.0 | 13.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 785.9 |  | 313.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 6: Avenue Three \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 415.0 | 75.0 | 54.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 137.2 | 57.6 | 26.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 420.4 | 90.9 | 44.0 |
| Link Distance (m) | 785.9 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 42 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |

## Intersection: 8: Avenue Five \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 39.1 | 66.3 | 15.2 | 9.2 | 20.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 6.6 | 12.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 10.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 56.0 | 74.5 | 29.1 | 7.0 | 16.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 441.1 | 441.1 |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

## Intersection: 9: Bend

| Movement | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.7 | 30.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.2 | 30.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 328.3 | 328.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Bronte Road \& Avenue One

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 76.0 | 59.2 | 26.3 | 22.9 | 51.4 | 57.1 | 59.2 | 65.5 | 48.1 | 44.7 | 15.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 41.5 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 23.4 | 32.3 | 33.3 | 33.2 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 3.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 68.1 | 45.3 | 21.6 | 18.7 | 45.3 | 52.8 | 53.5 | 54.9 | 41.5 | 38.8 | 11.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 441.1 |  |  | 394.3 | 394.3 | 394.3 | 478.5 | 478.5 | 478.5 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 230.0 |  | 205.0 | 205.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230.0 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 20: Tremaine Rd \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | TR | L | TR | L | T | TR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 9.1 | 35.1 | 406.4 | 353.1 | 32.6 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 73.4 | 431.2 | 428.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.5 | 13.7 | 262.3 | 121.7 | 3.8 | 61.2 | 68.5 | 8.2 | 377.4 | 381.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 6.8 | 27.8 | 465.2 | 349.3 | 18.6 | 85.9 | 92.5 | 39.9 | 532.4 | 524.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 188.1 | 419.1 | 419.1 |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |  | 415.5 | 415.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 52 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 194 | 232 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 12 |  |  | 1 | 24 |  | 2 | 25 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  | 9 | 8 |  |

Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 14.9 | 39.1 | 35.2 | 19.0 | 63.8 | 67.2 | 63.0 | 33.9 | 18.1 | 15.6 | 21.5 | 92.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 4.6 | 20.2 | 18.3 | 6.8 | 27.7 | 33.1 | 27.1 | 12.2 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 53.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 12.9 | 33.6 | 30.8 | 15.2 | 51.8 | 58.7 | 52.7 | 25.1 | 15.1 | 10.9 | 15.5 | 82.5 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 419.1 | 419.1 |  |  | 637.6 | 637.6 |  |  | 241.3 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 80.0 |  |  | 60.0 | 50.0 |  | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 22 |

Intersection: 22: Avenue two \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 70.4 | 19.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 15.0 | 6.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 44.4 | 14.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 313.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |

Intersection: 24: Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 64.8 | 251.6 | 263.6 | 61.6 | 83.9 | 89.3 | 70.0 | 42.8 | 36.9 | 43.4 | 125.0 | 149.9 |
| Average Queue (m) | 14.2 | 129.5 | 136.6 | 29.6 | 45.9 | 49.5 | 16.2 | 17.5 | 6.0 | 16.1 | 99.4 | 113.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 49.6 | 259.1 | 264.6 | 53.5 | 73.8 | 78.4 | 52.7 | 34.6 | 21.0 | 31.2 | 143.9 | 172.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 637.6 | 637.6 |  | 569.3 | 569.3 |  |  | 450.1 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 50 |  | 0 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 37 | 42 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 19 | 22 |  | 0 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 90 | 102 |

Intersection: 24: Avenue Three \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 195.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 106.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 234.2 |
| Link Distance (m) | 190.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 20 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 172 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 |

Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | T | T | R | L | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 74.8 | 578.3 | 578.1 | 49.4 | 78.7 | 81.3 | 64.8 | 27.2 | 32.9 | 37.9 | 84.9 | 227.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 21.0 | 407.7 | 421.8 | 20.7 | 43.0 | 46.7 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 18.1 | 70.8 | 98.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 61.9 | 707.8 | 698.7 | 41.8 | 67.8 | 71.8 | 38.2 | 21.4 | 22.5 | 34.2 | 100.6 | 238.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 569.3 | 569.3 |  | 297.1 | 297.1 |  |  | 396.5 |  |  | 268.3 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 47 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 25.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 15 |  | 6 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 68 | 18 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 11 | 16 |  | 20 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 239 | 100 |

## Intersection: 26: Avenue Five \& Burnhamthorpe Road

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 64.7 |
| Average Queue (m) | 31.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 64.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 28 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 88 |

Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 143.7 | 269.9 | 301.9 | 190.0 | 72.7 | 86.7 | 90.5 | 117.2 | 59.0 | 65.6 | 94.0 | 105.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 89.2 | 66.1 | 261.0 | 181.7 | 37.8 | 32.5 | 28.0 | 66.1 | 35.1 | 37.4 | 54.5 | 62.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 140.4 | 183.1 | 373.0 | 233.6 | 68.7 | 88.4 | 80.5 | 103.8 | 54.7 | 57.1 | 93.9 | 99.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 297.1 | 297.1 |  |  | 575.5 | 575.5 |  |  |  | 153.3 | 153.3 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 2 | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 100.0 |  |  | 140.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 80.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 22 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 28: Bronte Rd/Bronte Road \& Burnhamthorpe Road/William Halton Parkway

| Movement | NB | NB | B12 | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | T | L | L | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 108.5 | 11.5 | 24.3 | 46.1 | 49.8 | 84.1 | 74.8 | 71.8 | 41.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.9 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 24.2 | 29.2 | 47.5 | 38.2 | 38.0 | 8.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 105.6 | 7.5 | 23.9 | 41.1 | 45.7 | 74.0 | 61.6 | 59.1 | 25.2 |
| Link Distance (m) | 153.3 |  | 232.5 |  |  | 394.3 | 394.3 | 394.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 150.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 41 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 76 | 6 |

## Intersection: 31: Avenue two \& Street Four

| Movement | WB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | R | LT |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.9 | 48.7 | 14.1 | 21.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.0 | 14.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.6 | 35.2 | 10.8 | 12.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 150.9 |  | 241.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 10 | 4 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 92.6 | 97.5 | 43.6 | 30.7 | 23.0 | 131.2 | 159.9 | 140.6 | 110.0 | 49.9 | 258.9 | 260.7 |
| Average Queue (m) | 54.7 | 61.7 | 11.6 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 86.3 | 93.3 | 93.1 | 39.8 | 14.4 | 133.0 | 253.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 80.4 | 87.4 | 28.5 | 24.0 | 18.0 | 125.0 | 137.0 | 128.6 | 97.2 | 36.2 | 312.5 | 258.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 328.3 | 328.3 | 328.3 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 29 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 202 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 3 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 40: Dundas St W \& Avenue two

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | $R$ | L | LR | $R$ |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 46.9 | 32.1 | 38.6 | 46.1 | 92.0 | 103.6 | 164.2 | 26.4 | 62.9 | 70.2 | 56.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 19.1 | 13.1 | 16.5 | 18.1 | 54.2 | 59.7 | 68.1 | 9.9 | 35.0 | 42.8 | 29.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 37.2 | 27.1 | 31.4 | 36.7 | 83.4 | 90.6 | 135.5 | 20.6 | 54.3 | 62.0 | 49.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  |  | 129.6 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 130.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.0 | 185.0 | 185.0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 24.5 | 47.4 | 53.4 | 58.0 | 16.8 | 31.3 | 118.3 | 127.9 | 137.4 | 38.3 | 59.8 | 108.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 8.8 | 24.6 | 26.7 | 31.5 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 71.7 | 77.8 | 83.2 | 12.1 | 46.3 | 29.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 19.7 | 42.4 | 45.5 | 53.2 | 12.7 | 27.8 | 103.2 | 108.6 | 115.0 | 28.3 | 67.5 | 94.4 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 712.0 | 712.0 | 712.0 |  |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 315.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 60.0 |  |  |  | 100.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 14 |  | 37 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 26 |  | 26 | 0 |

Intersection: 42: Colonel William Pkwy/Avenue Three \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 32.9 | 69.9 | 221.9 | 52.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 8.3 | 63.7 | 101.3 | 18.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 21.4 | 80.9 | 244.0 | 39.2 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 450.1 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 30.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 64 | 1 | 14 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 101 | 6 | 55 |

Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 23.8 | 62.4 | 76.2 | 99.6 | 15.6 | 22.9 | 75.2 | 98.9 | 90.3 | 45.8 | 33.2 | 23.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 7.8 | 27.8 | 34.1 | 53.4 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 52.2 | 61.8 | 67.5 | 8.7 | 13.4 | 7.7 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 17.5 | 53.1 | 61.3 | 85.3 | 12.1 | 17.3 | 73.7 | 86.9 | 88.4 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 18.5 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 505.6 | 505.6 | 505.6 |  |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 325.1 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 55.0 |  |  |  | 75.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  | 25.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 17 |  | 22 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 8 |  | 27 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

## Intersection: 44: Valleyridge Dr/Avenue Five \& Dundas St W

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 64.6 | 76.0 | 57.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 30.3 | 43.5 | 20.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 53.7 | 65.8 | 42.3 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 396.5 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 90.0 | 90.0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 133.7 | 166.6 | 162.5 | 158.9 | 133.5 | 174.9 | 342.8 | 339.4 | 332.6 | 90.0 | 187.1 | 260.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 82.7 | 103.1 | 102.3 | 96.7 | 75.7 | 125.2 | 214.7 | 216.9 | 221.0 | 80.8 | 137.3 | 224.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 157.6 | 174.9 | 168.3 | 147.8 | 127.0 | 211.2 | 381.1 | 377.7 | 378.4 | 116.7 | 237.1 | 325.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 215.3 | 215.3 | 215.3 |  |  | 756.8 | 756.8 | 756.8 |  |  |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 14 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 95.0 |  |  |  | 80.0 | 115.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 | 190.0 | 190.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 25 | 9 |  | 22 | 7 | 11 | 40 |  | 67 | 9 | 13 | 19 |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ | 92 | 21 |  | 138 | 25 | 54 | 118 |  | 199 | 46 | 60 | 85 |

## Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B12 | B12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | R | L | L | T | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 354.3 | 348.9 | 352.0 | 130.0 | 217.4 | 232.3 | 249.2 | 210.1 | 155.4 | 110.0 | 135.1 | 149.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 303.5 | 288.2 | 266.3 | 107.5 | 181.7 | 189.0 | 174.4 | 112.0 | 108.3 | 50.0 | 50.6 | 44.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 412.6 | 403.9 | 394.7 | 179.6 | 257.8 | 272.8 | 292.7 | 168.6 | 149.7 | 117.6 | 157.0 | 149.5 |
| Link Distance (m) | 341.6 | 341.6 | 341.6 |  |  |  | 232.5 | 232.5 | 232.5 | 153.3 | 153.3 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 32 | 9 | 8 |  |  | 19 | 24 | 0 |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 181 | 0 |  |  | 24 | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  | 45.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |  |  |  | 50.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 60 |  | 76 | 4 | 45 | 51 | 0 |  | 48 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 375 |  | 157 | 18 | 197 | 219 | 3 |  | 126 | 7 |  |  |

## Intersection: 46: Bronte Rd \& Dundas St W

| Movement | B12 | B12 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |  |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 154.8 | 170.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 43.0 | 30.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 150.4 | 136.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 153.3 | 153.3 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 3 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 | 19 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 4723

## APPENDIX

## DUNDAS STREET W AT TREMAINE ROAD SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

## APPENDIX

## APPENDIX

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{J}-1 & \text { HCM } 2000 \text { (REMOVED } \\
& \text { CHANNELIZED SBR) }
\end{array}
$$

## APPENDIX

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 \times 1}$ | 4种 | 4ヶ4 | 「 | \％ 7 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 1182 | 2177 | 1057 | 184 | 375 | 584 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 1182 | 2177 | 1057 | 184 | 375 | 584 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 7.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | ＊0．80 | ＊0．80 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3506 | 4476 | 4117 | 1570 | 3541 | 1611 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3506 | 4476 | 4117 | 1570 | 3541 | 1611 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 1182 | 2177 | 1057 | 184 | 375 | 584 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 498 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 1182 | 2177 | 1057 | 98 | 375 | 86 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 3\％ | 12\％ | 4\％ | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | NA | Perm | Prot | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  |


| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 53.1 | 105.4 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 20.6 | 20.6 |
| Effective Green，g $(\mathrm{s})$ | 54.1 | 109.4 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 24.6 | 20.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.39 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 1354 | 3497 | 1537 | 586 | 622 | 237 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | $\mathrm{c0.34}$ | 0.49 | co .26 |  | c 0.11 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.05 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.69 | 0.17 | 0.60 | 0.36 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 39.8 | 6.5 | 37.0 | 29.3 | 53.2 | 53.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 1.28 | 1.05 | 3.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| Delay（s） | 46.3 | 7.4 | 38.0 | 38.1 | 57.0 | 162.2 |
| Level of Service | D | A | D | D | E | F |


| Level of Service | D | A | D | D | E | F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 21.0 | 38.0 | 121.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C | D |  | F |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 42.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.75 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 140.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $75.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | D |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

Scenario 1 Lazy Pat Farm TIS 11：59 pm 07－06－2020＜2030 Phase 2 FT Sensi．－Chanelized SBR Removed＞AM Peak HBymichro 11 Report WSP


Scenario 1 Lazy Pat Farm TIS 5:00 pm 07-07-2020 <2030 Phase 2 FT Sensi. - Chanelized SBR Removed> PM Peak Hobynchro 11 Report WSP

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{17}$ | 4中4 | 444 | 「 | ${ }^{7 \% 1}$ | 「 |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 525 | 913 | 2365 | 271 | 181 | 1201 |  |
| Future Volume（vph） | 525 | 913 | 2365 | 271 | 181 | 1201 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | ＊0．80 | ＊0．80 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3506 | 4476 | 4476 | 1601 | 3541 | 1570 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3506 | 4476 | 4476 | 1601 | 3541 | 1570 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 525 | 913 | 2365 | 271 | 181 | 1201 |  |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 182 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 525 | 913 | 2365 | 208 | 181 | 1019 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 0\％ | 4\％ |  |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | NA | Perm | Prot | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 20.0 | 90.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 21.0 | 94.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.29 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 525 | 3005 | 2238 | 800 | 1011 | 448 |  |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．15 | 0.20 | c0．53 |  | 0.05 |  |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm |  |  |  | 0.13 |  | c0．65 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.00 | 0.30 | 1.06 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 2.27 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 59.5 | 9.5 | 35.0 | 20.1 | 37.6 | 50.0 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.98 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 39.3 | 0.3 | 32.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 578.9 |  |
| Delay（s） | 98.8 | 9.8 | 59.5 | 19.1 | 35.1 | 627.8 |  |
| Level of Service | F | A | E | B | D | F |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 42.3 | 55.3 |  | 550.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D | E |  | F |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 177.2 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 1.42 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） |  |  | 140.0 |  | Sum of lost | time（s） | 9.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 126．7\％ |  | CU Level of | Service | H |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 Lazy Pat Farm TIS 5：00 pm 09－01－2019＜2030 Phase 2A FT Sensitivity－Chanelized SBR Removed＞PM Pezynthebuo 11 Report WSP

## APPENDIX

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { J-2 } & \text { SIMTRAFFIC } \\
& \text { (REMOVED } \\
& \text { CHANNELIZED SBR) }
\end{array}
$$

## APPENDIX

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13262 | 13087 | 13108 | 13039 | 13047 | 13142 | 13243 |
| Vehs Exited | 13011 | 12984 | 12891 | 12734 | 12932 | 12937 | 13092 |
| Starting Vehs | 974 | 1051 | 961 | 912 | 1012 | 985 | 1024 |
| Ending Vehs | 1225 | 1154 | 1178 | 1217 | 1127 | 1190 | 1175 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29847 | 29781 | 29432 | 29400 | 29798 | 29690 | 29579 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1889.2 | 2001.6 | 1805.6 | 1877.3 | 1742.3 | 1879.4 | 1853.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1372.8 | 1489.1 | 1297.3 | 1368.2 | 1226.4 | 1365.6 | 1341.5 |
| Total Stops | 32180 | 34138 | 30721 | 31428 | 29891 | 31574 | 30865 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3551.0 | 3644.2 | 3459.4 | 3508.4 | 3407.0 | 3533.6 | 3492.3 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 13103 | 13306 | 13255 | 13154 |
| Vehs Exited | 12956 | 13117 | 12913 | 12958 |
| Starting Vehs | 1010 | 1021 | 1003 | 984 |
| Ending Vehs | 1157 | 1210 | 1345 | 1184 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29625 | 29825 | 29996 | 29697 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1975.3 | 1880.8 | 2029.9 | 1893.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1461.9 | 1365.6 | 1512.5 | 1380.1 |
| Total Stops | 30363 | 31762 | 35750 | 31867 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3596.1 | 3550.8 | 3660.8 | 3540.4 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| Vehs Entered | 13262 | 13087 | 13108 | 13039 | 13047 | 13142 | 13243 |
| Vehs Exited | 13011 | 12984 | 12891 | 12734 | 12932 | 12937 | 13092 |
| Starting Vehs | 974 | 1051 | 961 | 912 | 1012 | 985 | 1024 |
| Ending Vehs | 1225 | 1154 | 1178 | 1217 | 1127 | 1190 | 1175 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29847 | 29781 | 29432 | 29400 | 29798 | 29690 | 29579 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1889.2 | 2001.6 | 1805.6 | 1877.3 | 1742.3 | 1879.4 | 1853.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1372.8 | 1489.1 | 1297.3 | 1368.2 | 1226.4 | 1365.6 | 1341.5 |
| Total Stops | 32180 | 34138 | 30721 | 31428 | 29891 | 31574 | 30865 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3551.0 | 3644.2 | 3459.4 | 3508.4 | 3407.0 | 3533.6 | 3492.3 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 13103 | 13306 | 13255 | 13154 |
| Vehs Exited | 12956 | 13117 | 12913 | 12958 |
| Starting Vehs | 1010 | 1021 | 1003 | 984 |
| Ending Vehs | 1157 | 1210 | 1345 | 1184 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29625 | 29825 | 29996 | 29697 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1975.3 | 1880.8 | 2029.9 | 1893.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1461.9 | 1365.6 | 1512.5 | 1380.1 |
| Total Stops | 30363 | 31762 | 35750 | 31867 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3596.1 | 3550.8 | 3660.8 | 3540.4 |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | B9 | B9 | B9 | WB | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 134.9 | 184.9 | 297.4 | 267.2 | 206.5 | 307.0 | 303.6 | 168.6 | 112.8 | 101.7 | 92.5 | 53.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 129.8 | 168.8 | 207.2 | 127.9 | 74.4 | 114.0 | 89.9 | 20.0 | 70.9 | 63.2 | 57.8 | 20.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 150.0 | 214.7 | 385.7 | 289.6 | 148.9 | 336.9 | 300.3 | 126.0 | 103.1 | 92.9 | 85.0 | 39.6 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 281.0 | 281.0 | 281.0 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 39 | 48 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 285 | 348 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |

## Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 72.4 | 72.3 | 136.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 41.7 | 41.5 | 72.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 63.4 | 63.7 | 124.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12941 | 12962 | 13117 | 12910 | 12982 | 13019 | 13241 |
| Vehs Exited | 11919 | 11820 | 11730 | 11811 | 11847 | 11725 | 12041 |
| Starting Vehs | 1132 | 1123 | 1086 | 1059 | 1059 | 1048 | 1037 |
| Ending Vehs | 2154 | 2265 | 2473 | 2158 | 2194 | 2342 | 2237 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29385 | 29096 | 29317 | 28871 | 29304 | 29042 | 29686 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1824.4 | 1918.2 | 1967.2 | 1864.3 | 1827.1 | 1926.9 | 1959.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1303.3 | 1404.0 | 1447.5 | 1351.3 | 1307.5 | 1411.5 | 1433.1 |
| Total Stops | 39136 | 39425 | 41567 | 38328 | 38723 | 39183 | 41287 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3431.9 | 3492.6 | 3546.2 | 3437.9 | 3442.5 | 3515.2 | 3563.5 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12919 | 13194 | 13013 | 13028 |
| Vehs Exited | 11796 | 11796 | 11809 | 11830 |
| Starting Vehs | 1051 | 1079 | 1094 | 1070 |
| Ending Vehs | 2174 | 2477 | 2298 | 2265 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29148 | 29323 | 29333 | 29251 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1901.9 | 1991.5 | 1959.7 | 1914.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1385.6 | 1470.9 | 1439.2 | 1395.4 |
| Total Stops | 38638 | 41520 | 41117 | 39887 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3478.3 | 3579.9 | 3538.0 | 3502.6 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |

Total Time (min)
. 0
Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors.

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 12941 | 12962 | 13117 | 12910 | 12982 | 13019 | 13241 |
| Vehs Exited | 11919 | 11820 | 11730 | 11811 | 11847 | 11725 | 12041 |
| Starting Vehs | 1132 | 1123 | 1086 | 1059 | 1059 | 1048 | 1037 |
| Ending Vehs | 2154 | 2265 | 2473 | 2158 | 2194 | 2342 | 2237 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29385 | 29096 | 29317 | 28871 | 29304 | 29042 | 29686 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1824.4 | 1918.2 | 1967.2 | 1864.3 | 1827.1 | 1926.9 | 1959.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1303.3 | 1404.0 | 1447.5 | 1351.3 | 1307.5 | 1411.5 | 1433.1 |
| Total Stops | 39136 | 39425 | 41567 | 38328 | 38723 | 39183 | 41287 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3431.9 | 3492.6 | 3546.2 | 3437.9 | 3442.5 | 3515.2 | 3563.5 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 12919 | 13194 | 13013 | 13028 |
| Vehs Exited | 11796 | 11796 | 11809 | 11830 |
| Starting Vehs | 1051 | 1079 | 1094 | 1070 |
| Ending Vehs | 2174 | 2477 | 2298 | 2265 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29148 | 29323 | 29333 | 29251 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1901.9 | 1991.5 | 1959.7 | 1914.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1385.6 | 1470.9 | 1439.2 | 1395.4 |
| Total Stops | 38638 | 41520 | 41117 | 39887 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3478.3 | 3579.9 | 3538.0 | 3502.6 |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | B9 | B9 | B9 | WB | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 134.9 | 184.9 | 341.7 | 325.8 | 211.4 | 139.4 | 122.6 | 66.7 | 200.8 | 212.4 | 217.7 | 110.0 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 124.2 | 161.5 | 217.2 | 163.2 | 53.1 | 56.0 | 49.6 | 14.8 | 128.1 | 134.1 | 138.1 | 62.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 158.7 | 222.6 | 434.2 | 383.5 | 183.5 | 200.5 | 189.5 | 97.8 | 200.9 | 207.9 | 216.9 | 138.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 324.1 | 324.1 | 324.1 | 254.9 | 254.9 | 254.9 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 25 | 1 |  | 7 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 72 | 79 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 231 | 255 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 97.9 | 259.1 | 258.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 11.4 | 171.2 | 253.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 47.4 | 341.1 | 256.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 9 | 56 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 59 | 388 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12824 | 12900 | 13014 | 13023 | 12777 | 12778 | 13185 |
| Vehs Exited | 12552 | 12594 | 12732 | 12729 | 12531 | 12531 | 12731 |
| Starting Vehs | 1046 | 987 | 953 | 1029 | 1025 | 986 | 952 |
| Ending Vehs | 1318 | 1293 | 1235 | 1323 | 1271 | 1233 | 1406 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29447 | 29470 | 29586 | 29814 | 29165 | 29130 | 30035 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2247.9 | 2109.0 | 1992.3 | 1989.2 | 2146.8 | 2156.5 | 2185.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1731.0 | 1592.8 | 1473.8 | 1465.8 | 1634.7 | 1645.6 | 1658.4 |
| Total Stops | 33131 | 32933 | 34005 | 33380 | 33964 | 33352 | 36611 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3809.0 | 3684.1 | 3591.8 | 3626.9 | 3716.0 | 3713.3 | 3800.7 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ | $6: 50$ |
| End Time | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $8: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12743 | 12945 | 13046 | 12925 |
| Vehs Exited | 12543 | 12831 | 12792 | 12655 |
| Starting Vehs | 1087 | 996 | 1008 | 999 |
| Ending Vehs | 1287 | 1110 | 1262 | 1269 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29135 | 29757 | 29752 | 29529 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2219.0 | 2093.6 | 2175.8 | 2131.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1706.1 | 1570.8 | 1656.5 | 1613.5 |
| Total Stops | 34888 | 34530 | 34798 | 34157 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3765.8 | 3703.7 | 3764.9 | 3717.6 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | 7:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Vehs Entered | 12824 | 12900 | 13014 | 13023 | 12777 | 12778 | 13185 |
| Vehs Exited | 12552 | 12594 | 12732 | 12729 | 12531 | 12531 | 12731 |
| Starting Vehs | 1046 | 987 | 953 | 1029 | 1025 | 986 | 952 |
| Ending Vehs | 1318 | 1293 | 1235 | 1323 | 1271 | 1233 | 1406 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29447 | 29470 | 29586 | 29814 | 29165 | 29130 | 30035 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2247.9 | 2109.0 | 1992.3 | 1989.2 | 2146.8 | 2156.5 | 2185.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1731.0 | 1592.8 | 1473.8 | 1465.8 | 1634.7 | 1645.6 | 1658.4 |
| Total Stops | 33131 | 32933 | 34005 | 33380 | 33964 | 33352 | 36611 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3809.0 | 3684.1 | 3591.8 | 3626.9 | 3716.0 | 3713.3 | 3800.7 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 00$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 00$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 12743 | 12945 | 13046 | 12925 |
| Vehs Exited | 12543 | 12831 | 12792 | 12655 |
| Starting Vehs | 1087 | 996 | 1008 | 999 |
| Ending Vehs | 1287 | 1110 | 1262 | 1269 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29135 | 29757 | 29752 | 29529 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 2219.0 | 2093.6 | 2175.8 | 2131.6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1706.1 | 1570.8 | 1656.5 | 1613.5 |
| Total Stops | 34888 | 34530 | 34798 | 34157 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3765.8 | 3703.7 | 3764.9 | 3717.6 |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | B9 | B9 | B9 | WB | WB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 135.0 | 184.9 | 298.6 | 287.4 | 223.8 | 306.8 | 304.2 | 177.7 | 111.6 | 98.6 | 93.4 | 59.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 129.7 | 169.1 | 208.8 | 113.0 | 79.6 | 144.5 | 122.7 | 18.7 | 68.8 | 59.7 | 53.9 | 19.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 150.9 | 214.3 | 390.2 | 261.5 | 163.6 | 377.4 | 348.9 | 121.2 | 102.1 | 89.5 | 82.3 | 41.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 281.0 | 281.0 | 281.0 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 298.0 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 14 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 39 | 48 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 293 | 356 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

## Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 69.7 | 72.7 | 130.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.7 | 43.0 | 72.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 62.2 | 63.4 | 120.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 70.0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  |

 Lazy Pat Farm TIS

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12723 | 12989 | 12908 | 12810 | 12619 | 12711 | 12756 |
| Vehs Exited | 11647 | 11678 | 11967 | 11722 | 11463 | 11473 | 11616 |
| Starting Vehs | 1139 | 992 | 1071 | 1042 | 1043 | 1055 | 1092 |
| Ending Vehs | 2215 | 2303 | 2012 | 2130 | 2199 | 2293 | 2232 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 28634 | 29193 | 29497 | 28872 | 28427 | 28422 | 28665 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1779.8 | 1855.5 | 1799.4 | 1847.7 | 1921.9 | 1987.7 | 1986.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1271.6 | 1336.6 | 1274.8 | 1332.6 | 1418.3 | 1483.0 | 1477.8 |
| Total Stops | 37212 | 39744 | 39482 | 39607 | 38601 | 39134 | 40815 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3338.7 | 3443.1 | 3417.7 | 3419.2 | 3453.3 | 3504.6 | 3518.1 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ | $4: 50$ |
| End Time | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 12831 | 12615 | 12965 | 12835 |
| Vehs Exited | 11702 | 11425 | 11692 | 11682 |
| Starting Vehs | 1084 | 1069 | 1030 | 1024 |
| Ending Vehs | 2213 | 2259 | 2303 | 2177 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29102 | 28543 | 28943 | 29010 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1869.1 | 2015.8 | 1980.6 | 1841.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1352.6 | 1509.3 | 1468.0 | 1325.9 |
| Total Stops | 38682 | 38199 | 40579 | 39485 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3441.1 | 3539.1 | 3539.2 | 3416.9 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $4: 50$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $5: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

 Lazy Pat Farm TIS

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |
| Total Time $(\min )$ | 60 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |


| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehs Entered | 12723 | 12989 | 12908 | 12810 | 12619 | 12711 | 12756 |
| Vehs Exited | 11647 | 11678 | 11967 | 11722 | 11463 | 11473 | 11616 |
| Starting Vehs | 1139 | 992 | 1071 | 1042 | 1043 | 1055 | 1092 |
| Ending Vehs | 2215 | 2303 | 2012 | 2130 | 2199 | 2293 | 2232 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 28634 | 29193 | 29497 | 28872 | 28427 | 28422 | 28665 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1779.8 | 1855.5 | 1799.4 | 1847.7 | 1921.9 | 1987.7 | 1986.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1271.6 | 1336.6 | 1274.8 | 1332.6 | 1418.3 | 1483.0 | 1477.8 |
| Total Stops | 37212 | 39744 | 39482 | 39607 | 38601 | 39134 | 40815 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 3338.7 | 3443.1 | 3417.7 | 3419.2 | 3453.3 | 3504.6 | 3518.1 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $5: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $6: 00$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 8 | 9 | 10 | Avg |  |
| Vehs Entered | 12831 | 12615 | 12965 | 12835 |  |
| Vehs Exited | 11702 | 11425 | 11692 | 11682 |  |
| Starting Vehs | 1084 | 1069 | 1030 | 1024 |  |
| Ending Vehs | 2213 | 2259 | 2303 | 2177 |  |
| Travel Distance (km) | 29102 | 28543 | 28943 | 29010 |  |
| Travel Time (hr) | 1869.1 | 2015.8 | 1980.6 | 1841.4 |  |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1352.6 | 1509.3 | 1468.0 | 1325.9 |  |
| Total Stops | 38682 | 38199 | 40579 | 39485 |  |
| Fuel Used (l) | 3441.1 | 3539.1 | 3539.2 | 3416.9 |  |

Queuing and Blockiघgł®eßbatse 2A FT Sensitivity - Chanelized SBR Removed> PM Peak Hour Lazy Pat Farm TIS

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | B9 | B9 | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 134.9 | 184.9 | 339.3 | 324.1 | 51.1 | 105.7 | 86.6 | 203.5 | 210.3 | 242.9 | 110.0 | 20.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 110.8 | 139.3 | 134.9 | 124.6 | 21.4 | 9.9 | 7.2 | 130.6 | 137.5 | 144.3 | 73.7 | 6.7 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 154.3 | 214.8 | 339.3 | 318.4 | 43.3 | 55.1 | 43.5 | 189.2 | 199.1 | 219.3 | 147.0 | 16.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  | 324.1 | 324.1 | 324.1 | 254.9 | 254.9 | 401.7 | 401.7 | 401.7 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 85.0 | 85.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 | 70.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 50 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 151 | 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 | 2 |  |

Intersection: 38: Dundas Street West/Dundas St W \& Tremaine Rd

| Movement | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 260.9 | 262.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 145.0 | 254.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 337.5 | 257.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 250.2 | 250.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 56 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 82 | 388 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |


[^0]:    Bernie Steiger, MCIP, RPP
    Acting Manager-South
    Planning Services
    Legislative \& Planning Services

