

**Deer Run Avenue Class Environmental Assessment - Received Comment Cards**

Public Information Centre #1 - November 26, 2015

What are the Most important factors to consider when evaluating each proposed options?	Is anything missing from the Town's list of evaluation criteria?	Which proposed alternative do you prefer?								Why did you choose that option?	Additional Comments
		1A - Cul-de-sac	1B - Cul-de-sac	1C - Cul-de-sac	1D - Cul-de-sac	1E - Cul-de-sac	2 - Extentio	3- Extentio	4 - No Nothing		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Need access to road	
Safety	Consideration to backup vehicles should have been considered before the road was closed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Both allow large vehicles to turn or exit and it eliminates the current truck backup happening with backing into & performing 3 point turns at Acadia Crt	
Preserving direct access to Winston Churchill Blvd for safety, commuting, environmental and traffic benefits	Yes - No mention of commuting to GO train station, the commute to which has been doubled.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reopens the road at least cost, preserves direct access to Winston Churchill and Clarkson GO Station	*There is no information on the issue of Heusel Construction *Shouldn't this land have to be appropriated regardless? *Destroying Cristan Farms is a terrible idea. Those options should be eliminated. Isn't this a major negative envirnmental consequence?
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I live on Deer Run and my strong preference would be to close the east end. If this is not determined to resolve the situation and it is re-opened my concern is the large number and speed of vehicles that come down Deer Run Ave. I have real concerns for the safety of residents and I need to be reassured that those who don't live on our street, or even in the near area will be required to slow down.
The objectively collected by way of a comprehensive survey of all local residents impacted by the closure of Deer Run Avenue		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	This option will re-instate an access avenue that has served hundreds of tax-paying households for >30 years.	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	To insure that continuity of road access that has been available for 30 years.	
Safety of our children		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	Speed Bumps & Reduced Speed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety & Cost	
Operational connectivity & Safety, ease of implementation, impacts to utilities/sewers, environmental impacts.	Traffic calming measure on Deer Run if reopen it are very important for saftey.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prefer road reopening assuming traffic calming measure are done. If cul-de-is chosen do one big enough to be effective.	Congrats to Jill & team for providing an informative update under difficult circumstances.

**Deer Run Avenue Class Environmental Assessment - Received Comment Cards**

Public Information Centre #1 - November 26, 2015

What are the Most important factors to consider when evaluating each proposed options?	Is anything missing from the Town's list of evaluation criteria?	Which proposed alternative do you prefer?								Why did you choose that option?	Additional Comments
		1A - Cul-de-sac	1B - Cul-de-sac	1C - Cul-de-sac	1D - Cul-de-sac	1E - Cul-de-sac	2 - Extentio	3- Extentio	4 - No Nothing		
The main factor is safety regardless of which option is chosen and that can only be accomplished with a cul-de-sac	Not that I recongize	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Logically it makes the most sense to me	As a mother with very young children and an actual resident of Deer Run Ave I have been very affected by this closure. Our street has changed dramatically and in the most positive way. The amount of traffic previously using our road has continued to rise. There is absolutely no regard for the residents of the street as they travel at ridiculous speeds to get to the train or get home. Safety is of utmost concern and with the rise in break-ins (before the road has closed) we were getting concerned. They used to have a quick getaway towards Winston Churchill. We use to see drug deals happening on the end of the road and no longer is this an issue. The traffic is much less and people are only on the road who have a purpose to be there. The opinion of people who "use" the road to make their lives easier and to save an extra 3 minutes in their day should not be taken into consideration. The residents of Deer Run want this road closed. Our childrens safety is too important. The Sad part of the meeting this evening is that only people who have a financial vested interest seem to be the ones whose opinions seem to matter.
Neighbourhood Safety -> Speeding, Robbery/home break ins, illegal dumping in the lane, truck/vehicles backing up & turning, finally cost.	Safety & Residential property value impacts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Radius balances turning radius of waste removal and snow clearing & cost of construction -> not relocating hydro vault. More importantly keeps the lane closed.	The discussion of speed control is an issue that needs to be addressed.

**Deer Run Avenue Class Environmental Assessment - Received Comment Cards**

Public Information Centre #1 - November 26, 2015

What are the Most important factors to consider when evaluating each proposed options?	Is anything missing from the Town's list of evaluation criteria?	Which proposed alternative do you prefer?								Why did you choose that option?	Additional Comments
		1A - Cul-de-sac	1B - Cul-de-sac	1C - Cul-de-sac	1D - Cul-de-sac	1E - Cul-de-sac	2 - Extentio	3- Extentio	4 - No Nothing		
Safety for my children & other children/residents in the neighbourhood and particularly resident of Deer Run. Fire Dept will always come from Ford/Cornwall a road closure does not affect fire safety.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quick, cheap & safe. Reduction of speeding traffic	Non-Deer Run residents do not and have not experienced the 400+ cars, (driving in the middle of the road because of the curves in the road) and usually speeding in the morning & at night around the Clarkson GO train schedule. Residents of Acacia, Barclay, Cairns Valley & Aspen Forest & Claremont really have no standing for comment. They are merely incovienced. They don't deal with the passing speeding traffic, they don't deal with the safety of their children, their objection are all based on their perceived inconvenience.
Consensus of most people	Grandfather clause	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Long term resident used road without any problems for 30 years	
Access to Winston Churchill		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Quo & removal of obstacles worked for over thirty years	
Safety & Highest common benefit to community as a whole not just those close by	Traffic calming and speed control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Less disruptive to the farm. Continues with the road being open which was open for the majority time that people have lived here.	
Convienient & Safety		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety. Easy traffic	
Access to Winston Churchill, Speed & Safety		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Least costly & most efficient - Just by the land or Expropriate	
Fair Economic evaluation of all alternatives. Transparency		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Sense of not being closed in with no escape in case of train derailment	The danger to citizens not having exit other then Ford Drive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	For security, snow removal, ambulance, accessibility to GO train	We have been residents for 26 years & were more surprised to have this issue pop up from nowhere! The Town signed it, put a light, plowed it etc, etc! Now we are undoing all this and putting an end to the access. Solutions seem to be biased 6 to 1 rather unfair! Speed Bumps would reduce speed for safety of children and walkers. travel time has doubled.

**Deer Run Avenue Class Environmental Assessment - Received Comment Cards**

Public Information Centre #1 - November 26, 2015

What are the Most important factors to consider when evaluating each proposed options?	Is anything missing from the Town's list of evaluation criteria?	Which proposed alternative do you prefer?								Why did you choose that option?	Additional Comments
		1A - Cul-de-sac	1B - Cul-de-sac	1C - Cul-de-sac	1D - Cul-de-sac	1E - Cul-de-sac	2 - Extentio	3- Extentio	4 - No Nothing		
Access to Winston Churchill in a safe manner - no increase in volume of traffic. Seems that only locals use this.	Seems complete - missing timeline for EA	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	It was a major reason in purchasing my home. Access to Winston Churchill, GO train, shopping, QEW	Option 2 is clearly the best solution come to an agreement purchase/expropriate the land from Heusel
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	A duplicate of the existing road (but legal)	
Speed & drug dealing on deer run (multiple 'escape' routes)	Looks good. I think if a developer isn't developing land they should not be allowed to hold city hostage. Use it or lose it.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Remove 400 cars from traveling on deer run everyday	Would have been helpful to have time like & budget for each alternative. Just a suggestion
Safety of children and residents	Amount of usage of road by non-residents and speed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Most economical	Add additional safety criteria, speed bumps, children safety
Safety for the residents of Deer Run, prevent speeding	Speed, amount of usage by non Deer Run residents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	No additional land cost, minimal construction costs	Additional safety criteria, speeding, children safety, methods slowing traffic
Safety - traffic calming, the safety of children	Traffic calming	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Large cul-de-sac, Deer Run extension	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Access to GO train	
Access to Winston Churchill		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Most efficient plan, direct & can handle traffic flow. It deserves to be a proper city road!	Speed bump would be necessary or alternative speed reducing measures.
Access to Winston Churchill, Cost, doability	Option 5 - negotiate with land owner and continue "as is"	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	It can work, cost efficient, would meet with the residents approval	What changed, why did the land owner "decide" to stop residents from using the road
Safety, excess speed down Deer Run Ave, Large vehicles backing up. Connectivity, limiting additional travel times		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Safety, partly during rush hour	
Impacts to existing properties and land uses. Ease of implementation.	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	It has minimal impact on the local farmland	The presentation was very informative and all of the questions I had about the issue were answered.

**Deer Run Avenue Class Environmental Assessment - Received Comment Cards**

Public Information Centre #1 - November 26, 2015

What are the Most important factors to consider when evaluating each proposed options?	Is anything missing from the Town's list of evaluation criteria?	Which proposed alternative do you prefer?								Why did you choose that option?	Additional Comments
		1A - Cul-de-sac	1B - Cul-de-sac	1C - Cul-de-sac	1D - Cul-de-sac	1E - Cul-de-sac	2 - Extentio	3- Extentio	4 - No Nothing		
Safety, controlled traffic flow	Speeding, calm traffic, speed bump installation on Deer Run or 3-way stop sign @ acacia Crt	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	I feel they support the emergency access needs & conrol cost & traffic safety is best.	
Children safety, control & calm traffic - stop sign @ Acacia Crt if re-open	Control of speed, of traffic way to make cars slow down if open run	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Support emergency access while maintaining cost & control traffic speed & flow.	
Safety, functionality, neighbourhood traffic volumes	Imapct on neighbourhood: degree to which the extention of Deer Run will have on auto & truck traffic volumes, noise, safety in residential area, and neighbourhood character or community fabric in light of the planned function of the existing and planned employment lands to the immediate north	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Meets or more closely resembles the ideal/proper functionality/road standards that are appropriate for this sized subdivision	