



# **Parks, Recreation and Library Master Plan**

## **Update to South Central Public Lands Study Committee**

Committee Meeting  
September 11, 2012

Live it! Vision 2057



# Background

- **Technical report completed fall 2011**
- **Community Consultation : May – July 2012**
  - Focus groups
  - Interviews
  - On-line feedback form
  - Email comments
  - Open houses
  - Written submissions
- **South Central Public Lands Study Consultation**
  - Launch – June 21
  - Online feedback survey
  - Ratepayers group meetings



# Objectives

- **Provide overview of feedback relative to the sites being considered in the South Central Public Lands Study**
- **Seek input from the Sub –Committee on the Master Plan as it relates to the South Central Public Lands Study**



# Master Plan Inputs

## ICE – Oakville Arena/Trafalgar Park

### Technical Recommendation

- Current over-supply of 1 ice pad
- Given age and condition, close Oakville Arena
- Construct twin pad arena beyond 2021

### Community Input

- Retain ice and community facility (i.e. Pine Room) at Trafalgar Park
- Retain outdoor pool and sports fields

### Draft Conclusions / Recommendations

- Retain ice at Trafalgar Park and report back to CSC/Council on options and costs associated with retaining ice at Trafalgar Park including capital and operating costs.
- The report will come forward on the same night that the master plan report



# Master Plan Inputs

## Indoor Pools

### Technical Recommendation

- There is excess capacity in indoor pools and should Centennial pool be repurposed (through Downtown Cultural Hub Study) that it not be replaced at this site.

### Community Input

- Retain an indoor pool in south Oakville
- That a pool should be part of a community centre
- Geographic distribution of facilities should be considered as well as technical need

### Draft Conclusions / Recommendations

- Plan for the replacement of Centennial Pool in South Central Oakville co-located with other community recreation facilities



# Master Plan Inputs

## Community Centre

### Technical Recommendation

- Long term population growth suggests the need for a minor community centre in south central Oakville containing multiuse and activity space

### Community Input

- Develop a full community centre including indoor pool
- Geographic distribution of facilities should be considered as well as technical need

### Draft Conclusions / Discussions

- Develop a new multi-use / multi-generational community centre in south central Oakville, potentially with an indoor pool



# Master Plan Inputs

## Dedicated Seniors' Facilities

### Technical Recommendation

- Consider replacing the Oakville Seniors Citizens' Recreation Centre with a new facility possibly on the site of the Oakville Arena or OTMH lands

### Community Input

- Seniors' facilities should be considered for the new community centre
- The seniors' community supports a new seniors' facility attached to other community recreation facilities to replace the current facility but only in the Kerr St. corridor
- The new facility will need to retain its independence and at minimum, all existing amenities

### Draft Conclusions / Recommendations

- Consider options for replacing the Oakville Seniors Citizens' Recreation Centre with a new purpose built facility along the Kerr. St. corridor



# Master Plan Inputs

## Parkland

### Technical Recommendation

- Maintain a “town wide” active parkland provision level of 2.2 ha per 1,000 population for active recreational use

### Community Input

- General support for active parkland provision of 2.2 ha per 1,000, but would like to see an equal distribution within geographic areas
- Request to see revise mapping that separates active parkland from passive open spaces (valley lands, woodlots, NHS)

### Draft Conclusions / Recommendations

- Town is actively establishing “community parks” for active sports fields in non residential areas and along fringe areas of future residential neighbourhoods to minimize impact of lights, noise, traffic and parking and other related active impacts
- It is not practical or possible to have equal active parkland distribution
- A detailed parkland analysis will be presented with Master Plan in October



# Master Plan Inputs

## Library

### Technical Recommendation

- Maintain standard of 0.58 sf/capita
- Service radius reduced to 2.5 km (townwide)
- Retain the existing Central Library as a branch and relocate administrative and central technical functions to a new main library

### Community Input

- Retain the Central Library as a full branch
- Retain administrative functions in downtown Central Branch

### Draft Conclusions / Recommendations

- Retain administrative functions downtown in a redesigned and refurbished Central Branch and relocate technical functions only to more suitable new branch



# Next Steps for Master Plan

Item / Event	Timing
Council Subcommittee input	September 11, 2012
Final Community Consultation	September 26, 2012
Final Draft Plan to Community Services Committee	October 23, 2012
Final Draft Plan to Council	October 29, 2012
10-Year Capital Forecast	Q1, 2013



# Next Steps for South Central Public Lands Study

Item / Event	Timing
Subcommittee Meeting	November 6, 2012
Public Design & Evaluation Workshops	November 2012
Update to Subcommittee	December 4, 2012
Financial Analysis (in context of 10-year capital plan)	Q1, 2013
Recommended Preferred Options to Planning & Development Council	Q1-Q2, 2013