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## 1 Introduction

772 Winston Churchill Boulevard (the 'development site') is located on the west side of Winston Churchill Boulevard, approximately 600 metres south of the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive in the Town of Oakville, Ontario. The development site is currently vacant.

Previously, IBI Group (now Arcadis) prepared the report Transportation Impact Study - 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard for a proposed commercial development at the development site, dated December 9, 2015 (the "2015 report"). As the proposed commercial development from the 2015 report was ultimately not constructed, 772 Winston Churchill GP Inc., as General Partner for 772 Winston Churchill Limited Partnership now proposes to construct two industrial buildings totalling 60,112.28 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ for warehouse uses (the "proposed development").

The purpose of this report is to analyze the impact that the proposed development will have on the traffic for the surrounding road network. This report takes into consideration future road improvements, background growth, other developments in the area, and examines the location of the proposed site accesses. This report also provides a high-level review of site plan features and examines functional circulation for vehicular traffic.

This report is outlined with the following sections:

- $\quad$ Section 2 through Section 4 discuss the transportation impact study (TIS);
- Section 5 discusses the location and configuration of the proposed site accesses;
- Section 6 discusses the Winston Churchill Boulevard corridor review;
- Section 7 discusses the vehicle swept path analysis; and
- Section 8 discusses conclusions made and the study recommendations based on the preceding sections.
This report adheres to the scope of investigation developed by Arcadis and discussed with The Regional Municipality of Peel (the applicable road authority under the Regional Municipality of Peel / Regional Municipality of Halton boundary road agreement) on September 24, 2020, and circulated to the Regional Municipality of Halton (collectively, the "Review Agencies"). This correspondence is presented in Appendix A.


### 1.1 Project Understanding

### 1.1.1 Site Description

772 Winston Churchill Boulevard is located at the boundary between the Town of Oakville (Halton Region) and the City of Mississauga (Peel Region), and is currently unoccupied. The proponent is proposing to develop two industrial buildings, occupying a total of $60,112.28 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area (GFA) for warehousing uses including ancillary site management office space. Parking is proposed to consist of 338 at-grade parking spaces ( 322 conventional spaces, plus 16 barrier-free spaces), accessible via one of two proposed accesses onto Winston Churchill Boulevard. Full build-out is expected in a single phase, with the specific tenant to be determined.

The proposed site plan is presented in Exhibit 1-1. It should be noted that small changes in building sizes may occur as this development moves through the approval process. However, the assumptions in this report are conservative, and differences in traffic operations from these changes are expected to be negligible.


### 1.1.2 Study Area

Based on the location of the proposed development and confirmation with the Review Agencies, it was agreed that the study area would consist of the following intersections, as shown in Exhibit 1-2:

1. Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive (signalized);
2. Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road (signalized);
3. Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road (signalized);
4. Winston Churchill Boulevard and Proposed North Site Access (unsignalized); and
5. Winston Churchill Boulevard and Proposed South Site Access / Future Road (signalized).
Exhibit 1-2: Development Study Area


Base Map Source: Conservation Halton. October 6, 2020,
http://camaps.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=a2928bf280194294a4027111f8ff284a

## 2 Existing Traffic Conditions

This section documents the transportation network in the study area in 2020, including existing roadways, traffic control measures, intersection performance, walking and cycling facilities, and transit operations.

### 2.1 Existing Road Network

### 2.1.1 Winston Churchill Boulevard

Winston Churchill Boulevard is a north-south arterial road under the jurisdiction of Peel Region. The speed limit along Winston Churchill Boulevard in the study is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. This road has one lane in each direction for the majority of study area. The road becomes a four-lane road with exclusive right-turn and left-turn lanes, as it intersects Royal Windsor Drive. This road also acts as the boundary between the Town of Oakville (Halton Region) to the west and the City of Mississauga (Peel Region) to the east.

### 2.1.2 Royal Windsor Drive

Royal Windsor Drive is a four-lane east-west arterial road under the jurisdictions of the Town of Oakville (west of Winston Churchill Boulevard), and the City of Mississauga (east of Winston Churchill Boulevard). This road has a speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

### 2.1.3 Beryl Road

Beryl Road is a two-lane east-west local roadway under the jurisdiction of the Town of Oakville. This road intersects Winston Churchill Boulevard from the west and forms a T-intersection. The road has a speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

### 2.1.4 Lakeshore Road West / Lakeshore Road East

Lakeshore Road is a two-lane east-west road. To the west of Winston Churchill Boulevard, this road is referred to as Lakeshore Road East, which is under the jurisdiction of the Town of Oakville, and has a speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. To the east of Winston Churchill Boulevard, the road is referred to as Lakeshore Road West, which is under the jurisdiction of the City of Mississauga and has a speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

### 2.1.5 Site Accesses

The Proposed North Site Access is to intersect Winston Churchill Boulevard at approximately 180 metres south from the north property line. This unsignalized access is proposed to be restricted to right-in, right-out movements only, and would consist of one lane per direction.

The Proposed South Site Access is to intersect Winston Churchill Boulevard at approximately 56 metres north from the south property line and is approximately 180 metres south of the Proposed North Site Access. The intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the Proposed South Site Access is proposed to be signalized and located opposite of Orr Road, located east of the development site, as described in further detail in Section 3.1.4.

### 2.2 Public Transit Accessibility

Transit Route 14 - Lorne Park, operated by MiWay, provides transit service during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Periods at frequencies of approximately every 30 minutes, and does not operate on weekends or holidays. Transit Route 14 runs between Winston Churchill Boulevard
and Port Credit GO Station, with Clarkson GO Station as an intermediate stop. Transit Route 14 is illustrated in Exhibit 2-1.

Exhibit 2-1: Existing Transit Network


Base Map Source: MiWay. October 6, 2020, https://www.mississauga.ca/miway-transit/schedules-and-maps/schedules/

### 2.3 Pedestrian and Cyclist Facilities

Existing cycling infrastructure facilities within the study area include multi-use trail connections along Beryl Road, dedicated bicycle lanes along Royal Windsor Drive between Winston Churchill Drive and Ford Drive, and the Waterfront Trail that runs along Lakeshore Road. A map of the existing cycling infrastructure facilities is presented in Exhibit 2-2.

Exhibit 2-2: Study Area Bicycle Route Map


Base Map Source: City of Mississauga. October 7, 2020, https://www.mississaugabikes.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Mississauga-Cycling-Map-2018-web-with-panels.pdf

The existing pedestrian infrastructure includes the above-noted shared multi-use trail along Beryl Road, as well as the Waterfront Trail along Lakeshore Road. In addition, crosswalks are present at all legs of the study area intersections. All existing crosswalks are equipped with pedestrian signals and all side-street crosswalks are equipped with pedestrian pushbuttons. In lieu of any sidewalks along Winston Churchill Boulevard, south of Beryl Road, there are paved shoulders on either side of Winston Churchill Boulevard that provide a smooth walking surface for pedestrians.

### 2.4 Signal Timings

The current signal timing plans for all existing signalized intersections were provided by Peel Region staff, which has jurisdiction over the signalized intersections along Winston Churchill Boulevard. The signal timing plans for the signalized study area intersections are provided in Appendix B.

It should be noted that, at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor, if a pedestrian call is received for the east crosswalk then the maximum extension of the southbound left-turn protected phase is limited so that conflicting pedestrian walk and flashing don't walk can be provided. If no call is received, then the southbound left-turn phase can be extended to the maximum specified by the timing card. This operation was verified in the field, but a minimum phase length error is returned if modelled as per the timing card.

### 2.5 Turning Movement Counts

The turning movement counts for all existing intersections in the study area were acquired from Spectrum Traffic. The date of completion for each count is presented in Exhibit 2-3.

Exhibit 2-3: Traffic Data Information

|  | Data <br> Source | Date | Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection | AM | PM |  |  |
| Winston Churchill <br> Boulevard and Royal <br> Windsor Drive | Spectrum <br> Traffic | Tuesday, January <br> 31,2017 | 8:00 a.m. -9:00 a.m. | 5:00 p.m. $-6: 00$ p.m. |
| Winston Churchill <br> Boulevard and Beryl <br> Road | Spectrum <br> Traffic | Tuesday, January <br> 31,2017 | 8:00 a.m. -9:00 a.m. | 4:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m. |
| Winston Churchill <br> Boulevard and <br> Lakeshore Road <br> East / Lakeshore <br> Road West | Spectrum <br> Traffic | Tuesday, January <br> 31,2017 | 8:00 a.m. -9:00 a.m. | 4:00 p.m. -5:00 p.m. |

As the counts were completed in 2017, supplemental turning movement counts at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive from March 2019 were acquired to determine annual directional traffic growth rates, which are presented in Exhibit 2-4. Refer to Appendix C for full turning movement count sheets.
Exhibit 2-4: Compounded Annual Traffic Growth Rates

| Direction | Compounded Annual Growth Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Northbound | $4.8 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Eastbound | $13.1 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| Southbound | $11.0 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| Westbound | $5.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |

The compounded annualized growth rates, as shown in Exhibit 2-4, were applied to the appropriate through movements at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive, as well as the eastbound through and westbound through movements at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West. All turning movement counts were subsequently balanced to determine 2020 Existing Conditions volumes, as illustrated in Exhibit 2-5. It should be noted that the annual traffic growth rates presented above are solely intended to estimate 2020 Existing Conditions volumes. Separate annual traffic growth rates to determine future traffic volumes post-2020 are discussed in
Section 3.1.1.


## $2.6 \quad 2020$ Existing Conditions Analysis

The intersections were analyzed using the Synchro 11.0 analysis software and the Highway Capacity Manual methodology. As described in Peel Region's Traffic Impact Study Guidelines document, the following criteria were used to identify critical movements for signalized intersections:

- Volume to capacity (v/c) ratios for overall intersection operations, through movements, or shared through / turning movements increased to 0.90 or above;
- $\quad$ v/c ratios for exclusive turning movements increased to 1.00 or above; or
- Queues that exceed the provided storage capacity.

A summary of the critical movements for the 2020 Existing Conditions traffic operations analysis can be found in Exhibit 2-6, while full Synchro reports are provided in Appendix D.

Exhibit 2-6: 2020 Existing Conditions Traffic Operations - Signalized Intersections

| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c Ratio | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | D | 42.7 | 0.58 | EBL | D | 46.5 | 0.56 | 51 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | D | 53.7 | 0.90 | 181 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 94.4 | 0.69 | 29 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 36.5 | 0.46 | 79 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 31.2 | 0.11 | 15 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | C | 31.1 | 0.09 | 12 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 31.1 | 0.12 | 24 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 30.5 | 0.07 | 13 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 61.8 | 0.76 | 73 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 16.1 | 0.18 | 31 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 16.0 | 0.15 | 19 | 95 |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road | A | 8.1 | 0.37 | EBL | C | 25.4 | 0.36 | 17 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 23.5 | 0.04 | 8 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.4 | 0.03 | 2 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 3.9 | 0.17 | 16 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBTR | A | 5.2 | 0.37 | 36 |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West | B | 19.4 | 0.45 | EBL | A | 7.4 | 0.21 | 24 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 8.2 | 0.33 | 50 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 6.4 | 0.08 | 13 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 6.1 | 0.04 | 4 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 44.1 | 0.79 | 71 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 27.6 | 0.09 | 13 |  |


| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | Los | Delay(s) | v/c Ratio | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c <br> Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | D | 35.5 | 0.52 | EBL | C | 29.9 | 0.60 | 39 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 28.0 | 0.48 | 91 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | D | 36.2 | 0.31 | 29 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 40.9 | 0.66 | 124 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 35.2 | 0.35 | 26 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 38.9 | 0.31 | 41 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 36.3 | 0.24 | 42 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 33.6 | 0.04 | 2 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 63.9 | 0.62 | 42 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 22.9 | 0.14 | 27 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 22.4 | 0.08 | 12 | 95 |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road | A | 7.3 | 0.30 | EBL | C | 25.4 | 0.41 | 19 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 22.9 | 0.01 | 4 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.6 | 0.05 | 4 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 4.7 | 0.28 | 28 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBTR | A | 4.7 | 0.28 | 25 | - |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West | B | 11.4 | 0.23 | EBL | A | 3.7 | 0.12 | 11 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 3.8 | 0.16 | 20 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 3.8 | 0.16 | 20 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 3.9 | 0.18 | 6 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 38.7 | 0.50 | 28 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 35.0 | 0.10 | 16 | - |

Note: Red font represents a critical movement.
As shown in Exhibit 2-6, overall operations for the signalized study area intersections were found to be operating below capacity thresholds during the Weekday AM and Weekday PM Peak Hours. With respect to individual movements, the shared eastbound through / right-turn movement at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive was found to be operating above critical capacity thresholds during the Weekday AM Peak Hour, with a $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 0.90 . All remaining movement during the Weekday AM and Weekday PM Peak Hours were found to operate below critical thresholds.

## 3 Future Traffic Conditions

### 3.12028 Future Background Conditions

This section discusses the proposed development horizon year, background traffic growth rates, anticipated future road network improvement, and other development-related traffic in the study area under the 2028 horizon year.

### 3.1.1 Horizon Year

As per the Peel Region Traffic Impact Study Guidelines, described in Section 2.6, and as confirmed with the Review Agencies (see Appendix A), a horizon year of 2028 (5 years from the anticipated full build-out date of the proposed development) would be used for the traffic analysis under Future Background and Future Total conditions.

### 3.1.2 Growth Rate

Consistent with Review Agency comments from the 2015 report, a 2.0\% annual traffic growth rate was applied to through movements along major roads within the study area (i.e., Winston Churchill Boulevard, Royal Windsor Drive, and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West). This results in an absolute increase in traffic volumes of approximately $17.2 \%$ between 2020 and 2028.

### 3.1.3 Background Developments

Based on correspondence with the Review Agencies (see Appendix A), four background developments which are expected to generate traffic volumes affecting the study area intersections have been identified in the vicinity of the development site. Details regarding these background development are presented in Exhibit 3-1 and are illustrated geographically in Exhibit 3-2.

Exhibit 3-1: Background Development Summary

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ID | Address / Location | Size and Nature of Background Development |$|$| 1 | 2175 Cornwall Road | 1 warehouse building consisting of approximately $28,900 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2395 Cornwall Road | 1 industrial building consisting of $5,094 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA. |
| 3 | 560 Winston Churchill <br> Boulevard | 2 warehouse buildings, totalling $58,655 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{GFA}$ in size. |
| 4 | 759 Winston Churchill <br> Boulevard | 3 new industrial buildings with a combined $69,710 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA |

Exhibit 3-2: Background Developments


The development generated traffic and trip assignments for the 759 Winston Churchill Boulevard were retrieved from excepts of an April 2022 transportation impact study prepared by and provided by LEA Consulting Ltd. In lieu of any identified transportation impact studies published for the proposed 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard and 2395 Cornwall Road developments, background development site trips were estimated using average rate and fitted curve data (where applicable) from the publication Trip Generation Manual, 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, September 2017). The estimated net vehicle trips for the proposed background developments at 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard and 2395 Cornwall Road are presented in Exhibit 3-3. Trip generation source data is presented in Appendix E.

Exhibit 3-3: Background Development Trip Generation
560 Winston Churchill Boulevard, Oakville
LUC 150: Warehousing - 631,357.17 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(58,655 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$

| Term | Unit | Weekday AM Peak Hour | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Generation Equation | vehicle trips / $1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}=0.12(\mathrm{X})+25.32$ |  | $\mathrm{~T}=0.12(\mathrm{X})+27.82$ |  |
| Total Trips | vehicles / hour |  |  |  |  |
| New Inbound Trips | vehicles / hour | 78 | $77 \%$ | 28 | $27 \%$ |
| New Outbound Trips | vehicles / hour | 23 | $23 \%$ | 76 | $73 \%$ |

2395 Cornwall Road, Oakville
LUC 110: General Light Industrial - 54,831 ft² (5,094 m²)

| Term | Unit | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trip Generation Equation | vehicle trips / $1000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  | - |  |  |
| Total Trips | vehicles / hour |  | 38 |  | 35 |
| New Inbound Trips | vehicles / hour | 33 | 88\% | 5 | 13\% |
| New Outbound Trips | vehicles / hour | 5 | 12\% | 30 | 87\% |

Trips associated with the 2175 Cornwall Road background development were assigned to the study area according to the trip distribution scheme and other supporting information presented in the following documents:

- 2175 Cornwall Road Traffic Impact Study Update, Oakville, ON (McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd., November 2020); and
- Response to Paradigm Transportation Solution Limited Technical Review Comments for the 2175 Cornwall Road, Traffic Impact Study Update, Oakville (McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd., March 2021).

With respect to the 2395 Cornwall Road and 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard background developments, trips were assigned to the study area intersections based on data from the 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS). Background development trip assignments are illustrated in Exhibit 3-4.


### 3.1.4 Planned Road Improvements

As mentioned in Section 1, a previous transportation impact study for the development site had been issued on December 9, 2015. Comments received from Peel Region, Halton Region, and the Town of Oakville with regards to the 2015 report include the consideration of a westward extension of Orr Road (located east of the development site) to form a signalized intersection with Winston Churchill Boulevard. This future signalized intersection would align with the Proposed South Site Access and would be equipped with an exclusive northbound left-turn lane ${ }^{1}$ and an exclusive southbound left-turn lane ${ }^{2}$. A future dedicated southbound left-turn lane ${ }^{3}$, opposite the northbound left-turn lane was assumed for the purposes of analysis. These future roadway changes are illustrated in Exhibit 3-5 and Exhibit 3-6. It should also be noted that the lane configurations for the Future Orr Road Extension are conceptual at this time and are subject to change during detailed design stages.

[^0]Exhibit 3-5: Future Road Extension of Orr Road to Winston Churchill Boulevard


Base Map Source: Conservation Halton. October 6, 2020,
http://camaps.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=a2928bf280194294a4027111f8ff284a

Exhibit 3-6: Future Signalized Intersection Lane Configurations


This future roadway extension and signalized intersection with Winston Churchill Boulevard has been accounted for in 2028 Future Background and 2028 Future Total Conditions.

Based on Review Agency comments, no other road improvements are planned by 2028 that will significantly affect traffic operations in the study area. It is our understanding that an urbanization of Winston Churchill Boulevard may occur beyond 2028, and this urbanization may include the provision for dedicated cycling facilities and / or a multi-use path.

### 3.1.5 2028 Future Background Conditions Analysis

New trips resulting from background traffic growth were added to the 2020 Existing Conditions scenario, producing the 2028 Future Background Conditions traffic volumes illustrated in Exhibit 3-7.

Exhibit 3-7: 2028 Future Background Conditions Traffic Volumes


The 2028 Future Background traffic analysis results are presented in Exhibit 3-8. Full Synchro reports are provided in Appendix $\mathbf{F}$.

Exhibit 3-8: 2028 Future Background Conditions Traffic Operations - Signalized Intersections

| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay(s) | v/c Ratio | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage Capacity (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | E | 70.4 | 0.95 | EBL | E | 57.2 | 0.68 | 60 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | F | 111.3 | 1.12 | 266 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 433.1 | 1.71 | 57 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 38.2 | 0.54 | 94 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 31.2 | 0.11 | 15 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | C | 33.7 | 0.19 | 20 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 31.8 | 0.18 | 33 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 31.0 | 0.10 | 18 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 61.8 | 0.76 | 73 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 17.5 | 0.30 | 53 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 16.3 | 0.18 | 26 | 95 |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road | A | 9.6 | 0.63 | EBL | C | 25.4 | 0.37 | 17 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 23.4 | 0.05 | 8 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.8 | 0.05 | 2 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 4.4 | 0.25 | 23 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBTR | A | 9.0 | 0.67 | 94 |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West | C | 20.7 | 0.52 | EBL | A | 8.8 | 0.28 | 30 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 9.7 | 0.39 | 60 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 7.2 | 0.10 | 15 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 6.9 | 0.04 | 4 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 47.5 | 0.85 | 91 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 26.4 | 0.11 | 14 |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Future Orr Road Extension | A | 8 | 0.37 | WBT | C | 25.6 | 0.04 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 3.2 | 0.19 | 16 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 7.5 | 0.29 | 36 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 8.5 | 0.41 | 88 | - |


| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay(s) | v/c Ratio | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | D | 38.1 | 0.66 | EBL | D | 39.3 | 0.72 | 44 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 30.1 | 0.57 | 113 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | D | 43.7 | 0.48 | 37 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 45.1 | 0.77 | 152 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 36.9 | 0.42 | 47 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 46.3 | 0.54 | 67 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 38.8 | 0.39 | 69 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 34.0 | 0.06 | 13 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 63.9 | 0.62 | 42 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 23.6 | 0.19 | 36 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 22.4 | 0.08 | 12 | 95 |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road | A | 7.8 | 0.49 | EBL | C | 25.4 | 0.45 | 21 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 22.6 | 0.01 | 5 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 2.8 | 0.06 | 2 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 6.2 | 0.50 | 78 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBTR | A | 5.6 | 0.39 | 38 |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West | B | 12.2 | 0.27 | EBL | A | 4.3 | 0.15 | 13 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 4.3 | 0.20 | 26 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 4.3 | 0.20 | 26 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 4.5 | 0.21 | 8 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 39.7 | 0.57 | 33 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 34.0 | 0.13 | 17 | - |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Future Orr Road Extension | A | 7.6 | 0.33 | WBT | C | 22.6 | 0.12 | 14 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 5.8 | 0.38 | 37 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 2.3 | 0.09 | 2 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 2.7 | 0.25 | 9 | - |

Note: Red font represents a critical movement.
As shown in the above analysis, the shared eastbound through / right turn and westbound left turn movements at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive during the Weekday AM Peak Hour is anticipated to operate above capacity (v/c ratio of 1.12 and 1.71 , respectively). These results indicate that the addition of background traffic is expected to exacerbate the operational constraint previously noted under 2020 Existing Conditions.

### 3.22028 Future Total Traffic Conditions

The 2028 Future Total traffic conditions analyzes a scenario in which the anticipated site traffic volumes are added to the 2028 Future Background traffic volumes.

### 3.2.1 Proposed Site Accesses

As discussed in Section 2.1.5, vehicular traffic will access the proposed development via either the Proposed North Site Access (right-in movements only) or the Proposed South Site Access. The proposed full build-out lane configurations are illustrated in Exhibit 3-9.


### 3.2.2 Trip Generation

Trip Generation Manual, 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, September 2017), as mentioned in Section 3.1.3, was used to determine the associated number of trips to be generated by the proposed development. It should be noted that the trip generation estimates and subsequent traffic analysis are based on an earlier development concept which consisted of $63,265.68 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of GFA for warehousing uses (i.e., a $3,153.40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA larger development). Given that the proposed development is smaller in size when compared to the previous development concept, the anticipated numbers of site trips are expected to be less than those presented below, resulting in a conservative analysis. As a result, traffic operations are likely to be better than presented in this report.

Based on a review of existing transit network, pedestrian facility, cyclist facility, and observed non-motorized movement counts, it is anticipated that most trips generated by the proposed development will be by vehicle. As a result, no reduction is applied for nonauto trips. This is anticipated to be a conservative estimate, as there may be carpooling and a number of transit riders accessing the site.
Based on the nature of the proposed development, its location context, and the data quality, fitted curve data for vehicle trips, Land Use Code 150: Warehousing - General Urban/Suburban was used. The estimated net new trips generated by the proposed development are illustrated in Exhibit 3-10.

Exhibit 3-10: Proposed Development Trip Generation

## 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard, Oakville

LUC 150: Warehousing - 734,805.66 ft² $\left(63,265.68 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$

| Term | Unit | Weekday AM Peak Hour | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trip Generation Equation | vehicle trips / 1000 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}=0.12(\mathrm{X})+25.32$ |  | $\mathrm{~T}=0.12(\mathrm{X})+27.82$ |  |
| Total Trips | vehicles / hour |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ |
| New Inbound Trips | vehicles / hour | 87 | $77 \%$ | 31 | $27 \%$ |
| New Outbound Trips | vehicles / hour | 26 | $23 \%$ | 85 | $73 \%$ |

As shown in Exhibit 3-10, the proposed development is expected to generate up to 113 net new vehicle trips during the Weekday AM Peak Hour (87 inbound trips and 26 outbound trips) and up to 116 net new vehicle trips during the Weekday PM Peak Hour ( 31 inbound trips and 85 outbound trips). Trip generation source data is presented in Appendix E.

### 3.2.3 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution for site trips was determined based on data from the 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS), and is presented in Exhibit 3-11.

Exhibit 3-11: Site Trip Distribution

| To / From | Inbound Trips |  | Outbound Trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| North (along Winston Churchill Boulevard) | 44\% | 30\% | 47\% | 23\% |
| East (along Royal Windsor Drive) | 0\% | 3\% | 7\% | 11\% |
| East (along Lakeshore Road West) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| West (along Royal Windsor Drive) | 41\% | 50\% | 24\% | 41\% |
| West (along Beryl Road) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| West (along Lakeshore Road East) | 15\% | 17\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Site trips ${ }^{4}$ were assigned to the study area roadways based on logical travel patterns, as illustrated in Exhibit 3-12.

[^1]

New trips resulting from the construction of the proposed development were added to the 2028 Future Background conditions scenario, producing the 2028 Future Total traffic volumes illustrated in Exhibit 3-13.


### 3.2.4 2028 Future Total Conditions Analysis

Using these 2028 Future Total Conditions traffic volumes, traffic operations analysis was conducted to determine future intersection performance with the impact of the proposed development. The results of the traffic operations analysis are presented in the following subsections. Full Highway Capacity Manual analysis for the 2028 Future Total Conditions scenario is presented in Appendix G.

As initially discussed in Section 3.2.2, it should be noted that the trip generation estimates used for the 2028 Future Total analysis is based on an earlier development concept which had consisted of approximately $3,153.40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA of additional space for warehouse uses. As the proposed development is smaller in size when compared to the previous development concept, the traffic operations presented in this section are likely to be better than reported.

### 3.2.4.1 Signalized Intersections

The 2028 Future Total analysis results for the study area signalized intersections are presented in Exhibit 3-14.

Exhibit 3-14: 2028 Future Total Conditions Traffic Operations - Signalized Intersections

| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c Ratio | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | E | 74.8 | 0.96 | EBL | E | 57.2 | 0.68 | 60 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | F | 124.9 | 1.15 | 277 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 433.1 | 1.71 | 57 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 38.2 | 0.54 | 94 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 31.2 | 0.11 | 15 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 35.5 | 0.26 | 25 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 31.9 | 0.18 | 34 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 31.1 | 0.10 | 19 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 61.8 | 0.76 | 73 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 17.8 | 0.32 | 57 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 16.3 | 0.18 | 26 | 95 |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road | B | 10.4 | 0.68 | EBL | C | 25.4 | 0.37 | 17 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 23.4 | 0.05 | 8 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.7 | 0.06 | 2 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 4.2 | 0.27 | 23 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBTR | B | 10.5 | 0.74 | 139 | - |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West | C | 20.6 | 0.52 | EBL | A | 9.0 | 0.29 | 32 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 9.7 | 0.39 | 60 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 7.2 | 0.10 | 15 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 6.9 | 0.04 | 4 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 47.5 | 0.85 | 91 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 26.4 | 0.11 | 14 | - |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Proposed South Site Access / Future Orr Road Extension | A | 8.3 | 0.38 | EBL | C | 26.3 | 0.18 | 8 | - |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 25.3 | 0.00 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBLTR | C | 25.5 | 0.04 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 2.6 | 0.02 | 2 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | NBTR | A | 3.2 | 0.19 | 16 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 7.4 | 0.30 | 32 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 8.4 | 0.41 | 89 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 9.5 | 0.02 | 1 | 20 |


| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay (s) | v/c Ratio | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | D | 38.6 | 0.71 | EBL | D | 39.3 | 0.72 | 44 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 30.4 | 0.58 | 116 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | D | 45.4 | 0.50 | 39 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 45.1 | 0.77 | 152 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 37.0 | 0.43 | 49 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 51.8 | 0.66 | 86 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 39.1 | 0.41 | 72 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 34.1 | 0.07 | 14 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 63.9 | 0.62 | 42 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 23.7 | 0.20 | 37 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 22.4 | 0.08 | 12 | 95 |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Beryl Road | A | 8.9 | 0.54 | EBL | C | 25.4 | 0.45 | 21 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 22.6 | 0.01 | 5 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.8 | 0.07 | 3 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 8.3 | 0.56 | 94 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBTR | A | 5.8 | 0.41 | 42 | - |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West | B | 12.6 | 0.27 | EBL | A | 4.3 | 0.16 | 14 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 4.3 | 0.20 | 26 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 4.3 | 0.20 | 26 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 4.5 | 0.21 | 8 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 39.7 | 0.57 | 33 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 34.1 | 0.14 | 18 | - |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Proposed South Site Access / Future Orr Road Extension | A | 8.7 | 0.38 | EBL | C | 24.0 | 0.35 | 15 | - |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 21.6 | 0.01 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBLTR | C | 22.2 | 0.12 | 13 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 3.9 | 0.01 | 1 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | NBTR | A | 6.0 | 0.38 | 39 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 2.4 | 0.09 | 3 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 2.8 | 0.26 | 9 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 3.9 | 0.01 | - | 20 |

Note: Red font represents a critical movement.

Based on the analysis presented in Exhibit 3-14, overall operations at the study area signalized intersections are anticipated to remain below critical capacity thresholds during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours. With respect to individual movements, the shared eastbound through / right-turn and westbound left turn movements at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour is expected to continue operating above capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.15 and 1.71 , respectively). However, it should be noted that the difference in the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio is marginal when compared to the 2028 Future Background Conditions scenario ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio of 1.12 and 1.71 , respectively). All remaining movements are anticipated to operate below critical thresholds. Measures to mitigate this identified capacity constraint are discussed further in Section 3.2.5.

### 3.2.4.2 Unsignalized Intersections

The 2028 Future Total analysis results for the study area unsignalized intersection are presented in Exhibit 3-15.

Exhibit 3-15: 2028 Future Total Conditions Traffic Operations - Unsignalized Intersections

| Intersection | Intersection Delay (s) | Lane | \|Lane | Lane Delay (s) | Lane v/c Ratio | Lane 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Lane Storage Capacity (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Proposed North Site Access | 0.0 | EBR | B | 14.3 | 0.01 | 0 |  |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Proposed North Site Access | 0.1 | EBR | B | 10.4 | 0.02 | 0 |  |

As shown in Exhibit 3-15, no capacity or queuing concerns are anticipated at the Proposed North Site Access during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours.

### 3.2.5 Traffic Operations Mitigation Measures

In order to improve traffic operations for the shared eastbound through / right-turn movement for the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive to within capacity, the re-allocation of 7 seconds from the southbound left-turn / southbound through signal phases to the eastbound / westbound phases is recommended. No changes to the existing cycle length are proposed.

Comparisons of Unmitigated and Mitigated 2028 Future Background and 2028 Future Total traffic operations at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour resulting from these signal timing adjustments are presented in Exhibit 3-16 and Exhibit 3-17, respectively. Full Highway Capacity Manual analysis for the Mitigated 2028 Future Background and 2028 Future Total Conditions analysis is presented in Appendix H .

Exhibit 3-16: 2028 Future Background Conditions Traffic Operations, Unmitigated and Mitigated, Signal Timing Plan Adjustment - Intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive

| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay(s) | v/c Ratio | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour (2028 Unmitigated Future Background Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | E | 70.4 | 0.95 | EBL | E | 57.2 | 0.68 | 60 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | F | 111.3 | 1.12 | 266 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 433.1 | 1.71 | 57 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 38.2 | 0.54 | 94 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 31.2 | 0.11 | 15 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | C | 33.7 | 0.19 | 20 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 31.8 | 0.18 | 33 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 31.0 | 0.10 | 18 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 61.8 | 0.76 | 73 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 17.5 | 0.30 | 53 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 16.3 | 0.18 | 26 | 95 |

## AM Peak Hour (2028 Mitigated Future Background Conditions)

| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | D | 36.1 | 0.78 | EBL | C | 22.8 | 0.37 | 37 | 130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 29.4 | 0.75 | 175 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 91.4 | 0.88 | 57 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 20.3 | 0.36 | 67 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 17.3 | 0.11 | 11 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 54.8 | 0.34 | 23 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 48.7 | 0.31 | 39 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 47.9 | 0.19 | 28 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 76.2 | 0.88 | 85 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 33.5 | 0.43 | 75 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 29.0 | 0.12 | 15 | 95 |

Note: Red font represents a critical movement.

Exhibit 3-17: 2028 Future Total Conditions Traffic Operations, Unmitigated and Mitigated, Signal Timing Plan Adjustments - Intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive

| Intersection | Intersection |  |  | Movement | LOS | Delay(s) | v/c Ratio | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> Queue (m) | Storage <br> Capacity <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hour (2028 Unmitigated Future Total Conditions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | E | 74.8 | 0.96 | EBL | E | 57.2 | 0.68 | 60 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | F | 124.9 | 1.15 | 277 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 433.1 | 1.71 | 57 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 38.2 | 0.54 | 94 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 31.2 | 0.11 | 15 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 35.5 | 0.26 | 25 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 31.9 | 0.18 | 34 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 31.1 | 0.10 | 19 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 61.8 | 0.76 | 73 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 17.8 | 0.32 | 57 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 16.3 | 0.18 | 26 | 95 |

## AM Peak Hour (2028 Mitigated Future Total Conditions)

| Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive | D | 37.2 | 0.84 | EBL | C | 22.8 | 0.37 | 37 | 130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | EBTR | C | 30.3 | 0.78 | 183 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 118.4 | 0.97 | 60 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 20.3 | 0.36 | 67 | - |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 17.3 | 0.11 | 11 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | E | 60.7 | 0.46 | 29 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 48.9 | 0.32 | 40 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 47.9 | 0.20 | 29 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 76.2 | 0.88 | 85 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 34.1 | 0.46 | 81 | - |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 29.0 | 0.12 | 15 | 95 |

Note: Red font represents a critical movement.
Based on the above, when compared to Unmitigated traffic operations, the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for the shared eastbound through / right-turn and westbound left turn movements at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour is anticipated to decrease from 1.12 and 1.71 (Unmitigated) to 0.75 and 0.88 for 2028 Future Background Conditions, and from 1.15 and 1.71 (Unmitigated) to 0.78 and 0.97 for 2028 Future Total Conditions. The resulting changes are anticipated to improve operations for the shared eastbound through / right-turn movement to below critical capacity thresholds without adversely affecting overall intersection operations or any other individual movements during the Weekday AM Peak Hour.

## 4 Traffic Study Recommendations/Conclusions

Based on the completed analysis, the following conclusions can be made:

- Trip generation estimates and the corresponding future total traffic analysis are based on an earlier development concept, which consisted of approximately $3,153.40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA of additional space for warehouse uses over the proposed development. Based on the larger previous development concept, a total of 113 and 116 two-way trips are anticipated to be produced during the Weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively. This takes into account no trip reduction due to nonauto trips such as transit and carpooling.
- The shared eastbound through / right-turn movement at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour was found to be operating above critical capacity thresholds under 2020 Existing Conditions. All remaining movements during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours were found to operate below critical capacity thresholds.
- The study area intersections are anticipated to operate with sufficient reserve capacity under the 2028 Future Background and 2028 Future Total Conditions during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours, with the exception of the shared eastbound through / right-turn and westbound left turn movements at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour, as identified under 2020 Existing Conditions. The identified capacity constraint may be mitigated through signal timing adjustments.
- Overall, the traffic generated by the proposed development is anticipated to not have a significant impact on traffic operations within the study area. No new capacity or queuing concerns are anticipated as a result of the addition of site traffic, and increases to delays are minimal.


## 5 Access Location Analysis

The Transportation Association of Canada's (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (June 2017) was used to determine if the minimum stopping sight distance and the minimum departure sight distances are present at the location of the proposed site accesses. A design speed of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ was used (the posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Winston Churchill Boulevard, plus $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to account for driver speed variances under suburban conditions) in this analysis.

### 5.1 North Site Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the Proposed North Site Access. It should be noted that while proposed to be a right-in, right-out only access, the analysis reflects an earlier iteration which accommodated left-in and left-out movements.

### 5.1.1 Stopping Sight Distance

Stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the site access. For vehicles approaching the site, this distance is given by Equation 2.5.2 in TAC:

$$
S S D=0.278 V t+0.039 \frac{V^{2}}{a}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { SSD } & =\text { Stopping sight distance }(\mathrm{m}) \\
\mathrm{t} & =\text { Brake reaction time }(2.5 \mathrm{~s}) \\
\mathrm{V} & =\text { Design speed }(70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}) \\
\mathrm{a} & =\text { Deceleration rate }\left(3.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for the Proposed North Site Access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 5-1.
Exhibit 5-1: North Site Access - Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping <br> Sight Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping <br> Sight Distance | Maximum <br> Distance <br> Observed on Site |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>650 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>400 \mathrm{~m}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 5-1, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 5-2 and Exhibit 5-3.

Exhibit 5-2: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the Site Access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the proposed site access.
Exhibit 5-3: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the Site Access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the proposed site access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the site access. As shown in both Exhibit 5-2 and Exhibit 5-3, sightlines
exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound site traffic.

### 5.1.2 Departure Sight Distance

Departure sight distance (also known as Intersection Sight Distance) refers to the sight distance necessary for a driver to depart from a driveway and merge into traffic without causing a vehicle travelling along Winston Churchill Boulevard to have to decrease their speed by more than 30\%. The specified departure sight distance for vehicles is given by Equation 9.9.1 in TAC:

$$
I S D=0.278\left(V_{\text {major }} \times t_{g}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ISD } & = & \text { Intersection sight distance }(\mathrm{m}) \\
\mathrm{V}_{\text {major }}= & \text { Design speed ( } 70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \text { ) } \\
\mathrm{t}_{g} & = & \text { Time gap for turning movement from stop } \\
& & (11.5 \mathrm{~s} \text { for left-turns by trucks, } 10.5 \mathrm{~s} \text { for right-turns by } \\
& \text { trucks) }
\end{array}
$$

The departure sight distance requirements for the Proposed North Site Access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 5-4.

Exhibit 5-4: North Site Access - Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure <br> Sight Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance | Maximum <br> Distance <br> Observed on Site |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>650 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>400 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>650 \mathrm{~m}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 5-4, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by the TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the site access. Exhibit 5-5 and Exhibit 5-6 show the view of a motorist positioned at the site access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 5-4.

Exhibit 5-5: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from Site Access from a Position Approximately 1.5 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 5-6: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from Site Access from a Position Approximately 1.5 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
As shown in Exhibit 5-5 and Exhibit 5-6, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound
motorists from the proposed site access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 5.2 South Site Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the Proposed South Site Access.

### 5.2.1 Stopping Sight Distance

Stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the site access. For vehicles approaching the site, this distance is given by Equation 2.5.2 in TAC:

$$
S S D=0.278 V t+0.039 \frac{V^{2}}{a}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { SSD } & =\text { Stopping sight distance }(\mathrm{m}) \\
\mathrm{t} & =\text { Brake reaction time }(2.5 \mathrm{~s}) \\
\mathrm{V} & =\text { Design speed }(70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}) \\
\mathrm{a} & =\text { Deceleration rate }\left(3.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for the Proposed South Site Access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 5-7.

Exhibit 5-7: South Site Access - Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping <br> Sight Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping <br> Sight Distance | Maximum <br> Distance <br> Observed on Site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>550 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>500 \mathrm{~m}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 5-7, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 5-8 and Exhibit 5-9.

Exhibit 5-8: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the Site Access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the proposed site access.
Exhibit 5-9: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the Site Access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the proposed site access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the site access. As shown in both Exhibit 5-8 and Exhibit 5-9, sightlines
exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound site traffic.

### 5.2.2 Departure Sight Distance

Departure sight distance (also known as Intersection Sight Distance) refers to the sight distance necessary for a driver to depart from a driveway and merge into traffic without causing a vehicle travelling along Winston Churchill Boulevard to have to decrease their speed by more than 30\%. The specified departure sight distance for vehicles is given by Equation 9.9.1 in TAC:

$$
I S D=0.278\left(V_{\text {major }} \times t_{g}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ISD } & = & \text { Intersection sight distance }(\mathrm{m}) \\
\mathrm{V}_{\text {major }}= & \text { Design speed ( } 70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \text { ) } \\
\mathrm{t}_{g} & = & \text { Time gap for turning movement from stop } \\
& & (11.5 \mathrm{~s} \text { for left-turns by trucks, } 10.5 \mathrm{~s} \text { for right-turns by } \\
& \text { trucks) }
\end{array}
$$

The departure sight distance requirements for the Proposed South Site Access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 5-10.

Exhibit 5-10: South Site Access - Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure <br> Sight Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance | Maximum <br> Distance <br> Observed on Site |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>550 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>500 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $>550 \mathrm{~m}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 5-10, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the site access. Exhibit 5-11 and Exhibit 5-12 show the view of a motorist positioned at the site access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 5-10.

Exhibit 5-11: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from Site Access from a Position Approximately 1.5 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 5-12: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from Site Access from a Position Approximately 1.5 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles ( 225 m ).
As shown in Exhibit 5-11 and Exhibit 5-12, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound
motorists from the proposed site access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 5.3 Impact of Operating Speed on Sight Distances

As per Sections 5.1 and 5.2, an operating speed of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (the posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Winston Churchill Boulevard, plus $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to account for driver speed variances under suburban conditions) was assumed for sight distance analyses. Should an operating speed of 80 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ have been selected for Winston Churchill Boulevard ( $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to account for driver speed variances under suburban conditions), the required SSD and ISD values for this roadway according to TAC would have been 130 m and 260 m , respectively. Based on the maximum distances observed on site and shown in Exhibit 5-1, Exhibit 5-4, Exhibit 5-7, and Exhibit 5-10, it would seem that the available sight distances for both site accesses significantly exceed the minimum required distances according to TAC. As such, a difference in assumed operating speed in this case from $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would not be anticipated to result in any sight distance deficiency.

### 5.4 Review of At-Grade Railway Crossing Standards

The proposed development is located south of an at-grade railway crossing at Winston Churchill Boulevard. This crossing consists of one track and is part of a railway spur that provides train access to several industrial land uses in the vicinity of the development site. Given the development site's proximity to this railway crossing, a review of Transport Canada's Grade Crossing Regulations (February 2021) ("GCR") and Grade Crossing Standards (January 2019) ("GCS") was undertaken to assess whether the location of the proposed north site access meets applicable standards.

According to the GCR, at least one of the following criteria must be met in order for construction of an access road (i.e., a driveway) onto a road approach to a public grade crossing to be permitted:

- The railway design speed is $25 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or less; or
- The distance between the nearest rail of the grade crossing and any point on the intersecting road exceeds 30 metres, as per the GCS.
A review of Transport Canada's Grade Crossing Inventory ${ }^{5}$ indicates that the railway design speed at the railway crossing is 15 mph (approximately $24.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ). Given that the railway design speed for the railway crossing is less than $25 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, criterion 1 is determined to be met.

With respect to criterion 2, the distance between the railway crossing and the proposed north site access is illustrated in Exhibit 5-13.

[^2]Exhibit 5-13: Distance between the Proposed North Site Access and the Railway Crossing


While criterion 2 does not need to be met due to criterion 1 meeting the necessary requirements, it is shown in Exhibit 5-13 that the distance between the nearest rail of the railway crossing and the closest point of the proposed north site access is approximately 181 metres, which significantly exceeds the 30-metre requirement of criterion 2, as stipulated in the GCS.
Therefore, based on the guidance provided in the GCR and GCS, the location of the proposed north site access meets the relevant standards.

### 5.5 Access Location Analysis Summary

Based on our review of the sight distances at the location of the proposed accesses, stopping sight distances and departure sight distances are anticipated to meet or exceed the minimum requirements as specified by TAC. This suggests that departing vehicles are expected to be able to determine if a suitable gap in arterial road traffic exists, and approaching vehicles are expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound proposed development site traffic sufficient enough in order to safely react to a hazard.
Furthermore, based on our review of Transport Canada's Grade Crossing Regulations and Grade Crossing Standards, the location of the proposed north site access meets the relevant standards for separation from the railway crossing to the north.

### 5.6 Other Safety Factors

Based on field observations, all road segments in the study area have appropriate and clearly visible lane markings. Based on the 2028 future total analysis, it is concluded that all existing storage lengths can sufficiently accommodate the modelled queue lengths during both peak hours. As a result, no major weaving and merging issues are anticipated.

Given the low pedestrian and cyclist volumes observed, it is anticipated that there will be little to no pedestrian and cyclist activities in the study area. Thus, pedestrian and cyclists conflict with other road users are not anticipated.

## 6 Winston Churchill Boulevard Corridor Review

As requested by Review Agency staff, sight distance analysis was conducted at other existing and proposed accesses along Winston Churchill Boulevard between the at-grade railway crossing north of the development site, and Deer Run Avenue. The results of this analysis is presented in this section. It should be noted that stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the site access. For vehicles approaching the site, this distance is given by Equation 2.5.2 in TAC:

$$
S S D=0.278 V t+0.039 \frac{V^{2}}{a}
$$

Where:

| SSD | $=$ Stopping sight distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| t | $=$ Brake reaction time $(2.5 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| V | $=$ Design speed $(70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ |
| a | $=$ Deceleration rate $\left(3.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ |

As well, departure sight distance (also known as Intersection Sight Distance) refers to the sight distance necessary for a driver to depart from a driveway and merge into traffic without causing a vehicle travelling along Winston Churchill Boulevard to have to decrease their speed by more than $30 \%$. The specified departure sight distance for vehicles is given by Equation 9.9.1 in TAC:

$$
I S D=0.278\left(V_{\text {major }} \times t_{g}\right)
$$

where:

| ISD $=$ | Intersection sight distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {major }}=$ | Design speed $(60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ |  |
| $\mathrm{t}_{g}$ | $=$ | Time gap for turning movement from stop |
|  |  | $(11.5 \mathrm{~s}$ for left-turns by trucks, 10.5 s for right-turns by |
|  |  | trucks) |

### 6.1 568 Winston Churchill Boulevard North Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 568 Winston Churchill north access.

### 6.1.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-1.

Exhibit 6-1: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-1, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-2 and Exhibit 6-3.

Exhibit 6-2: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

Exhibit 6-3: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-2 and Exhibit 6-3, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.1.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-4.

Exhibit 6-4: Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-4, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access. Exhibit 6-5 and Exhibit 6-6 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-4.

Exhibit 6-5: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately $1 \mathbf{m}$ from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-6: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-5 and Exhibit 6-6, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.2 568 Winston Churchill Boulevard South Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 568 Winston Churchill Boulevard south access.

### 6.2.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-7.

Exhibit 6-7: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-7, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-8 and Exhibit 6-9.

Exhibit 6-8: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^3]Exhibit 6-9: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-8 and Exhibit 6-9, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.2.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-10.

Exhibit 6-10: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-10, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-11 and Exhibit 6-12 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-10.

Exhibit 6-11: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-12: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-11 and Exhibit 6-12, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.3 Proposed 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard North Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the Proposed 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard north access.

### 6.3.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-13.

Exhibit 6-13: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-13, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-14 and Exhibit 6-15.

Exhibit 6-14: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^4]Exhibit 6-15: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-14 and Exhibit 6-15, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.3.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-16.

Exhibit 6-16: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-16, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-17 and Exhibit 6-18 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-16.

Exhibit 6-17: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles ( 225 m ).
Exhibit 6-18: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-17 and Exhibit 6-18, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.4 Proposed 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard South Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the Proposed 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard south access.

### 6.4.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-19.

Exhibit 6-19: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-19, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-20 and Exhibit 6-21.

Exhibit 6-20: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^5]Exhibit 6-21: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-20 and Exhibit 6-21, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.4.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-22.

Exhibit 6-22: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-22, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-23 and Exhibit 6-24 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-22.

Exhibit 6-23: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-24: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-23 and Exhibit 6-24, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.5 Deer Run Avenue Emergency Access Gate

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing Deer Run Avenue emergency access gate.

### 6.5.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-25.

Exhibit 6-25: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-25, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-26 and Exhibit 6-27.

Exhibit 6-26: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^6]Exhibit 6-27: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-26 and Exhibit 6-27, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.5.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-28.

Exhibit 6-28: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking north | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-28, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-29 and Exhibit 6-30 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-28.

Exhibit 6-29: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-30: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-29 and Exhibit 6-30, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.6 535 Winston Churchill Boulevard South Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 535 Winston Churchill Boulevard south access.

### 6.6.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-31.

Exhibit 6-31: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-31, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-32 and Exhibit 6-33.

Exhibit 6-32: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^7]Exhibit 6-33: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-32 and Exhibit 6-33, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.6.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-34.

Exhibit 6-34: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-34, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-35 and Exhibit 6-36 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-34.

Exhibit 6-35: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-36: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-35 and Exhibit 6-36, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.7 535 Winston Churchill Boulevard North Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 535 Winston Churchill Boulevard north access.

### 6.7.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-37.

Exhibit 6-37: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-37, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-38 and Exhibit 6-39.

Exhibit 6-38: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^8]Exhibit 6-39: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-38 and Exhibit 6-39, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.7.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-40.

Exhibit 6-40: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-40, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-41 and Exhibit 6-42 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-40.

Exhibit 6-41: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-42: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-41 and Exhibit 6-42, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.8 555 Winston Churchill Boulevard South Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 555 Winston Churchill Boulevard south access.

### 6.8.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-43.

Exhibit 6-43: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-43, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-44 and Exhibit 6-45.

Exhibit 6-44: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^9]Exhibit 6-45: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-44 and Exhibit 6-45, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.8.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-46.

Exhibit 6-46: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-46, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-47 and Exhibit 6-48 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-46.

Exhibit 6-47: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles ( 225 m ).
Exhibit 6-48: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-47 and Exhibit 6-48, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.9 555 Winston Churchill Boulevard North Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the 555 Winston Churchill Boulevard north access.

### 6.9.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-49.

Exhibit 6-49: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-49, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-50 and Exhibit 6-51.

Exhibit 6-50: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^10]Exhibit 6-51: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-50 and Exhibit 6-51, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.9.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-52.

Exhibit 6-52: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-52, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-53 and Exhibit 6-54 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-52.

Exhibit 6-53: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-54: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-53 and Exhibit 6-54, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.10 595 Winston Churchill Boulevard Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 595 Winston Churchill Boulevard site access.

### 6.10.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-55.

Exhibit 6-55: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-55, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-56 and Exhibit 6-57.

Exhibit 6-56: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

Exhibit 6-57: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-56 and Exhibit 6-57, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.10.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-58.

Exhibit 6-58: Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south |  |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-58, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-59 and Exhibit 6-60 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-58.

Exhibit 6-59: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles ( 225 m ).
Exhibit 6-60: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-59 and Exhibit 6-60, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.11 645 Winston Churchill Boulevard South Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 645 Winston Churchill Boulevard south access.

### 6.11.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-61.

Exhibit 6-61: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-61, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-62 and Exhibit 6-63.

Exhibit 6-62: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^11]Exhibit 6-63: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-62 and Exhibit 6-63, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.11.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-64.

Exhibit 6-64: Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south |  |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-64, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-65 and Exhibit 6-66 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-64.

Exhibit 6-65: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-66: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m)

As shown in Exhibit 6-65 and Exhibit 6-66, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.12 645 Winston Churchill Boulevard North Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 645 Winston Churchill Boulevard north access.

### 6.12.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-67.

Exhibit 6-67: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-67, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-68 and Exhibit 6-69.

Exhibit 6-68: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


[^12]Exhibit 6-69: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-68 and Exhibit 6-69, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.12.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-70.

Exhibit 6-70: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-70, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-71 and Exhibit 6-72 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-70.

Exhibit 6-71: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
Exhibit 6-72: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

As shown in Exhibit 6-71 and Exhibit 6-72, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.13655 Winston Churchill Boulevard Access / 663 Winston Churchill Boulevard South Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing combined 655 Winston Churchill Boulevard access / 663 Winston Churchill Boulevard south access.

### 6.13.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-73.
Exhibit 6-73: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-73, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-74 and Exhibit 6-75.

Exhibit 6-74: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
Exhibit 6-75: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-74 and Exhibit 6-75, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.13.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-76.

Exhibit 6-76: Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-76, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-77 and Exhibit 6-78 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-76.
Exhibit 6-77: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

Exhibit 6-78: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
As shown in Exhibit 6-77 and Exhibit 6-78, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.14 663 Winston Churchill Boulevard North Site Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the existing 663 Winston Churchill Boulevard north access.

### 6.14.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-79.
Exhibit 6-79: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-79, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-80 and Exhibit 6-81.

Exhibit 6-80: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
Exhibit 6-81: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-80 and Exhibit 6-81, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.14.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-82.

Exhibit 6-82: Departure Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC Departure Sight Distance | Meets Minimum TAC Departure Sight Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\checkmark$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 225 m | $\checkmark$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\checkmark$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-82, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-83 and Exhibit 6-84 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-82.
Exhibit 6-83: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

Exhibit 6-84: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
As shown in Exhibit 6-83 and Exhibit 6-84, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.15 Future Orr Road

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the future Orr Road.

### 6.15.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-85.

Exhibit 6-85: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-85, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-86 and Exhibit 6-87.

Exhibit 6-86: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
Exhibit 6-87: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-86 and Exhibit 6-87, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.15.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-88.

Exhibit 6-88: Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-88, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-89 and Exhibit 6-90 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-88.
Exhibit 6-89: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

Exhibit 6-90: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles ( 225 m ).
As shown in Exhibit 6-89 and Exhibit 6-90, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.16 Proposed 759-805 Winston Churchill Boulevard Access

The following sections discuss stopping sight distance and departure sight distance at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and a proposed 759-805 Winston Churchill Boulevard access.

### 6.16.1 Stopping Sight Distance

The resulting stopping sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-91.

Exhibit 6-91: Stopping Sight Distance Summary

| Scenario | Minimum TAC <br> Stopping Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Stopping Sight <br> Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approaching intersection from the north | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Approaching intersection from the south | 105 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |

As shown in Exhibit 6-91, stopping sight distance exceeds the minimum distance specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles approaching the access from the north and from the south. This is illustrated in Exhibit 6-92 and Exhibit 6-93.

Exhibit 6-92: Stopping Sight Distance - North of Access (Looking Southbound from a point 105 m north of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.
Exhibit 6-93: Stopping Sight Distance - South of Access (Looking Northbound from a point 105 m south of the access)


Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

As noted above, stopping sight distance refers to the distance necessary for a driver travelling on Winston Churchill Boulevard to avoid a collision by coming to a complete stop in reaction to a vehicle departing from the access. As shown in both Exhibit 6-92 and Exhibit 6-93, sightlines exceed these minimum requirements, indicating that a motorist on Winston Churchill Boulevard is expected to have an unobstructed view of outbound traffic.

### 6.16.2 Departure Sight Distance

The departure sight distance requirements for this access onto Winston Churchill Boulevard are illustrated in Exhibit 6-94.

Exhibit 6-94: Departure Sight Distance Summary

|  | Minimum TAC <br> Departure Sight <br> Distance | Meets Minimum <br> TAC Departure <br> Sight Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scenario | 225 m |  |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking north | 225 m | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |
| Left-turn from intersection - looking south | 205 m | $\mathbf{V}$ |
| Right-turn from intersection - looking south | $\boldsymbol{V}$ |  |

As shown in Exhibit 6-94, the observed departure sight distances meet or exceed the minimum distances specified by TAC guidelines for vehicles making left or right-turns from the access.
Exhibit 6-95 and Exhibit 6-96 show the view of a motorist positioned at the access and illustrate the observations presented in Exhibit 6-94.
Exhibit 6-95: Departure Sight Distance - Looking North from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).

Exhibit 6-96: Departure Sight Distance - Looking South from the Access from a Position Approximately 1 m from the Edge of the Travelled Lane


Red arrow indicates the specified departure sight distance for vehicles (225 m).
As shown in Exhibit 6-95 and Exhibit 6-96, the departure sight distance meets or exceeds the minimum distances specified by TAC. This indicates that sightlines are sufficient for outbound motorists from the access to determine if there is a suitable gap in Winston Churchill Boulevard traffic.

### 6.17 Impact of Operating Speed on Sight Distances

An operating speed of $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (the posted speed limit of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Winston Churchill Boulevard, plus $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to account for driver speed variances under suburban conditions) was assumed for sight distance analyses in Section 6. Should an operating speed of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ have been selected for Winston Churchill Boulevard ( $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to account for driver speed variances under suburban conditions), the required SSD and ISD values for this roadway according to TAC would have been 130 m and 260 m (a smaller ISD is required if the design vehicle is not a combination truck), respectively. Based on all of the site visit pictures shown in Sections 6.1 to 6.16, it would seem that the available sight distances for all accesses are sufficient and most if not all exceed the minimum required distances according to TAC. As such, a difference in assumed operating speed in this case from $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would not be anticipated to result in any substantial sight distance deficiency.

### 6.18 Corridor Review Summary

Based on our review of the sight distances at existing and proposed accesses along Winston Churchill Boulevard within the study area, stopping sight distances and departure sight distances are anticipated to meet or exceed the minimum requirements as specified by TAC at all known locations. This suggests that departing vehicles are expected to be able to determine if a suitable gap in arterial road traffic exists, and approaching vehicles are expected to have an
unobstructed view of outbound proposed traffic sufficient enough in order to safely react to a hazard.

### 6.19 Other Safety Factors

Based on field observations, all road segments in the study area have appropriate and clearly visible lane markings. While weaving and merging conflicts may occur at the closely spaced residential and commercial accesses on the east side of Winston Churchill Boulevard, volumes at these locations were observed to be very low. Therefore, the likelihood of actual conflicts occurring is expected to be very low. Nevertheless, access consolidation should be considered if these properties redevelop into uses which generate higher traffic volumes.
Given the low pedestrian and cyclist volumes observed, it is anticipated that there will be little to no pedestrian and cyclist activities in the study area. Thus, pedestrian and cyclists conflict with other road users are not anticipated. However, as the presence of paved shoulders on both sides of Winston Churchill Boulevard provides separation between vehicles and cyclists, appropriate replacement facilities should be considered if Winston Churchill Boulevard is rebuilt to an urban cross-section.

## $7 \quad$ Vehicle Swept Path Analysis

A vehicle swept path analysis was conducted using AutoTurn to demonstrate that tractor trailer trucks can enter and exit the site in a forward motion, and that access to loading docks is functional. The vehicle swept path analysis is presented in Appendix I and indicates that access to loading docks by tractor trailer trucks via the proposed south site access is functional.

## 8 Study Conclusions and Recommendations

Arcadis undertook a transportation impact study and a safety study for the proposed development at 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard in Oakville, Ontario. The transportation impact study and the safety study demonstrated that there are no anticipated operational issues on the road network, and no anticipated safety issues associated with the development site because of the proposed development's construction.
The conclusions for the two portions of the study are summarized below.

### 8.1 Traffic Study

- Trip generation estimates and the corresponding future total traffic analysis are based on an earlier development concept, which consisted of approximately $3,153.40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA of additional space for warehouse uses over the proposed development. Based on the larger previous development concept, a total of 113 and 116 two-way trips are anticipated to be produced during the Weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively. This takes into account no trip reduction due to nonauto trips such as transit and carpooling.
- The shared eastbound through / right-turn movement at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour was found to be operating above critical capacity thresholds under 2020 Existing Conditions. All remaining movements during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours were found to operate below critical capacity thresholds.
- The study area intersections are anticipated to operate with sufficient reserve capacity under the 2028 Future Background and 2028 Future Total Conditions during the Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours, with the exception of the shared eastbound through / right-turn and westbound left turn movements at the Winston Churchill Boulevard and Royal Windsor Drive intersection during the Weekday AM Peak Hour, as identified under 2020 Existing Conditions. The identified capacity constraint may be mitigated through signal timing adjustments.
- Overall, the traffic generated by the proposed development is anticipated to not have a significant impact on traffic operations within the study area. No new capacity or queuing concerns are anticipated as a result of the addition of site traffic, and increases to delays are minimal.


### 8.2 Access Location Analysis

- All known existing and proposed access and intersections along Winston Churchill Boulevard were observed to have sufficient sight line distances to accommodate vehicles movements, including heavy vehicles which require larger departure gaps.
- No major weaving, merging, pedestrian conflicts, cyclist conflicts, and heavy-vehicle conflicts are anticipated under present traffic volumes.
- Access consolidation may be considered if low-volume residential properties redevelop into uses which generate higher volumes of traffic.
- Replacement cycling facilities may be considered if Winston Churchill Boulevard is rebuilt to an urban cross-section.


### 8.3 Winston Churchill Boulevard Corridor Review

- Both proposed accesses to the development site have sufficient sight line distances to accommodate vehicles accessing the site, including heavy vehicles which require larger departure gaps.
- No major weaving, merging, pedestrian conflicts, cyclist conflicts, and heavy-vehicle conflicts are anticipated as a result of the proposed development's construction.


### 8.4 Vehicle Swept Path Analysis

- A vehicle swept path analysis was undertaken using AutoTurn to demonstrate that tractor trailer trucks can enter and exit the site in a forward motion, and that access to loading docks is functional. Based on the analysis, access to loading docks by tractor trailer trucks via the proposed south site access is functional.


## Appendix A

## Scope of Investigation

| From: | Razao, Ricardo |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Andrae Griffith |
| Cc: | Leff Pascua; Hamdani, Hashim; Barnes, Catherine |
| Subject: | RE: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard |
| Date: | Thursday, September 24, 2020 10:47:40 AM |

Hi Andrae,

The Halton planner on file for the above-noted application is Anne Gariscsak
(Anne.Gariscsak@halton.ca).

Thanks,
Ricardo

From: Barnes, Catherine [catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca](mailto:catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca)
Sent: September 24, 2020 10:18 AM
To: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com); Razao, Ricardo [ricardo.razao@peelregion.ca](mailto:ricardo.razao@peelregion.ca)
Cc: Jeff Pascua [jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com](mailto:jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com); Hamdani, Hashim <hashimali.hamdani@ peelregion.ca>
Subject: RE: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill
Boulevard

Hi Andrae,

We generally contact our planner - Ricardo Razao - who would then reach out to the planner in Halton. I have cc'd Ricardo as I believe he is our planner on the file or he will tell us who is assigned to this file. With that information the planner can reach out to the equivalent planner in Halton. Hope that helps.

Thank you,

## Catherine Barnes

Region of Peel
Technical Analyst
Traffic Development \& Permits
10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B, $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Brampton, ON L6T 4B9
905-791-7800 x 7569
(Cell) 1 905-460-4206

## Region

of Peel

## working with you

$P$ Please consider the environment before printing this email.
This email, including any attachments, is intended for the recipient specified in the message and may contain information which is confidential or privileged. Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this email is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender via return email and permanently delete all copies of the email. Thank you.

From: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Sent: September 24, 2020 9:53 AM
To: Barnes, Catherine [catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca](mailto:catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca)
Cc: Jeff Pascua [jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com](mailto:jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com); Hamdani, Hashim [hashimali.hamdani@peelregion.ca](mailto:hashimali.hamdani@peelregion.ca)
Subject: RE: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard

## CAUTION: EXTERNAL MAIL. DO NOT CLICK ON LINKS OR OPEN ATTACHMENTS YOU DO NOT TRUST.

Hi Catherine,

Thank you for your comments. We look forward to your eventual review of our submission.

Do you have a contact for the appropriate staff at Halton Region to discuss our future scenarios?

Thank you,

Andrae Griffith
Pronouns: he, him, his
IBI GROUP
7th Floor - 55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +14165961930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644

From: Barnes, Catherine [catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca](mailto:catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca)
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 9:32 AM
To: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Cc: Jeff Pascua [jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com](mailto:jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com); Hamdani, Hashim [hashimali.hamdani@peelregion.ca](mailto:hashimali.hamdani@peelregion.ca)
Subject: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill
Boulevard

Hi Andrae,

The Region has reviewed the scope of work for 772 Winston Churchill Blvd and find it to be satisfactory. Please see the traffic comments below in red and the link here for the detailed Region of Peel TIS formatting and contact information for background traffic (growth rated, AADT, signal timing, etc).

Please contact Transportation to confirm growth rates along the subject Regional road(s).

- Please contact Damian Jamroz, Traffic Operations, to obtain the most recent TMCs and/or average annual daily traffic (AADT).
- Please contact Rick Laing, Supervisor of Traffic Signals and Streetlighting, to obtain traffic signal timing parameters and ensure that the information includes the appropriate walk/don't walk splits, recall modes and offsets.
- Please contact Development Services Planning staff (Ricardo Razao) to obtain details on surrounding developments in the area that would affect traffic capacity in the planning horizon year(s).
Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions of concerns. I trust this to be satisfactory.


## Catherine Barnes

Region of Peel
Technical Analyst
Traffic Development \& Permits
10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B, $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Brampton, ON L6T 4B9
905-791-7800 x 7569
(Cell) 1 905-460-4206

## Region <br> of Peel

working with you
$P$ Please consider the environment before printing this email.
This email, including any attachments, is intended for the recipient specified in the message and may contain information which is confidential or privileged. Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this email is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender via return email and permanently delete all copies of the email. Thank you.

From: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Sent: September 16, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Barnes, Catherine [catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca](mailto:catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca)
Cc: Jeff Pascua < jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com>
Subject: Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard

[^13]
## Dear Ms. Barnes,

In 2015, IBI Group submitted a transportation impact study for a proposed commercial development at

772 Winston Churchill Boulevard on the City of Mississauga / Town of Oakville border (attached for your reference). As the original 2015 concept was ultimately not constructed, we have been retained to update this study to reflect a new development concept consisting of an approximate $68,256 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of warehouse uses. Based on this, the following provides our proposed scope of work for this transportation impact study update:

1. Analysis Time Periods and Intersections: Based on the proposed commercial development's nature and size, we plan to analyze the weekday AM peak period (7:00 a.m. - 9:00 a.m.) and weekday PM peak period (4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.). The following intersections will be included in this analysis: AGREE
2. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive;
3. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road;
4. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West;
5. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed North Site Access; and
6. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed South Site Access.
7. 2020 Existing Conditions: The 2020 existing traffic operations will be analyzed using the software program Synchro (version 11) for the weekday AM and weekday PM peak periods, for the intersections listed above. We have budgeted for the acquisition of turning movement count data at the existing study area intersections. It should be noted that we have identified traffic counts collected in 2017 as being representative of pre-COVID-19 conditions. Given the circumstances, we propose to grow these counts to estimate 2020 conditions based on growth rates provided by the review agencies and / or other traffic data sources reflective of pre-COVID-19 conditions. AGREE
8. 2026 Background Traffic Conditions: The 2026 background traffic volumes will be determined for the study area intersections, which coincides with five years from an assumed 2021 opening day of the development. We will identify an applicable background traffic growth rate and other area developments which may introduce traffic into the study area, based on discussions with the review agencies. Any future road network or intersection changes proposed by these entities, or outlined in their respective capital works programs, will be taken into consideration. PLEASE FIND THE CONTACTS IN THE LINK AND NOTES ABOVE.

The 2026 background traffic analysis will identify and determine the impacts of the adjacent developments without the proposed site traffic under existing and future roadway conditions.
4. Site Traffic Generation and Trip Distribution: The trip generation for the proposed development will be based on the information presented in the Institute of Transportation
Engineers ("ITE") publication, Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. A review of the modal split will also be undertaken to account for the trips being made by non-auto modes of travel. The municipal Transportation Master Plans will be used as references for this review.
The trip distribution for the site will be based on a review of existing travel patterns, the 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS), and the available road network. The forecast site traffic for the development will be added to the road network based on the trip distribution, and assigned to the network based on logical travel routes and available traffic capacity. AGREE
5. 2026 Total Traffic Conditions: The estimated site traffic volumes will be combined with the 2026 background traffic volumes to determine the 2026 total traffic volumes for the study area intersections, and intersection operations analysis will be undertaken for the weekday AM and weekday PM peak periods. Any necessary road improvements required to accommodate total traffic volumes will be identified if necessary, such as additional turning lanes, storage length modifications, and / or traffic control signals. AGREE
6. Access Location Analysis: IBI Group will compare the available sightlines at the location of
the proposed accesses to Winston Churchill Boulevard against the applicable standards [i.e., Transportation Association of Canada Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (2017)]. Approaching stopping sight distance and departure sight distances along Winston Churchill will be measured in the field, and mitigation measures to address sightline deficiencies will be discussed, as appropriate. AGREE

## Site Plan Review:

High level review of the site plan (access location, site geometrics, parking lot layout, loading/refuse access) -Property requirements to be included as they will have an impact on the site.

We are aware of two previous submissions for traffic studies for the development site, with comments being provided by Halton Region, the Region of Peel, and the Town of Oakville. These comments have included the need to provide for a future signalized access opposing Orr Road, and also the provision of an exclusive northbound left-turn lane and southbound right-turn lane at this future signalized intersection. Our study update will have regard for these comments.

If you have any questions about the proposed scope of work for the 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard development, please do not hesitate to contact me. As Winston Churchill is a boundary road, please let me know if Halton Region staff are better suited to respond to this request. (Halton Region could be contacted for further information regarding background developments etc.)

Sincerely,
Andrae Griffith
Pronouns: he, him, his

A Message from IBI Group's CEO on COVID-19: https://www.ibigroup.com/covid19-response

## IBI GROUP

7th Floor-55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +14165961930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644


NOTE: This email message/attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message. NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez recu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel

| From: | Andrae Griffith |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | "Robert.Clackett@halton.ca" |
| Subject: | FW: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard |
| Date: | Thursday, September 24, 2020 12:47:00 PM |

Dear Mr. Clackett,

Ricardo Razao at the Region of Peel had identified Ms. Gariscsak as the planner on file for 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard. As per instructions her retirement announcement, please see our request below.

Sincerely,
Andrae Griffith
Pronouns: he, him, his

## IBI GROUP

7th Floor - 55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +14165961930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644

From: Andrae Griffith
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 12:41 PM
To: Anne.Gariscsak@halton.ca
Cc: Jeff Pascua [jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com](mailto:jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com)
Subject: FW: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill
Boulevard

Dear Ms. Gariscsak,

In 2015, IBI Group submitted a transportation impact study for a proposed commercial development at 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard on the City of Mississauga / Town of Oakville border. As the original 2015 concept was ultimately not constructed, we have been retained to update this study to reflect a new development concept consisting of an approximate $68,256 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of warehouse uses.

In order to inform our future transportation scenarios, could you please assist me in identifying growth rates and anticipated developments which may impact traffic volumes within our study area outlined below?

Please let me know if you have any questions or require any clarification regarding this request. Thank you for your time, and we trust that the Regional Municipality of Halton concurs with Peel Region's assessment of our transportation study scope of work.

Sincerely,

Andrae Griffith
Pronouns: he, him, his

## IBI GROUP

7th Floor - 55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +1416596 1930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644

From: Barnes, Catherine [catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca](mailto:catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca)

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 9:32 AM
To: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Cc: Jeff Pascua [jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com](mailto:jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com); Hamdani, Hashim [hashimali.hamdani@peelregion.ca](mailto:hashimali.hamdani@peelregion.ca)
Subject: Traffic comments - Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill
Boulevard

Hi Andrae,

The Region has reviewed the scope of work for 772 Winston Churchill Blvd and find it to be satisfactory. Please see the traffic comments below in red and the link here for the detailed Region of Peel TIS formatting and contact information for background traffic (growth rated, AADT, signal timing, etc).

- Please contact Transportation to confirm growth rates along the subject Regional road(s).
- Please contact Damian Jamroz, Traffic Operations, to obtain the most recent TMCs and/or average annual daily traffic (AADT).
- Please contact Rick Laing, Supervisor of Traffic Signals and Streetlighting, to obtain traffic signal timing parameters and ensure that the information includes the appropriate walk/don't walk splits, recall modes and offsets.
- Please contact Development Services Planning staff (Ricardo Razao) to obtain details on surrounding developments in the area that would affect traffic capacity in the planning horizon year(s).
Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions of concerns. I trust this to be satisfactory.


## Catherine Barnes

Region of Peel
Technical Analyst
Traffic Development \& Permits
10 Peel Centre Drive Suite B, $4^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Brampton, ON L6T 4B9
905-791-7800 x 7569
(Cell) 1 905-460-4206
Region
of Peel
working with you
$P$ Please consider the environment before printing this email.
This email, including any attachments, is intended for the recipient specified in the message and may contain information which is confidential or privileged. Any unauthorized use or disclosure of this email is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender via return email and permanently delete all copies of the email. Thank you.

From: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Sent: September 16, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Barnes, Catherine [catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca](mailto:catherine.barnes@peelregion.ca)
Cc: Jeff Pascua < jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com>
Subject: Transportation Impact Study Scope of Work - 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard

# CAUTION: EXTERNAL MAIL. DO NOT CLICK ON LINKS OR OPEN ATTACHMENTS YOU DO NOT TRUST. 

Dear Ms. Barnes,
In 2015, IBI Group submitted a transportation impact study for a proposed commercial development at 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard on the City of Mississauga / Town of Oakville border (attached for your reference). As the original 2015 concept was ultimately not constructed, we have been retained to update this study to reflect a new development concept consisting of an approximate $68,256 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of warehouse uses. Based on this, the following provides our proposed scope of work for this transportation impact study update:

1. Analysis Time Periods and Intersections: Based on the proposed commercial development's nature and size, we plan to analyze the weekday AM peak period (7:00 a.m. - 9:00 a.m.) and weekday PM peak period (4:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.). The following intersections will be included in this analysis: AGREE
2. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive;
3. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road;
4. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Lakeshore Road East / Lakeshore Road West;
5. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed North Site Access; and
6. Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed South Site Access.
7. 2020 Existing Conditions: The 2020 existing traffic operations will be analyzed using the software program Synchro (version 11) for the weekday AM and weekday PM peak periods, for the intersections listed above. We have budgeted for the acquisition of turning movement count data at the existing study area intersections. It should be noted that we have identified traffic counts collected in 2017 as being representative of pre-COVID-19 conditions. Given the circumstances, we propose to grow these counts to estimate 2020 conditions based on growth rates provided by the review agencies and / or other traffic data sources reflective of pre-COVID-19 conditions. AGREE
8. 2026 Background Traffic Conditions: The 2026 background traffic volumes will be determined for the study area intersections, which coincides with five years from an assumed 2021 opening day of the development. We will identify an applicable background traffic growth rate and other area developments which may introduce traffic into the study area, based on discussions with the review agencies. Any future road network or intersection changes proposed by these entities, or outlined in their respective capital works programs, will be taken into consideration. PLEASE FIND THE CONTACTS IN THE LINK AND NOTES ABOVE.

The 2026 background traffic analysis will identify and determine the impacts of the adjacent developments without the proposed site traffic under existing and future roadway conditions.
4. Site Traffic Generation and Trip Distribution: The trip generation for the proposed development will be based on the information presented in the Institute of Transportation

Engineers ("ITE") publication, Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. A review of the modal split will also be undertaken to account for the trips being made by non-auto modes of travel. The municipal Transportation Master Plans will be used as references for this review.

The trip distribution for the site will be based on a review of existing travel patterns, the 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS), and the available road network. The forecast site traffic for the development will be added to the road network based on the trip distribution, and assigned to the network based on logical travel routes and available traffic capacity. AGREE
5. 2026 Total Traffic Conditions: The estimated site traffic volumes will be combined with the 2026 background traffic volumes to determine the 2026 total traffic volumes for the study area intersections, and intersection operations analysis will be undertaken for the weekday AM and weekday PM peak periods. Any necessary road improvements required to accommodate total traffic volumes will be identified if necessary, such as additional turning lanes, storage length modifications, and / or traffic control signals. AGREE
6. Access Location Analysis: IBI Group will compare the available sightlines at the location of the proposed accesses to Winston Churchill Boulevard against the applicable standards [i.e., Transportation Association of Canada Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (2017)]. Approaching stopping sight distance and departure sight distances along Winston Churchill will be measured in the field, and mitigation measures to address sightline deficiencies will be discussed, as appropriate. AGREE

## Site Plan Review:

High level review of the site plan (access location, site geometrics, parking lot layout, loading/refuse access) -Property requirements to be included as they will have an impact on the site.

We are aware of two previous submissions for traffic studies for the development site, with comments being provided by Halton Region, the Region of Peel, and the Town of Oakville. These comments have included the need to provide for a future signalized access opposing Orr Road, and also the provision of an exclusive northbound left-turn lane and southbound right-turn lane at this future signalized intersection. Our study update will have regard for these comments.

If you have any questions about the proposed scope of work for the 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard development, please do not hesitate to contact me. As Winston Churchill is a boundary road, please let me know if Halton Region staff are better suited to respond to this request. (Halton Region could be contacted for further information regarding background developments etc.)

Sincerely,

## Andrae Griffith

Pronouns: he, him, his

A Message from IBI Group's CEO on COVID-19: https://www.ibigroup.com/covid19-response

## IBI GROUP

7th Floor - 55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +14165961930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644


NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez recu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel

| From: | Andrae Griffith |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | "Kuczynski, Roman" |
| Subject: | RE: Traffic Growth Rate Request - Winston Churchill Blvd, Lakeshore to Royal Windsor |
| Date: | Monday, October 5, 2020 2:45:00 PM |

Hi Roman,

The we were able to identify background developments along the Winston Churchill corridor on the Halton side, but have not been able to identify a background growth rate. As this is an update of a previous TIS, we propose to maintain the same growth rate ( $2 \%$ compounded) as was previously provided in 2015. We expect that this will be conservative, as the AADT from Peel Open Data suggests that traffic growth has been largely flat over the last 10 years.

Sincerely,

Andrae Griffith
Pronouns: he, him, his
IBI GROUP
7th Floor - 55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +14165961930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644

From: Kuczynski, Roman [roman.kuczynski@peelregion.ca](mailto:roman.kuczynski@peelregion.ca)
Sent: Wednesday, September 16, 2020 11:18 AM
To: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Subject: RE: Traffic Growth Rate Request - Winston Churchill Blvd, Lakeshore to Royal Windsor

Hi Andrae,

This section of Winston Churchill Boulevard is a boundary road. On the Peel side we have an older employment area that it is not expected to undergo any significant change in the near future. On the Halton/Oakville side there are more residential uses. However, we do not have more detailed data to provide you with reliable growth rates for the location you specified. I would suggest to reach out either to the Region of Halton or the Town of Oakville to see if they can assist you. If they cannot assist, we can try to see what can be done to assist you.
So please try first with Halton or/and Oakville, and then let me know if you still need our help.

Regards.

Roman

Roman Kuczynski, MA, MCIP, RPP
Supervisor, Transportation System Planning
Region of Peel
Public Works Services

Transportation Division
10 Peel Centre Drive, Suite B, 4th Floor
Brampton, Ontario L6T 4B9
Tel. (905) 791-7800 ext. 4381
Cell (289) 541-8156
Fax: (905) 791-1442
E-Mail: roman.kuczynski@peelregion.ca

From: Andrae Griffith [andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com](mailto:andrae.griffith@ibigroup.com)
Sent: September 15, 2020 12:40 PM
To: Kuczynski, Roman [roman.kuczynski@peelregion.ca](mailto:roman.kuczynski@peelregion.ca)
Cc: Jeff Pascua [jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com](mailto:jeff.pascua@ibigroup.com)
Subject: Traffic Growth Rate Request - Winston Churchill Blvd, Lakeshore to Royal Windsor

## CAUTION: EXTERNAL MAIL. DO NOT CLICK ON LINKS OR OPEN ATTACHMENTS YOU DO NOT TRUST.

Hi Roman,
IBI Group has been retained to prepare a Transportation Impact Study for a client who is seeking to construct a commercial development at 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard on the City of Mississauga / Town of Oakville border. In order to inform our future traffic scenarios, could you please assist me in obtaining growth rates for Winston Churchill Boulevard between Lakeshore Road West and Royal Windsor Drive?

Our existing conditions scenario is 2020 and our future horizon year is 2026. In addition, due to the effects of COVID-19, if you can provide advice on growth rates from 2017 to 2020 it would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you for your time, and please let me know if you have any questions or require further clarification. As Winston Churchill is a boundary road, please indicate if Halton Region is better suited to provide growth rate advice.

Sincerely,

Andrae Griffith
Pronouns: he, him, his

A Message from IBI Group's CEO on COVID-19: https://www.ibigroup.com/covid19-response
IBI GROUP

7th Floor - 55 St. Clair Avenue West
Toronto ON M4V 2 Y7 Canada
tel +14165961930 ext 61450 fax +14165960644

$\square$

NOTE: This email message/attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message. NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez recu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel

## Draft Site Plan Comments Report

| Date: | 2021-08-30 |
| :---: | :---: |
| To: cc: | Ashley Minns, IBI Group <br> Jamie Bunston, 772 Winston <br> Churchill Ltd. Partnership Ashley.minns@ibigroup.com <br> jbunston@oneproperties.com |
| From: | Leigh Musson, Acting Manager East District, Planning Services |
| Contact Info: | T: 905-845-6601 ext. 3371 <br> F: 905-338-4414 <br> E: leigh.musson@oakville.ca |
| Re: | Site Plan Circulation Comments (1st submission) |
| Application: | IBI Group |
| Description: | Two Industria/Warehouse Buildings - 32,490 m² and 28,972 m² |
| Address: | 700 and 750 Winston Churchill Blvd. (772 Winston Churchill Blvd.) |
| Site Plan File \#: | SP.1601.029/01 |

The above-noted site plan application has been circulated to various municipal departments and external agencies for review. Comments which have been received with respect to the site plan application are included below. Please be aware that comments from some departments and/or agencies may still be pending.

Please contact the staff member responsible for each set of comments, as listed below, in order to resolve any outstanding site plan approval issues. Kindly request the responsible staff member to send me an email/fax of all correspondence for our records.

Revised and coordinated plans and documents which fully address the attached comments must be submitted according to the process outlined in the Step by Step Digital Submissions Guide on the Town's website. Digital materials must be named in an organized and descriptive manner according to format outlined in Planning's Digital Submission Naming Conventions document.

You are also required to submit the following items (forming a complete resubmission package):

- a cover letter describing how each comment within this report has been addressed.
- a transmittal provided in .doc (Word) format listing the materials submitted, with their revision number and date and the titles and information presented in the format as provided at the end of this report

Furthermore, all reports, documents and drawings submitted must:

- be presented in metric measure that can be accurately scaled,
- be prepared, stamped and signed by a qualified professional architect (for site plan and architectural drawings), engineer (for site plan and engineering drawings/reports), or landscape architect (for landscape and tree protection drawings/reports)


## Circulation Comments:

## PLANNING SERVICES

## 1 Current Planning

Leigh Musson ext. 3371

## 2021-07-23 - Circulation 1

- Oakville Transportation comments to follow once received.
- Issues identified as part of the submission are included within this report
- Applicant is to hold a "Neighbourhood Meeting", please confirm date
- Other issues may be identified through future reviews
- Applicant to enter into negotiations with the Town and be responsible for all costs for any external servicing requirements that require the use of Town land


## Conditions of Final Site Plan Approval

- Securities - That the owner deposit securities with the Finance Department, in a form meeting the Finance Department's requirements (as a certified cheque or letter of credit, not a standard cheque), in the lump-sum amount of \$XXX,XXX.XX (planning to calculate)

For your application, the lump-sum amount was calculated as follows:
RES (low) - \$20,000 + itemized essential elements = \$XXX,XXX.XX

- RES (mid \& hi) and MU- \$75,000 * ha + \$500 * m = \$XXX,XXX.XX
- All others - \$50,000 * ha + \$500 * m = \$XXX,XXX.XX
- Site Plan Agreement - That the owner enter into a Site Plan Agreement with the Town, to be registered on title, containing clauses suitable to the Director of Planning, Director of Development Engineering and the Town Solicitor.
- Final Plans - That the owner submits a full set of coordinated, digital drawings in PDF format, to the satisfaction of the Planning Services Department.


## Re-Circulation Fee

- A re-circulation fee of $15 \%$ of the in-effect application fee will be required after the $3^{\text {rd }}$ submission and every resubmission thereafter (per fee by-law 2020-131, Schedule 'A' Section 10).


## File Naming

Future submission materials must be named according to the following format:

- File Number _ Condensed Name _ Version Number _ Date (with no spaces)

For example, your set of files should look like the following list:

- 00_CoverLetter_v1_2020-02-28
- 01_Aerial_v1_2020-02-14
- 02_Survey_v1_2020-02-23
- 03_SitePlan_v1_2020-02-23
- 04_SitePlanDetails_v1_2020-02-23
- 05_FloorPlan_v1_2020-02-23
- 06_Landscape_v1_2020-02-18
- 07_LandscapeDetails_v1_2020-02-18
- 08_Servicing_v1_2020-02-15
- 09_Grading_v1_2020-02-15
- 10_SWM_v1_2020-01-30
- 11_TIS_TruckTurning_v1_2020-02-20
- 12_NoiseVibration_v1_2020-02-20
- 13_ESSQ_v1_2020-02-28
- 14_ESS1_v1_2020-02-28

Requirements:

- NO spaces in the file name.
- NO special characters within the file name (i.e. @ \# \$ \% \& * $\backslash \mid$ ).
- ONLY Letters, Numbers, Dashes, Underscores and Periods are permitted in the file name.

Final Note:

- All submission of plans and/or studies must be clearly labelled and in a larger font size in the title block as the next submission by number, corresponding to the version number and date in the file name


## 2 Heritage Planner

Carolyn Van Sligtenhorst ext. 3875

## 2021-07-13 - Circulation 1

## No Heritage Concerns

## 3 Urban Design

Philip Wiersma ext. 3795

## 2021-07-28 - Circulation 1

The following comments are based on materials circulated July 2, 2021 [Circ 1]

## Comments

Development and public realm improvements shall be evaluated in accordance with the urban design direction provided in the Livable by Design Manual, as amended, to ascertain conformity with the urban design policies of Livable Oakville, the towns official plan. If not done previously, please review online Livable Oakville policies and the related standards contained in Livable by Design Manual (Part A \& C).

## Landscape (comment provided by Philip Wiersma)

1. [Circ 1] As done for Building A, all parking spaces adjacent to Building B should have an abutting walkway providing pedestrian access to a main entrance. Walkway should be at least 1.8 m where abutting parking stalls, at least 1.5 m in all other areas.
2. [Circ 1] Canopy cover target for this site is 20\%. (as stated in the cover letter and calculation chart provided) Currently the canopy cover plan only proposes $10 \%$ coverage. Plans should be revised to provide the $20 \%$ canopy cover as necessary.

It is my understanding that the town will not be taking the open space channel as a dedication. (as alluded to in the cover letter) As a result, the existing canopy cover contained within the open space channel should be factored into the canopy calculation. Since much of the plant material within the channel is recently planted, existing tree canopy cover can be projected as if it were proposed tree planting.
3. [Circ 1] With regards to the canopy cover plan, it is fine to group planting areas together; however, the tree groupings must be within the same continuous planting area. Revise canopy cover chart as necessary. Refer to the towns development application guidelines / terms of reference for additional information if needed.
4. [Circ 1] All pedestrian routes should be barrier free, including pedestrian crossings of internal drive aisles. Revise plans, including the grading plan, as necessary.
5. [Circ 1] Retaining walls in proximity to pedestrian areas should be provided with guard railings. Note location of guard railings on the plans and provide construction detail for how the railing will be constructed on/beside the retaining wall.
6. [Circ 1] No more than $10 \%$ of the proposed trees should be of the same genus. Revise planting as necessary.
7. [Circ 1] Along the Winston Churchill Boulevard frontage shrub planting (or hedge, berm, low wall / decorative fence, or combination thereof) should form a continuous screening element with a height of $750-1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ above the parking area grade. Revise planting plan as necessary.
8. [Circ 1] Along the side yard abutting the railway corridor, one deciduous or coniferous tree planting should be provided for every 4.5 m of abutting land, with a minimum $80 \%$ of the trees within the buffer strip as coniferous species; and a hedge, fence, berm or combination thereof, forming a continuous screening element with a minimum height of 1.8 m .
9. [Circ 1] Regarding the lighting plan, fixture W4A or W4B are not acceptable due to the potential for light to be directed up into the sky. Revise type of fixture as necessary.
10. [Circ 1] Provide a note on the drawing stating: "All lighting devices shall be full cut off and night sky friendly, and shall be mitigated at the source so that no light ( 0.0 fc ) will be directly projected onto adjacent properties."
11. [Circ 1] Applicant indicates on the site plan that snow will be removed from the site. See condition.

Built Form (comments provided by Nada Almasri)
12. [Circ 1] Consider projecting the office components on the frontage of Building $A$ to create a sense of enclosure along Winston Churchil streetscape.
13. [Circ 1] For building façades greater than 30.0 m in length, divide the horizontal dimension of the building by incorporating significant modulations (projections/recesses) in the massing and variety in architectural detailing. Design façades of longer buildings to give the appearance of a collection of finer grain structures.
14. [Circ 1] Design principal building entrances to the office components to be easily identifiable to the public.
15. [Circ 1] Screen rooftop mechanical equipment completely from view from the public realm using compatible building materials as used on the main building or integrate them into the overall design of the building. Reflect on the relative drawings.
16. [Circ 1] For rooftop equipment and enclosures taller than 2.0 m in height, incorporate a minimum setback of 5.0 m from all edges of the roof to reduce their visibility from the public realm. Reflect on the relative drawings.

## Conclusion/Conditions

The following should be satisfied prior to final site plan approval:

- Site Plan Agreement - That the owner enter into a Site Plan Agreement with the Town, to be registered on title, containing clauses suitable to the Director of Planning, Director of Development Engineering, and the Town Solicitor, including but not limited to the following:

That the owner and tenants / future purchasers will maintain a minimum tree canopy cover or potential canopy cover of $20 \%$ over the site area. Any tree removals granted by way of Town permits will require the replanting of trees so as to maintain this minimum tree canopy cover target to the satisfaction of the Town.

That all owners and tenants / future purchasers are required to maintain all access ramps and driveways, parking and loading areas, and walkways, unobstructed to ensure safe operations within this private development, and as there is insufficient on site snow storage, all snow cleared from the access ramps and driveways, parking and loading areas, and walkways shall
be removed from the site. In no circumstance shall snow cleared from the site be placed in a manner that might damage private or public landscaping, fences, or impinge on adjacent properties or open space. The contracting for private snow removal from the site shall remain the sole responsibility of the owners and tenants / future purchasers.

- Urban Design: That the owner submit and obtains final approval for the following to the satisfaction of the Planning Services Department:
a) Revised and final Site Plan
b) Revised and final Building Elevations
c) Revised and final Landscape Plan
d) Revised and final Grading Plan
e) Revised and final Lighting Plan
f) Revised and final Tree Canopy Plan and Canopy Calculation Chart
- Additional comments may be provided after review of subsequently submitted revised materials.


## Circulation Comment Chronology

## 4 Development Engineering

George Golding George.golding@oakville.ca
2021-08-09 - Circulation 1

## Technical Review

## Material Reviewed:

| Document | $\underline{\text { Type }}$ | From | Dated | $\underline{\text { Received }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stormwater Management Report <br> -772 Winston Churchill <br> Boulevard | Report | A.M. Candaras <br> Associates Inc. | April 2021 | NA |
| Functional Servicing Report - <br> 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard | Report | A.M. Candaras <br> Associates Inc. | April 2021 | NA |
| Drawings G1- Grading and SWM <br> East Site Area | Dwg | A.M. Candaras <br> Associates Inc. | April 2021 | NA |
| Drawings G2- Grading and SWM <br> West Site Area |  |  |  |  |
| Drawings G3- Site Servicing and <br> SWM East Site Area |  |  |  |  |
| Drawings G4- Site Servicing and <br> SWM East Site Area |  |  |  |  |


| Drawing ESC-1 - Stage 1 ESC |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Drawing ESC-2 - Stage 2 ESC |  |  |
| Plan |  |  |

## Background Information

Based on the circulation memo, the proponent wishes to complete the following:

- Construct two warehouse building and asphalt/paved areas within the subject property.
- Clearview Creek channel corridor was previously realigned to facilitate development within the subject property.
- Note: The subject property ultimately drains to the main branch of Clearview Creek. Review and comments to be coordinated with CVC staff.


## Review of Stormwater Management Report

A Stormwater Management Report completed by A.M Candaras Associates Inc. (dated April 9, 2021) is support of the proposed development.
Note: The provided report was marked as final and was signed and sealed by a licensed professional engineer.

## SWM Criteria:

SWM criteria appear to have been based on the previously approved Clearview Creek Subwatershed Study (completed by McCormick, Rankin Corporation (MRC), dated 2007).
Based on details provided within the SWM Report, the following SWM criteria have been used is support of the proposed SWM strategy for the site:
i. Post to pre-development controls for quantity controls onsite. The 100-year post to 2-year predevelopment control is based on CVC's SWM Guideline. 100-year post to 2-year predevelopment controls are being proposed as part of the overall SWM strategy for the subject site.
ii. Enhanced water quality control (Level 1) is required onsite.
iii. Per CVC's SWM Guidelines, onsite erosion controls will be required as part of the overall SWM strategy onsite.

Note: The outfall noted within the SWM report drains to a regulated watercourse (Clearview Creek). The regional floodplain has been established within this section of Clearview Creek.

## Review of SWM Strategy:

- Report further states that SWM facilities are to be located outside of the regional floodplain.
- Based on details provided within the SWM report, the overall impervious coverage within the site under proposed conditions is approximately $84 \%$ and includes the Clearview Creek channel corridor.


## Water Quality Control

- The SWM strategy is proposing enhanced water quality through three (3) OGS units onsite (Jellyfish type OGS unit), in parallel. Based on details provided within the SWM report, the

Jellyfish OGS unit was designed to provide 85\% TSS removal and exceeds the minimum 80\% TSS removal to meet enhanced (Level 1) water quality controls.

- The proposed units are situated directly downstream of the proposed quality and quantity control orifices within MH 4 OCS.
- Enhanced water quality controls appear to have been achieved. No further comment.


## Erosion Control

Based on details provided within the SWM report, erosion controls are provided through 48-hr drawdown for the 25 mm storm event. This criteria is in accordance with CVC's SWM Guideline and MECP SWM manual and is appropriate. No further comment.

## Water Quantity Control

Based on details provided within the SWM Report, water quantity controls are be proposed through a series or controls included:

- Underground SWM facility sized to provide $3,506 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage.
- An additional $5100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of storage is proposed through parking lot storage controls.
- Rooftop controls are being proposed onsite, however; it is unclear whether they were implemented within the quantity controls. Confirmation is required.

Based on details provided within the SWM report and Drawing G-1, the proposed parking lot storage depth during the 100-year storm event is approximately 0.50 m in depth. Further, parking lot storage appears to be proposed as frequent as the 5-year storm event (proposed HWL 5-year is 93.68 m , the top of CB grates are as low as 93.50 m).
Per Section 3.1.3.06 Storm Water Management Implementation Report Requirements:

- Maximum ponding depth in parking areas is not to exceed 250 mm , and no ponding shall be located in a fire route. No five-year ponding (nuisance) on pavement: use landscaped areas, roofs or underground structures.

The provided SWM strategy appears to exceed the max ponding depth ( 250 mm ) in parking areas. The SWM strategy also is proposing frequent flooding within the parking lot areas as frequent as the 5 -year storm event. This also does not appear to meet Town design standards. A comment will be provided.

## Water Balance

Based on review of the Clearview Creek Sub watershed Study, given the industrial nature of the development and the risk of groundwater contamination, a post to pre-development water balance in not required. No further comment.

## Proposed Outfall

Based on details provided within the SWM report, a conventional gravity type outfall cannot be achieved given the regional water levels within Clearview Creek, resulting in backwater conditions that would impact the function of the proposed SWM facility. Mechanical pumping is being proposed as opposed to the gravity system. Based on details provided within the SWM report, the design of the pumps and chamber is being completed by "John Brooks and will be included in next submission". The outlet details are required in support of the overall SWM design. A comment will be provided.

## SWM Operation and Maintenance

Comments will be made as it related to OMM and routine maintenance of the SWM facility proposed within the subject development.

## Review of Erosion and Sediment Control Plan

An ESC monitoring program is being proposed in accordance with recommendations made within the Clearview Creek Subwatershed Study.

- ESC plan is signed and sealed by a professional engineer.
- Heavy duty silt fencing is proposed around the perimeter of the subject property.
- The Stage 2 plans does not provide sufficient detail as it relates to ESC between the grading and servicing stage. Additional details are required.
- Based on details provided within Section 11 of the SWM report, the ESC plan is proposing to utilize and existing sedimentation basin for stage 1 (topsoil stripping and rough grading) of the ESC plan. There is no details related to the sedimentation basin sizing. Further, there is no details related to the temporary outlet structure and spillway. Additional details are required and should be documented within the SWM report and included within the design drawings.
- No construct dewatering was noted within ESC plans. Given the nature of the proposed works (construction of an underground storage facility), dewatering may be required. Confirmation is required. If dewatering is required, a detailed dewatering plan is required and is to be incorporated into the ESC plans.


## Site Servicing and Functional Servicing Report

- Sanitary and water servicing for the subject development (772 Winston Churchill Blvd.) and the neighboring properties at 560 Winston Churchill Blvd and 568 Winston Churchill Blvd.
- Servicing was provided to the Region of Halton for review and approval. The preferred servicing plans for both water and sanitary appear to be based on input from Regional staff.
- Target flow rates are being proposed for the neighboring future development at 560 Winston Churchill Blvd. The existing catchment area used within Table 2 (Target Flows for 772 and 560 Winston Churchill) for the 560 Winston Churchill site does not appear to match that previously delineated catchment area presented within the Clearview Creek Subwatershed Study. The proposed development area does not appear to match the existing catchment area and does not appear to be in line with existing topography (based on internal review). A comment will be provided.
- Overland flow routes noted within the grading plans do not appear to be consistent with the SWM strategy proposed within the SWM report completed by A.M. Candaras.


## Engineering Comments to Proponent:

Development Engineering has reviewed the submitted storm water management, grading and servicing materials and provides the following comments:

## 772 Winston Churchill Blvd. Development:

1. The following are comments related to the quantity control measures discussed within the Stormwater Management Report (A.M. Candaras, dated April 2021) in support of the proposed development at 772 Winston Churchill Road:
a. Based on details provided within the SWM report, quantity controls appear to be limited to underground storage (through the proposed underground storage facility) and parking lot storage (located between the two buildings). The SWM report also makes reference to rooftop controls for the two buildings proposed. Please confirm whether rooftop controls are being proposed and implemented within the overall SWM strategy within the subject site.
b. The approved Subwatershed Study contemplated a wet pond to meet the water quality and quantity targets. If another SWM measure is being considered, it should meet the functions of the SWM pond like for like, including the potential risk to private and public property. Please provide additional justification within the SWM report and confirm that the proposed SWM facility does not increase the potential risk to private and public property.
c. The SWM strategy appears to exceed the max ponding depth $(250 \mathrm{~mm})$ in parking areas during the 100-year storm event. In accordance with Section 3.1.3.06 within the Town of Oakville's Storm Water Management Implementation Report Requirements, the maximum ponding depth in parking areas is not to exceed 250 mm and no ponding shall be located in a fire route. 100-year ponding depths appear to exceed 0.50 m in areas of the parking lot and does not appear to meet the Town's SWM criteria. Please revise the design to reflect maximum ponding depths.
d. Please confirm that the SWM strategy and the proposed ponding within the subject site considers safe access.
e. The SWM strategy also is proposing frequent flooding within the parking lot areas as frequent as the 5 -year storm event. In accordance with Section 3.1.3.06 within the Town of Oakville's Storm Water Management Implementation Report Requirements, no five-year ponding (nuisance) on pavement will be permitted and alternative quantity controls (use landscaped areas, roofs or underground structures) should be used.
f. Please note that as-built conditions and a certification letter (signed and sealed by the design engineer) will be required following construction to confirm that the proposed underground SWM facility and parking lot storage areas were constructed in accordance with the approved design. Please acknowledge this requirement.
g. Based on details provided within the Grading Plans, major overland flows a portion of the proposed parking lot area (fronting onto Winston Churchill Blvd) appears to be bypassing the proposed underground SWM facility and parking lot storage area. This does not appear to be consistent with what is proposed within the Stormwater Management Report. Please confirm.
2. The following are comments related to the proposed outfall and emergency spillway proposed within the subject development:
a. Based on details provided within the SWM report, the outfall design (specifically the design of the pumps and chamber system) has been deferred to others and will be provided in the next submission. Please provide additional details related to the proposed outfall design.
b. There is a concern that the proposed pump outfall will not function during a major storm event. Please confirm whether a backup power supply will be provided for the proposed outlet system (dual pumps) in the event of a power failure during a major storm event.
c. Please provide additional details and cross-section of the emergency spillway within the design drawings. Please provide sizing calculations within the provided SWM report. Please provide additional details related to how the emergency spillway was sized and whether the spillway was design to convey the 100-year storm event in the event the orifice controls become obstructed or the pumping system fails during a major storm event.
3. Please provide an operations and maintenance manual (OMM) in support of the proposed SWM facility as well as the proposed OGS units within the subject property. The owner of the property is to be aware of the OMM and completed routine inspections and maintenance activities in accordance with recommendations made within the manual.
4. Maintenance of the proposed Jellyfish type OGS units is a crucial component to consider ensuring there long-term performance. The owner needs to clearly understand the unique maintenance responsibilities inherent with these types of OGS units, particularly the maintenance costs. The owner should be capable of performing routine and long-term actions to maintain the function of the proposed OGS units. Please confirm.
5. The following are comments related to the ESC plan/strategy as noted within Drawings ESC-1 and ESC-2 completed by A.M. Candaras Associate Inc. dated April 2021:
a. The ESC plan and all related measures are to be designed in accordance with the ESC Guidelines for Urban Construction (TRCA, 2019). Please acknowledge this and provide additional discussion within the SWM report.
b. Based on details provided within the SWM report, ESC strategy for the subject property is to utilize the existing sedimentation basin within the property. It is unclear how this basin was design and whether this basin was designed in accordance with the ESC Guidelines for Urban Construction (TRCA, 2019). Please provide supporting sizing analysis and drawdown analysis in support of the proposed temporary sedimentation basin. If the facility does not meet design criteria within the guideline, the existing sedimentation basin is to be retrofitted.
c. Please provide additional details as to how the temporary sedimentation basin will be decommissioned following stage 1 works and what ESC measures will be provided prior to site servicing (stage 2 works).
d. Please confirm whether construction dewatering is required to facilitate the construction of the underground infrastructure associated with the proposed development. If dewatering is required/anticipated, please provide a detailed dewatering plan.

## Functional Servicing Report for 772, 560 and 568 Winston Churchill Blvd:

6. The following are comments related to the Function Servicing Report (For Industrial Developments 772, 560 and 568 Winston Blvd) completed by A.M. Candaras Associates Inc. dated January 29, 2021:
a. Table 2 within the FSR proposes target flow rates for the proposed developments at 772 Winston Churchill Blvd and the neighboring 560 Winston Churchill Blvd. The 560 Winston Churchill Blvd target flows uses a contributing catchment area of 8.93 ha. Based on the existing conditions catchment areas for Catchment 5 within the Clearview Clear Subwatershed Study, approximately 3.8 ha of land from the proposed 560 Winston Churchill

Blvd development is contributing to this reach of Clearview Creek under existing drainage conditions. The target flow rates for 560 Winston Churchill Blvd. are to be revised to match existing conditions catchment areas contributing to this reach of Clearview Creek.
b. Please confirm whether agreements are in place with the neighboring properties at 560 and 568 Winston Churchill Blvd for the construction of the proposed 300 mm diam. watermain along Winston Churchill Blvd.
c. Section 2.2 of the FSR makes reference to a 6.0 m wide regional easement within Town lands. Based on the legal plan included within Appendix B of the FSR, an 8.0 m wide easement is shown. Please clarify.

## Conditions of Approval

Conditions which must be satisfied prior to final site plan approval:

1) That the owner submits the following information, to the satisfaction of the Development Engineering Department:
1. Stormwater Management Brief/Letter (See comments)
2. Grading, Drainage and Servicing Plans (See comments)
3. Tree Inventory and Protection Plan (See comments by Urban Forester)
4. Arborists Report (See comments by Urban Forester)
5. Tree Protection Securities: That the applicant deposit tree securities to the Town of Oakville. (See comments by Urban Forester)
6. Boulevard \& Road Restoration Details to be provided on civil drawings.
7. Town of Oakville staff will require a certification letter signed and sealed by a Professional Engineer stating that the permanent Stormwater Management Facility (proposed underground storage facility) is operational and has been built as per approved design. As-built confirmation of the proposed parking lot storage volumes and ponding extent are also required. Should there be discrepancies between the proposed and as-built works; additional engineering and/or on-site works may be required. As-constructed drawings are to be submitted upon the construction of the SWM facility. The following criteria must be met in order for the facility to be considered operational:
i. The SWM facility must be at final grade.
ii. Capacity of SWM facility must be confirmed to meet design detention volumes.
iii. Outlet structures must be constructed and conform to the approved plans.
iv. The as-built parking lot storage area is to be included within the confirmation letter.
2) That the owner submits the following information, to the satisfaction of the Engineering \& Construction Department:

Note: The applicant is to consult with the Engineering \& Construction Department regarding the need and or requirements any further permits and reports.
a) Reference Plan: That the owner is required to provide the appropriate reference plan / survey information as part of any dedication

## 5 Development Engineering, Forestry

Michelle Drmanic ext. 3982

## 2021-07-28 - Circulation 1

Urban Forestry has reviewed the first Site Plan circulation and has the following comments:

1. Table 1 on page 6 of the arborist report indicates that trees \#1011 \& 1012 are to be removed due to condition (severe lean) and construction impacts, however, the tree protection plan shows these trees to be retained, with tree protection barrier to be installed. Please confirm whether these trees are to be removed or preserved, and update the arborist report/tree protection plan accordingly.
2. The arborist report and tree protection plan propose removal of trees \#1000-1010. As these trees are situated on the adjacent property, they cannot be removed unless written consent is obtained from the neighbouring property owner. If the neighbor agrees to removal of these trees, please submit a copy of the consent letter.
3. Tree protection barriers must be installed prior to obtaining final Site Plan approval. The tree protection barriers are to be installed at minimum TPZ distance, as per town standards/arborist report recommendations, and Development Services is to be notified for inspection and approval. The tree protection barriers must be constructed of mesh fence with $2 \times 4$ " wood frame, to maintain sight lines/visibility.
4. Note: If any trees are to be removed, no trees can be cut until after final site plan approval.

## 6 Engineering and Construction, Transportation

Aquisha Khan 905-845-6601
Date - Circulation 1
Pending
INTERNAL DEPARTMENTS
7 Building Services, Building Code
Louisa He ext. 3142
2021-07-21 - Circulation 1

I had no comments on this submission.

## 8 Building Services, Fire Prevention

Jonathan O'Neil ext. 3183
2021-08-05 - Circulation 1

1. Submit a fire route application package with fee that meets the Town By-law requirements for drawing specification and be acceptable for inclusion onto the Town's By-law. The application package can be obtained from the Building Department or the Town's website at www.oakville.ca.
2. Received information related to storm water retention on the site. The area of water retention covers portions of the proposed designated fire route. Standing water causes access issues with vehicle control due to weight distribution and size of the fire apparatus.

## 9 Building Services, Zoning

Peter Kozelj ext. 3174

## 2021-08-17 - Circulation 1

Section 4.14 a) On lands subject to this By-law south of Dundas Street, no building may be erected or enlarged unless the land is serviced by municipal water and sewage systems. Confirm.

## 10 Engineering and Construction, Municipal Addressing <br> Sharon Coyne ext. 3323

## 2021-08-11 - Circulation 1

Addresses for the 2 new buildings.
Building A - 700 Winston Churchill Blvd.
Building B- 750 Winston Churchill Blvd.

## 11 Legal, Realty Services <br> Jim Knighton ext. 3022

2021-07-06 - Circulation 1

Subject to the Regions servicing recommendations, the applicant is required to enter into negotiations with the Town and be responsible for all costs associated with any external servicing requirements, including any easement and related costs.

The owner is to enter into satisfactory arrangements with the Town related to the payment of cash in lieu of parkland in accordance Section 42 of the Planning Act and the Town By-law 2008-105 and contact the Towns

Manager of Realty Services no later than 90 days prior to their intended date to draw the first building permit for the proposed development or redevelopment, to arrange coordination of the necessary appraisal

## 12 Parks and Open Space

Janis Olbina ext. 3148

## 2021-07-12 - Circulation 1

Based on the attached drawing (emailed August 10) that Leigh provided I have done a very quick markup to show where we (parks) think the 8 m corridor should be placed....as far east as possible with edge of easement at bottom of berm slope. As much as this drawing shows a good number of trees, it doesn't differentiate between a newly planted tree, and one with more maturity. Before any final drawing/alignment is agreed to, the applicant(s) will need to prepare an updated, and detailed tree inventory/arborist report. They can probably start with Forestry's GIS records and update/amend as required.

Also, regarding the actual connections back to the Winston Churchill properties, I think there are better alternatives for connecting points. I have sketched a slightly new geometry for the 560 property that may allow for the sewer to avoid a portion of the berm. For 772, the drawing would have to be extended further north, where I think there is a gap in the existing berm - this may also reduce amount of trees needing removal.

We wish the 8 m easement to be considered the maximum allowable corridor for both construction and permanent easements. The contractors doing the work will have to find a way to safely excavate/trench/bore without a massive excavation and spoils on either side.

## EXTERNAL AGENCIES

## 13 Bell Canada

Ryan Courville planninganddevelopment@bell.ca

## 2021-08-17 - Circulation 1

Re: Site Plan Application - 772 Winston Churchill Blvd - File No: 1601.029/01; Your File No. 1601.029/01

Our File No. 91082
Dear Sir/Madam,

We have reviewed the circulation regarding the above noted application. The following paragraphs are to be included as a condition of approval:
"The Owner acknowledges and agrees to convey any easement(s) as deemed necessary by Bell Canada to service this new development. The Owner further agrees and acknowledges to convey such easements at no cost to Bell Canada.

The Owner agrees that should any conflict arise with existing Bell Canada facilities where a current and valid easement exists within the subject area, the Owner shall be responsible for the relocation of any such facilities or easements at their own cost."

The Owner is advised to contact Bell Canada at planninganddevelopment@bell.ca during the detailed utility design stage to confirm the provision of communication/telecommunication infrastructure needed to service the development.

It shall be noted that it is the responsibility of the Owner to provide entrance/service duct(s) from Bell Canada's existing network infrastructure to service this development. In the event that no such network infrastructure exists, in accordance with the Bell Canada Act, the Owner may be required to pay for the extension of such network infrastructure.

If the Owner elects not to pay for the above noted connection, Bell Canada may decide not to provide service to this development.

To ensure that we are able to continue to actively participate in the planning process and provide detailed provisioning comments, we note that we would be pleased to receive circulations on all applications received by the Municipality and/or recirculations.

Please note that WSP operates Bell's development tracking system, which includes the intake of municipal circulations. WSP is mandated to notify Bell when a municipal request for comments or for information, such as a request for clearance, has been received. All responses to these municipal circulations are generated by Bell, but submitted by WSP on Bell's behalf. WSP is not responsible for Bell's responses and for any of the content herein.

If you believe that these comments have been sent to you in error or have questions regarding Bell's protocols for responding to municipal circulations and enquiries, please contact planninganddevelopment@bell.ca

## 14 Canada Post

Anna Burdz tel. 647-355-3597
2021-07-20 - Circulation 1
Canada Post appreciates the opportunity to comment for the above referenced site plan application.
For this address mail delivery will be provided in the same manner as that provided to the surrounding area.
For mail delivery inquiry please contact Town of Oakville Post office at 905-338-1199

## 15 CN Rail

Nick Coleman tel. 905-760-5007

```
Date - Circulation 1
```

Pending

## 16 City of Mississauga

Katherine Morton - Katherine.morton@mississauga.ca

## 2021-08-11 - Circulation 1

Comments from Hugh Lynch - August 11/21
We understand this is a SP application within the current zoning permissions. Accordingly, the City of Mississauga will not be providing comment

## 17 Credit Valley Conservation

Annie Li tel. 905-670-1615 ext. 380
2021-08-03 - Circulation 1

VIA EMAIL

August 3, 2021

Planning Services
Town of Oakville 1225 Trafalgar Road
Oakville, ON
L6 $0 \mathrm{H}^{2} 3$

## Attention: Leigh Musson - Acting Manager - Planning, Current Planning - East District

Re: Town File No. SP 1601.029/01 - $1^{\text {st }}$ Submission
CVC File No. SP 21/029
IBI Group
772 Winston Churchill Blvd
Part of Lot 1, Concession 3 SDS
Town of Oakville
Credit Valley Conservation (CVC) staff have reviewed the subject application and offer comments based on the following roles and responsibilities:

1. Watershed Based Resource Management Agency and Public (commenting) Body under the Planning Act - providing comments based on CVC's Board approved policies;
2. Planning Advisory Services - providing environmental planning and technical advice/comments based on service agreements or memorandum of understanding;
3. Delegated Responsibilities - providing comments representing the provincial interest regarding natural hazards (except forest fires) as identified in Section 3.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement (2020);
4. Regulatory Responsibilities - providing comments to ensure the coordination of requirements under the Conservation Authorities Act Section 28 regulation, to eliminate unnecessary delay or duplication in process;
5. Source Protection Agency - providing advisory comments to assist with the implementation of the CTC Source Protection Plan under the Clean Water Act, as applicable.

The following plans and reports for the above noted application were reviewed:

- Survey prepared by KRCMAR dated February 3, 2015
- Architectural Drawings prepared by Baldassarra Architects: Site Plan (A-1.0); Elevation Drawings for Building A (A-3.0 \& A3.1); and Elevation Drawings for Building B (A-3.0 \& A3.1) last revision dated April 9, 2021
- Landscape Plans prepared by Insite Landscape Architects: Overall Landscape Plan (L1); Landscape Plan Top Site Portion (L-2); Landscape Plan Lower Site Portion (L-3); Notes \& Details (L-4) last revision dated April 7, 2021


## August 3, 2021 <br> Re: CVC File No. SP 21/029 (Town File No. 1601.29/01 IBI Group) 772 Winston Churchill Blvd <br> Town of Oakville

- Tree Protection Details (TPP-2) prepared by IBI Group dated May 31, 2021
- Arborist Report prepared by IBI Group dated May 31, 2021
- Functional Servicing Report prepared by A.M.Candaras Associates Inc. dated January 29, 2021
- Stormwater Management Report and Functional Servicing Report prepared by A.M.Candaras Associates Inc. dated April 9, 2021
- Grading Plans prepared by A.M.Candaras Associates Inc.: Grading and SWM Plan East Site Area (G-1); Grading and SWM Plan West Site Area (G-2); Site Servicing and SWM Plan East Site Area (G-3); \& Site Servicing and SWM Plan West Site Area (G-4) last revision dated April 9, 2021
- Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plans: Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESC1); \& Erosion and Sediment Control Plan - Stage 2 (ESC-2) prepared by A.M.Candaras last revision dated April 9, 2021


## Stite Characteristics:

The subject property is traversed by Clearview Creek and its associated Regulatory Floodplain and erosion hazard. The section of Clearview Creek on the subject property was previously re-aligned and engineered. It is the policy of CVC and the Province of Ontario to conserve and protect the significant physical, hydrological and biological features associated with the functions of the above noted characteristics and to recommend that no development be permitted which would adversely affect the natural features or ecological functions of these areas.

## Ontario Regulation 160/06:

This subject property is located within the Authority's regulated area. As such, the property is subject to the Development, Interference with Wetlands, and Alterations to Shorelines \& Watercourses Regulation (Ontario Regulation 160/06). This regulation prohibits altering a watercourse, wetland or shoreline and prohibits development in areas adjacent to the Lake Ontario shoreline, river and stream valleys, hazardous lands and wetlands, without the prior written approval of Credit Valley Conservation (CVC) (i.e. the issuance of a permit).

## Proposal:

CVC staff understands that the purpose of this Site Plan application is to permit the construction of two industrial/warehouse buildings ( $32,490 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and 28,972 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

## Comments:

CVC staff have had an opportunity to review the current submission and provide the following comments to be addressed by the proponent.

General

1. Please provide a response matrix/letter in the next submission outlining how all of the below comments have been addressed.
2. The updated Regulatory Floodplain (see engineering comment 4 below for additional details) is to be delineated on the engineering and site plan drawings. Based on the review of the current drawings, it appears that the main access to the site would be located within the Regulatory Floodplain. Safe access to the site in accordance with CVC's policies is to be provided to the site. As such, in addition to the Regulatory Floodplain elevation delineation, please also provide a delineation of where the

August 3, 2021
Re: CVC File No. SP 21/029 (Town File No. 1601.29/01 IBI Group) 772 Winston Churchill Blvd
Town of Oakville
floodplain depths at the proposed driveway and parking locations would be 0.3 m in height (i.e. the Regulatory Floodplain elevation minus 0.3 m ) on the grading plans for our review and comment.

## Engineering

3. The provided Site Servicing Plan does not show the hazards within and around the study area. Hazards (slope, erosion, etc.) and all the previously established development limits are to be clearly identified on the plans.
4. CVC has updated floodplain mapping for the subject property (attached). Please complete a formal data request for the hydraulic model that will provide the up to date and relevant water surface elevations. The water surface elevations as listed in the FSR/SWM Report must be updated to reflect the most current information.
5. CVC typically looks for proposed infrastructure to be located outside of the 100 -year local erosion hazard, Provide a clear representation of the location of any proposed infrastructure as compared to the 100 -year erosion hazard corridor and meander belt width delineations (associated with the regulated watercourse).
6. Confirmation from the proponent is required to ensure that routine maintenance of the proposed Stormtech and Jellyfish features will be completed to ensure long term functionality of the proposed systems.
7. The provided SWM report did not provide any analysis supporting how the proposed catchment area parameters were derived (soils, slopes, etc.). Additional analysis is required.
8. MH3 on Plan G-3 indicates 5 invert elevations for the pipes / sewers that connect to this maintenance hole. There is an arrow from MH3 to MH2. Please clarify whether this is a proposed sewer connection and if so, whether there is a concern that the flow will bypass the JellyFish units and therefore ultimately not achieve the intended 80\% TSS Removal.
9. Please note that the CVC Stormwater Management Guideline (August 2012), link: https://cvc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/cvc-swm-criteria-appendices-Auq12-Djuly 14.pdf requires that a $24-\mathrm{hr}$ SCS Type II storm is to be routed through the proposed SWM facilities to ensure that there is sufficient storage capacity.
10. Please ensure that the regional storm event for the post-development scenario is modelled as appropriate.
11. The proposed outfall to Clearview Creek is located at an elevation of 93.55 m , which is above the 100-year elevation. The invert elevation of the stormtech chamber system is 90.23 m , which is lower than the creek bed elevation. There is a concern of backwater in the case of an emergency and non-functioning pump during regional storm event. The storm sewer system relies on the pump, provide further detail on the impacts of a failed pumping system could have, particularly on the regional storm flows.
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## August 3, 2021 <br> Re: CVC File No. SP 21/029 (Town File No. 1601.29/01 IBI Group) 772 Winston Churchill Blvd <br> Town of Oakville

a. As previously noted, CVC will provide the most up to date floodplain information to ensure that the appropriate water surface elevations are used for the specified cross sections (adjacent to the outfall) for all storm events.
12. The storm sewer headwall is located at the top of the slope associated with the Clearview Creek corridor. The headwall appears to be cutting into the top of the slope to allow for construction of the outlets. Please confirm whether there is an opportunity to extend the headwall to be prevent cutting within the top of slope.
13. Please confirm that the rip rap at the outfall is sized appropriately based on the velocities and shear stresses of the target flow rates.
14. Section 11.1 of the Functional Servicing and SWM Report references a SWM pond (presumably within the post-development condition) but this has not been shown anywhere else in the submission.
15. The proponent is to demonstrate how major overland flows within the site will be directed to the proposed onsite SWM facilities. With regards to the subsurface water quantity controls (Stormtech systems) proposed within the site, please demonstrate how flows will be directed to Clearview Creek in the event of clogged outlets and/or the storage capacity is exceeded. Please provide supporting analysis and additional details.
b. There is limited information and analysis within the report demonstrating overland flow routes and whether the provided routes can convey the 100year storm event to the proposed stormtech chamber without bypassing.
16. No instream work is permitted as part of the outfall construction.

Erosion and Sediment Control
17. Please note that all ESC controls measures within the proposed development are to adhere to design criteria established within the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction (2019), link here: https://cvc.ca/wpcontent/uploads/2020/03/rpt ESCGuideforUrbanConstruction f 2019.pdf
18. There are existing sediment basins that are being utilized for the Stage 1 ESC. Please demonstrate that these sediment basins meet the design criteria within the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction (2019). Provide additional design details including but not limited to the following:
a. Sediment forebays, turbidity curtains, emergency spillways, drainage areas, drawdown times.
b. Please provide supporting sizing analysis for the temporary sediment basins and sediment traps proposed onsite. Please confirm whether the temporary outlets meet the ESC Guidelines for Urban Construction criteria.

## August 3, 2021 <br> Re: CVC File No. SP 21/029 (Town File No. 1601.29/01 IBI Group) 772 Winston Churchill Blvd <br> Town of Oakville

c. Velocities calculations must be submitted to ensure that settling velocities are achieved.
d. Given the potential for soil contamination onsite, the temporary sediment basin is to be lined prior to accepting flows.
19. There is no discussion relating to the temporary outlet structures meeting the ESC criteria as established within the Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction (2019). Additional details area required.
20. Plan ESC-1 makes reference to a temporary by-pass pumping of creek flows as well as infiltration trenches under the ESC Staging Notes. There is no indication on the drawings or report that the pumping of creek flows or infiltration trenches are proposed. Please provide additional clarity and update as required.
a. If by-pass pumping is proposed, a detailed dewatering plan will be required.
21. Please include the following on the provided ESC plans for the following stages of the project:
a. ESC plans are to address potential dewatering requirements during construction of the Stormtech systems. A hydrogeologist should be involved with the dewatering strategy for the site and facilities.
b. ESC plans are to discuss how downstream water features are to be protected from sediment and sediment-laden runoff during active construction.
c. ESC plans for topsoil stripping, as well as pre and post servicing staging is required.

## Ecology

22. The subject property contains natural heritage features including a regulated watercourse. All development should be outside of this feature and set back a sufficient distance to afford the feature protection.
a. To protect the feature gateless fencing should be installed along the creek corridor limit to deter encroachment.
23. The functional Servicing Report indicates stormwater will be discharged to Clearview Creek through outfall infrastructure. It is unclear if environmental impacts to this area have been assessed. Please confirm and describe any anticipated impacts to the watercourse as a result of the proposal and ensure that impacts are mitigated.
24. There is a pond on the site which is not proposed for retention. This feature should be investigated for the presence of wetland, fish habitat, turtle habitat and amphibian habitat. A site visit with CVC staff can be arranged to help assess this feature. The following comments apply to minimize the impact of the work. These notes should be included on the site plan.

## August 3, 2021 <br> Re: CVC File No. SP 21/029 (Town File No. 1601.29/01 IBI Group) 772 Winston Churchill Blvd <br> Town of Oakville

b. Fish Capture and Wildlife Capture collection permits must be acquired from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry prior to any works.
c. A qualified professional with a valid collectors permit should be on site to complete the fish and wildlife rescue.
d. Ideally the works would occur between April 15 - September 30 of any given year. These works are not to be completed in the winter months.
25. The landscaping plans should be updated to ensure that, in regulated areas (i.e. adjacent to the creek corridor), only species listed in the CVC Plant Selection Guideline, link here: https://cvc, ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Plant-Selection-Guideline-FINAL-APRIL-24th-2018.Ddf are to be used. Several species proposed adjacent to the riparian area are not on this approved list. Please update the landscaping plans accordingly.
e. It is strongly recommended that Butternut be removed from the landscaping plans as this is a regulated Species at Risk, unless it is part of an approved compensation plan with the Ministry of Environment Conservation and Parks (MECP).
f. The soils on site may not be of adequate quality to support long term vegetation growth. Please review the CVC Heathy Soils Guideline, link here: https://cyc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/CVC-Healthy-Soils-Guidelines-NHS-Web-V 5 . pdf and implement the recommendations as necessary. Any soil management requirements are to be listed on the site plan.
26. According to the Arborist Report, trees will be removed as a result of this proposal. The trees on site have potential to provide habitat for breeding birds and bats. To avoid contravention of the Migratory Bird Convention Act and the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Act vegetation clearing should not occur from April 1 to October 31 of any given year. This timing window should be factored into project scheduling and be listed on the site plan.
27. Given that the works are proposed in or near water (e.g. SWM outfall, removal of open water feature/pond), it is the responsibility of the proponent to ensure that works, undertakings or activities do not cause the death of fish or cause the harmful alteration, disruption or destruction under the Fisheries Act. Please review the complete list of measures to avoid harm here: https://www.dfo-mpo.qc.ca/pnw-ppe/measures-mesures-enq.html and implement those that are applicable to the proposed work. If it is not possible to avoid or mitigate impacts, proponents can submit a request for review from their region's Fish and Fish Habitat Protection Program office (contact info: fisheriesprotection@dfo-mpo.gc.ca or 1-855-8528320). Please refer to the Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) website for additional information.

August 3, 2021
Re: CVC File No. SP 21/029 (Town File No. 1601.29/01 IBI Group) 772 Winston Churchill Blyd
Town of Oakville

## Conclusion:

We trust that these comments are sufficient. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 905-670-1615 (ext. 380) should you have any further questions or concerns.

Please circulate CVC any future correspondence regarding this application.

Sincerfly,
Planner, Planning \& Development Services
cc IBI Group c/o Ashely Minns
Attachments - CVC Flood Hazard Map Clearview Creek Watershed - Sheets 2 \& 3



## 18 Enbridge Gas Pipelines Inc. Eastern Region

Bradley Davis tel. 289-649-2030 ext. 5213017
2021-07-28 - Circulation 1
This site does not currently have access to gas. A gas main extension would be required to service this property with gas. I suggest the developer reach out to Enbridge to begin servicing discussions.

## 19 Hydro One Network, Real Estate Services

Dolly Shetty dolly.shetty@hydroone.com

## 2021-07-14 - Circulation 1

We are in receipt of Application 1601 029-01 dated July 5, 2021. We have reviewed the documents concerning the noted Plan and have no comments or concerns at this time. Our preliminary review considers issues affecting Hydro One's 'High Voltage Facilities and Corridor Lands' only.

For proposals affecting 'Low Voltage Distribution Facilities' please consult your local area Distribution Supplier.

To confirm if Hydro One is your local distributor please follow the following link: http://www.hydroone.com/StormCenter3/

## 20 Ministry of Transportation - Ontario

Mario Panza Mario.panza@ontario.ca

## 2021-07-05 - Circulation 1

This site is outside of MTO's permit control area therefore we have no comments

## 21 Metrolinx <br> Tony To tel. 416-202-0809

Date - Circlulation 1

Pending

## 22 Oakville Hydro, Engineering Dept

Dan Steele tel. 905-825-9400

## 2021-07-14 - Circulation 1

Three phase power is available from the distribution system located on: Winston Churchill Blvd. Space on the property is required to locate a pad-mounted transformer(s). An easement, registered in the name of "Oakville Hydro Electricity Distribution Inc.", is required for the transformer(s) and associated primary cable/duct bank. An electrical room with direct outside access and Stanley Canada Corporation locks is required per Oakville Hydro's "Conditions of Service". Please contact Oakville Hydro Engineering with service size, voltage requirement, and anticipated demand load as soon as information is available. At that time, a servicing cost will be prepared, to be borne by the applicant. Oakville Hydro will supply the transformer. Please note that transformer deliveries are approximately 16 weeks.

Any required pole relocations on Winston Churchill Blvd to be completed by Oakville Hydro at the expense of the owner/applicant.

## 23 Region of Halton, Planning \& Public Works Dept

Quadri Adebayo tel. 905-825-6000 ext. 3105

## 2021-08-19 - Circulation 1

Regional Planning staff has completed the review of the above-referenced Site Plan application received March 8, 2021 that proposes a permit the construction of two industrial warehouse buildings on the subject property with ancillary parking spaces, and two access points from Winston Churchill Boulevard.

In order to inform the Town of Oakville decision, Regional staff have considered this submission from a Provincial and Regional policy perspective, and offer the following comments.

## MATTERS OF PROVINCIAL \& REGIONAL PLANNING INTEREST

## Provincial Policy

The 2020 Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) and 2020 Growth Plan (GP) promote within settlement areas, development that will include commercial, industrial, and manufacturing uses that create employment and serve the operational function and economic viability of the planned uses within employment areas.

Once in receipt of revised site plan submission that satisfactorily addresses other technical comments/concerns identified in this letter, we would consider the application to be consistent with the PPS and conform to the GP, as it relates to the technical matters raised in the Region's comments.

## Regional Policy

The subject lands are designated as 'Urban Area' within the 2009 Regional Official Plan (ROP). The Urban Area policies of the ROP provide that the range of permitted uses and the creation of new lots within the Urban Area will be in accordance with Local Official Plans and Zoning By-laws.

The subject lands also carry an "Employment Area" overlay within the ROP. The related policies within the ROP contemplates a balance of employment uses within urban areas that include industrial and commercial uses to meet long-term needs.

## Land Use Compatibility (LUC)

According to the Part IV policies of the ROP (Healthy Communities Policies), the goal for environmental quality is to achieve a high-quality environment for the future generations that will maintain health and improve the quality of living. Section 143(10) of the ROP requires the Region to develop, in consultation with the Local Municipalities, the Province, Federal Government and railway agencies, Land Use Compatibility Guidelines to minimize the adverse effects of noise, vibration, odour, and air pollution from industrial, transportation and utility sources on sensitive land uses, including the application of separation distance between these non-compatible uses.

The Region has implemented LUC Guidelines to support the implementation of the ROP policies. The LUC Guideline as provided for under Section 192(5.1) of the ROP, can be accessed at https://www.halton.ca/Repository/Land-Use-Compatibility-Guidelines

The proposed development is in proximity to residential uses (sensitive land uses). Regional staff acknowledge receipt of the Preliminary Environmental Noise Report (by Jade Acoustics - June 25, 2021). The report primarily focused on analyzing sources of noise, receptors and noise mitigation measures (berms and acoustic fencing) using MECP guidelines for Class 1 Area exclusion limits for stationary and transportation noise sources (i.e. non-refrigerated truck activities, impulses associated with operations, and rooftop mechanical equipment).
The report also concludes that the Town/Region/MECP sound level limits are predicted to be met at the existing noise sensitive receptors with the incorporation of mitigation measure options (subject to future details about the building plans and tenants of the proposed development, including their mode of operations). Adding that further studies would be required when future details about the development become available.
In Regional staff opinion, the Site Plan application review stage is a development implementation stage where the finer-details of the built form is finalized. As such, a speculative location of rooftop mechanicals and other development installation will not suffice to assist staff to properly review the proposed
development. Also, the proposed development did not account for the MECP's industrial 'class' system (D-6 Guidelines) in its assessment, on the basis of potential area of influence, and minimum separation distance that applies; within the context of noise, vibration, odour, and air pollution effects.
Therefore, it is recommended that the context of the environment assessment be expanded in the form a LUC report, in order to provide better clarity about the likely impact of the proposed industrial warehouse development on the surrounding sensitive land uses, how the proposal is in accordance with the D-6 guidelines, and any potential mitigation measures.
This LUC report request is also consistent with the review-requirements for the adjacent lands at 560-580 Winston Churchill Boulevard. The Region will be able to determine if the policies of the ROP are met when we receive an LUC report.
Please Note: The Region may require a peer review of any report by an appropriate agency or professional consultant retained by the Region at the proponent's expense.

## Environmental Planning

A watercourse (Clearview Creek) that is within the Credit Valley Conservation (CVC) Authority's regulatory area traverses the subject lands. CVC staff provides environmental advisory services to the Region and Town in relation to the protection of certain natural heritage features and natural hazard land management.

Halton Region is in receipt of CVC review comments on August 3, 2021. Regional staff request that CVC comments and recommendations be considered and implemented with respect to any watercourse, floodplain, and/or natural hazard feature concerns, to CVC's satisfaction in a subsequent site plan application submission.

## Archaeological Resources

In accordance with Section 167(6) of the ROP, the subject lands has Archaeological potential. An Archeological Assessment was reviewed by the Region for the proposed development at 560-580 Winston Churchill Boulevard.
Therefore, for consistency, prior to any site alteration, an Archaeological Assessment must be undertaken for the subject lands in accordance with the Ontario Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism, and Culture Industries (MHSTCI) standards. A confirmation of acceptance and filing of reports at the MHSTCI will also be required.

## Potential Contamination

Section 147(17) of the Regional Official Plan requires the proponent of a development proposal to determine whether there is any potential contamination on the site they wish to develop, and if there is, to undertake the steps necessary to bring the site to a condition suitable for its intended use. The Region further expects that the proponent will follow the processes outlined in O. Reg. 153/04 in the preparation of supporting documentation.
Circulated with the subject application was an Environmental Site Screening Questionnaire (ESSQ), as well as a due diligence Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was prepared by EXP (April 22, 2020).

Regional staff have reviewed the material and note the report was done in accordance to CSA Z769-00. Given that further work is required to delineate contamination in soil and sediment on site, staff kindly request a Phase II ESA report in accordance with O.Reg 153/04 requirements and incorporating existing work be submitted prior to site alteration. The report should also be accompanied with the borehole logs of existing groundwater monitoring wells.
Summary:
Regional Staff has considered the proposed development from a Regional Official Plan perspective, and the Region is currently not in a position to provide a favourable recommendation and/or conditions of site plan approval at this time as the requirements of LUC report, a Phase II ESA, an archaeological assessment, and favourable CVC recommendation for the subject lands are still outstanding.

In addition, the following technical matters related to site servicing and transportation need to be regarded.

## OTHER MATTERS OF REGIONAL INTEREST

## Municipal Servicing Infrastructure

Section 89(3) of the ROP requires that all new developments within the Urban Area be on the basis of connection to Halton's municipal water and waste water system.

## Existing Servicing

- Road: The property abuts a Regional Road.
- Water main: There is no water main located adjacent to the property. Please note that the applicant should undertake their own fire flow testing in the area in order to confirm the design requirements for domestic water supply and fire protection.
- Sanitary Sewer: There is no sanitary sewer located adjacent to the property.

Prior to submission of this site plan application, the Owner had provided an updated Functional Servicing Report (FSR) - by A. M. Candaras Associates Inc. (August 31, 2020). This FSR was a comprehensive study that addressed the servicing at 772, 560/570/580 and 658 Winston Churchill Boulevard (WCB).

Regional staff has reviewed this report. The FSR indicated that the preferred servicing option to service this site is to construct a gravity sanitary sewer through the Town of Oakville's open space block to the west of the property (at Acacia Court), and to extend a new water main on Winston Churchill Boulevard (from Beryl Road to Deer Run Avenue). This is also the Region's preferred servicing option.

1. Servicing Installation through Town of Oakville Lands: The Owner will be responsible for the cost to arrange and transfer any access easements, license agreements, encroachment agreements and/or other arrangements required by the Town (e.g. construction, design and compensation works).
The Region's servicing conditions (to be provided once other matters are addressed) reflect the above-noted FSR servicing strategy. However, for the sanitary sewer servicing, the conditions are based on the assumption that a Regional easement can be obtained from the Town of Oakville over their open space block, etc. Should the Town not allow a Regional easement over these lands, then the proposed servicing of this site will have to be re-evaluated and another servicing alternative selected.
2. Servicing Option for 658 WCB (addressed as 568 WCB in the FSR): The Region originally had concerns about servicing the property located at 658 WCB, since the sanitary sewer servicing of the subject lands will be through the Town of Oakville's open space block, and the property at 658 WCB will not have access to the proposed sewer.

The FSR addressed this issue by recommending a private sanitary sewer force main to be extended from 658 WCB through the property at 560 WCB. This private force main would be located in a private easement on the subject lands and the necessary mutual servicing agreement for this would also have to be in place to ensure the force main is accessible for maintenance purposes by the owners at 658 WCB. The Region accepts the proposed method to service the property at 658 WCB.
3. Static Water Pressure: The FSR notes that the proposed static water pressures in this area are estimated to be at 44 psi. This proposed static pressure value is within the Regional standards, but it is on the lower end of the pressure range.

This is a concern since the Region will require that backflow prevention devices to be installed in the proposed buildings within this development which can result in a pressure reduction at the building. This, along with other friction losses in the water system for this development, could result in the water pressure falling below what is allowed by the Building Code in the proposed buildings on this site. Due to this, there may be a need for a private pressure boosting pumps to be installed in the buildings as part of the plumbing system for this development.
4. Extension of Water main on WCB: The extension of the water main on Winston Churchill Boulevard will require crossing under the existing Canadian National Railway line located north of the site.

The Owner shall be responsible for the design, construction, coordination, approval from Canadian National Railway and funding of all works required to work in the vicinity of the Canadian National Railway's lands and track system.
5. Storm Water Management: The review and approval of any storm water management report (SWM) should be collaboratively submitted through Halton's Development Project Manager \& Peel Region respectively. Please note that both Halton Region and Peel Region will require pre and post development storm water flows from the site to the existing drainage system on Winston Churchill Boulevard (Regional Road 19) to be maintained both during and after construction, such that there are no adverse impacts to the existing drainage system on Winston Churchill Boulevard (Regional Road 19).

## Summary:

In summary, we will be able to recommend Regional conditions related to municipal servicing once other technical requirements associated with the subject lands have been satisfactorily addressed.

## Regional Transportation

Winston Churchill Boulevard is a boundary road between Halton and Peel Regions, and is operated and maintained by Peel Region. Peel Region's review and approval will also be required for any development application (including but not limited to: transportation study, access, right-of-way, etc.). As per the

Halton/Peel maintenance agreement, Halton Region's comments are provided for the consideration of Peel Region.
Based Section 173(8) of the ROP, the following Region of Halton staff offer the following transportation planning review comments:

Traffic Impact Study:
A Transportation Impact Study (TIS) prepared by IBI Group (April 2021) was reviewed by Regional staff. The TIS must be updated to include the following:

- Redistributed site trips based on the North Access comments (restricted to right-in/right-out), IF this access is supported by the Region of Peel;
- A function design plan with preliminary road improvements and design features must be provided prior to the approval of the transportation study (and with the study resubmission). This is required in order to ensure the feasibility of the required road improvements. This includes the traffic signal design, recommended northbound left turn and southbound right-turn lane (storage plus tapers) at the South Access, as well as a southbound right-turn lane (storage plus taper) at the North access (including access restriction measures); and
- A comprehensive holistic review of the entire corridor regarding access, from the railway tracks to the north, to Deer Run to the south and including all accesses proposed for 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard. This corridor review must also include all existing accesses on both sides of Winston Churchill Boulevard.


## Access:

The TIS outlined the following:
(i.) That the Proposed North Site Access is to intersect Winston Churchill Boulevard at approximately 180 metres south from the north property line. This full-movement, un-signalized access is proposed to consist of one lane per direction."
(ii.) That the Proposed South Site Access is to intersect Winston Churchill Boulevard at approximately 56 metres north from the south property line and is approximately 180 metres south of the Proposed North Site Access. The intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the Proposed South Site Access is proposed to be signalized and located opposite of Orr Road, located east of the development site, as described in further detail in Section 3.1.4.
Based on the above road access information, Regional staff offer the following comments:
(i.) The North access is shown in the transportation study as a full movement access. Halton Region cannot support this proposed access as a full movement access due to inadequate spacing from the full movement signalized intersection.

- The North access, with spacing of approximately 185-metres north of the South access, can be supported as a right-in/right-out access. There will be the requirement for a physical restriction (example: centre median, final approval by Region of Peel) and turn restriction signage for
entering \& exiting vehicles. Peel Region must also support the North access as a restricted rightin/ right-out access.
- The North access (restricted to right-in/right-out movements), will require a northbound right-turn lane (to remove turning vehicles/trucks from the single northbound through lane on Winston Churchill Boulevard).
(ii.) The South access will be a full movement signalized intersection with left and right-turn lanes. Due to the existing features on Winston Churchill Boulevard, such as the hydro poles/lines along both sides and the guardrail in the area of the south access (full movement, signalized), the developer will be required to design the accesses to the site based on acceptable infrastructure relocations.
(iii.) Final access/intersection approvals are subject to the review and approval of a transportation impact study. Access to a Regional road must comply with the Region's By-law No. 32-17, a By-law to prohibit, restrict and regulate access to the Regional road system and the Region's Access Management Guideline (2015). Peel Region must also support and approve the accesses to the site, specifically the north access.
(iv.)A functional design plan for the North and South accesses, with preliminary road improvements and design features, must be provided prior to the approval of the transportation study. This is required in order to ensure the feasibility of the required road improvements. Additionally, the requirement for a comprehensive holistic review of the entire corridor regarding access, from the railway tracks to the north to Deer Run to the south and including all accesses proposed for 560 Winston Churchill Boulevard. This corridor review must also include all existing accesses on both sides of Winston Churchill Boulevard.

Please note: Peel Region shall review and confirm the above-noted access requirements as part of their review.

Right-of-Way (ROW):
The Owner should note the following technical items prior to receiving conditions of site plan approval thus:

- Any lands within 20.75-metres of the centre line of the original 66ft right-of-way of Winston Churchill Boulevard (Regional Road 19) starting at the daylight triangle (at Future Orr Road) and tapering to 18-metres for a distance of 245-metres northerly (measured from the centreline) that are part of the subject property shall be dedicated to the Region of Peel for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.
- For the remaining frontage, any lands within 18 -metres of the centre line of the original 66 ft right-ofway of Winston Churchill Boulevard (Regional Road 19) that are part of the subject property shall be dedicated to the Region of Peel for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.
- A daylight triangle measuring 15m along Winston Churchill Boulevard (Regional Road 19) and 15m along the development south access (northwest and southwest corners) shall be dedicated to the Region of Peel for the purpose of road right-of-way widening and future road improvements.
- A 0.3 m reserve is required across the entire frontage of the development property along Winston Churchill Boulevard (Regional Road 19), including the daylight triangle but excluding the approved entrance location, to the satisfaction of Peel Region.
- All lands to be dedicated to Peel Region shall be dedicated with clear title (free and clear of encumbrances or potential contamination) and a Certificate of title shall be provided, in a form satisfactory to the Director of Legal Services or his designate.

Please note: The applicant is to provide a survey sketch confirming the widening requirement is reflected on the site plan in accordance with the above.

## Servicing Agreement

- The Owner must enter into a Servicing Agreement (with Peel Region) for the completion of required Works (road improvements) and all associated development construction processes and impacts. Road improvements will be determined after the review and approval of the final transportation impact study.
- The owner is responsible for all costs associated with the improvements detailed as part of the works and must submit for approval detail design drawings and cost estimates.
- The detailed design drawings are required for review and approval, by Halton Region and Peel Region, for all proposed/approved intersection/access road improvements, based on the approved Transportation Impact Study.


## Setbacks \& Zero Lot Lines

With respect to the development frontages, we request that setbacks be implemented/maintained from roadway right-of-way limits (i.e. Winston Churchill Blvd) based on Town of Oakville requirements.

Additionally, the applicant will be required to demonstrate that construction of any underground/above ground development infrastructure (underground parking, SWM tanks, buildings, etc.,) will not impact or encroach upon the Regional right of way (i.e.: temporary or permanent infrastructure including structural tiebacks will not be permitted within the Regional Right of Way).

The location of development infrastructure (including but not limited to - underground/above ground parking structure, building, SWM storage tank, etc.,) 0.0 -metres from the property line would limit or potentially preclude the Region's ability to locate infrastructure, such as utilities, AND will limit any future Regional Capital Project's grading flexibility, within the Regional right-of-way in close proximity to the right-of-way limit, i.e. within the zone of influence.

Please note: Transportation Planning does not support setbacks of 0.0-metres from property line.

## Construction Activities

- For construction methods - shoring/tiebacks, open excavation, etc., a detailed submission of construction methods is required for internal review by various Regional groups prior to proceeding with plans for construction through the Servicing Agreement/detailed design drawings.
- For construction - crane swings, if it is determined that the construction crane swing will impact the Region's right-of-way, the applicant must enter into the Encroachment Agreement and/or
submit a Municipal Consent application, with Engineering Drawings, for review and approval, which would go through Halton's internal review process to various staff \& departments. There will be specific requirements for the Agreement/Municipal Consent, including fees, security deposit, etc., (to be determined by staff as part of the review process), and a due date for completion.
- For construction - access, construction access, traffic management plan and any proposed use of the Regional right-of-way, is subject to review and approval from Halton Region.


## Summary:

Regional conditions related to Transportation Planning matters on this site plan will be provided after the Owner provides a revised TIS, a revised site plan and an updated survey sketch reflective of Transportation comments herein.

## Waste Management

Based on the Region's Development Design Guidelines for Source Separation of Solid Waste, the Region will not provide the site waste collection services. The Owner must be on private waste collection.

## Finance

1. The Owner will be required to pay all applicable Regional development charges in accordance with the Region of Halton Development Charge By-law(s), as amended.
2. To obtain the most current information which is subject to change, please visit our website at https://www.halton.ca/The-Region/Finance-and-Transparency/Financing-Growth/Development-Charges-Front-ending-Recovery-Payment

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Regional Planning staff is unable to provide conditional site plan approval at this time. We will be in a position to provide our conditions in relation to this site plan application once:

- A satisfactory Land Use Compatibility report has been provided.
- An updated TIS, a revised survey sketch, and site plan are provided to the satisfaction of Transportation Planning, confirming the widening and access requirements of the Region.
- A satisfactory Archaeological Assessment has been provided.
- Supportive comments have been received from the Credit Valley Conservation.

Note: The applicant is advised that some of the comments and provided in this letter may impact the layout and other design elements of the plan.

Should you have any questions or concerns about the above comments, please contact me at (905) 8256000 ext. 3105 or Quadri.Adebayo@halton.ca. Please send a copy of the Town's decision on this application.

## 24 Region of Peel, Development Services

Diana Guida tel. diana.guida@peelregion.ca

July 28, 2021
Leigh Musson
Planning Services
Town of Oakville
1225 Trafalgar Road
Oakville, ON
L6H OH3

RE: Site Plan Application
772 Winston Churchill Bouvard
Town of Oakville
City File: 1601.029/01
Region File: SP-1601.029/01
Dear L. Musson,

Region of Peel staff have reviewed the above-noted site plan application and offer the following comments below.

## Development Servicing and Engineering

## Water Servicing \& Sanitary Sewer Servicing

- Please be advised that connection to Region of Peel infrastructure is not permitted.


## Regional Roads \& Storm Water Requirements

- The Region of Peel has an Environmental Compliance Approval (9582-B9TRLW) for the Regional Municipality of Peel Stormwater Management System. Therefore, it is the Region's mandate that no additional flows are permitted and no new connections are made to Regional Roads.
- Development flows are to be directed to the Local Municipality's storm sewer system or watercourses, to the satisfaction of the Region of Peel, the local Conservation Authority and all concerned departments and agencies. Alternatively, flows can be mitigated using Low Impact Development Technologies, Developers are required to demonstrate how this will be achieved through a Stormwater Management Report.
- Prior to Site Plan Approval, the Region will require a satisfactory Stormwater Management Report.
- We have received the SWMR dated 2021-04-09 and prepared by AM

Candaras/A.M Candaras P.Eng. The Report will be assigned and comments will be provided to the Engineering Consultant.

- Please refer to the Region's Storm Water Management Report Criteria within the Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report document found online.
- Prior to Site Plan Approval, the non-refundable Report Fee of $\$ 515$ is required as per the current Fees By-law 6-2021 (https://www.peelregion.ca/council/bylaws/bl-62021/). Due to the current circumstances, the Region of Peel is now taking payment


## Public Works

10 Peel Centre Dr.
Suite B
Bramplon, ON
L6T 4B9
tel: 905-791-7800
peelregion.ca
in the form of Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT). Please contact Iwona Frandsen at iwona.frandsen@peelregion.ca for EFT setup instructions.

- Prior to Site Plan Approval, a copy of the draft reference plan satisfactory to Traffic and Legal will be required.


## General Servicing Comments

- All our design criteria, standards, specifications, procedures and report and submission requirements are found online at https://www.peelregion.ca/public-works/design-standards/\#procedures
- If you have questions regarding the Site Servicing Application Submission Requirements, please contact Servicing Connections at siteplanservicing@peelregion.ca


## Traffic Engineering

## Access/Study Requirements

- A Traffic Impact Study (TIS) will be required; terms of reference has been received and found to be satisfactory.
- The Region is in support of the central right-in/right-out access. The access will need to be physically restricted by a centre median, finer details will be dealt with through next submissions and the Engineering Submission.
- The right-in/right-out access will need to be equipped with a right turn lane. The Region requests that a functional design be included as part of the next submission which addresses auxiliary turn lane requirements and geometrics for all accesses proposed off of Winston Churchill Boulevard, including all dimensions.
- The Region is in support of the southerly full moves access across from the future Orr Road, the Owner's consultant is to work with the developer across the road and the City to ensure the proper alignment of the access. Finer details will be dealt with through the next submissions and the Engineering Submission.


## Property Requirements

- The Region requests the gratuitous dedication of lands to meet the Regional Official Plan requirement for Regional Road 19 (Winston Churchill Boulevard) which has a right of way of 41.5 metres, 20.75 metres from the centreline of the road allowance, within 245 metres of intersections to protect for the provision of but not limited to: utilities, sidewalks, multiuse pathways and transit bay/shelters.
- The Region will require the gratuitous dedication of a $15 \times 15$ metre daylight triangle at the intersection of Winston Churchill Boulevard and the future Orr Road, on either side of the access.
- The Region will require the gratuitous dedication of a 0.3 metre reserve along the frontage Regional Road 19 (Winston Churchill Boulevard) behind the property line and daylight triangles except at any approved access points.
* The applicant is required to gratuitously dedicate these lands to the Region, free and clear of all encumbrances. All costs associated with the transfer are the responsibility of the applicant. The applicant must provide the Region with the necessary title documents and reference plan(s) to confirm the Regions right-ofway.
- A draft reference plan will be required for our review and approval prior to the plans being deposited. All costs associated with preparation of plans and the transfer of the lands will be solely at the expense of the applicant.


## Landscaping/Encroachments

- Landscaping, signs, fences, cranes, gateway features or any other encroachments are not permitted within the Region's easements and/or Right of Way limits.


## Engineering Requirements

- A detailed engineering submission of road and access works will be required for our review and comment, designed, stamped and signed by a Licensed Ontario Professional Engineer. The engineering submission MUST include the removals, new construction and grading, typical sections and pavement markings and signing drawings. All works within Region of Peel's right of way must be designed in accordance to the Public Works, "Design Criteria and Development Procedures Manual" and "Material Specifications and Standard Drawings Manual".
- The Owner shall submit to the Region a detailed cost estimate, stamped and signed by a Licensed Ontario Professional Engineer, of the proposed road and access works within the Regional right of way.
- Securities shall be submitted in the form of either a letter of credit or certified cheque, in the amount of $100 \%$ of the approved estimated cost of road and access works along Regional Road 19 (Winston Churchill Boulevard).
- A 8.91\% engineering and inspection fee shall be paid to the Region based on the approved estimated cost of road and access works (minimum $\$ 1,724.41$ ).
- The Owner will be required to submit the following prior to commencement of works within the Region's right-of-way:
- Completed Road Occupancy Permit and a permit fee as per the Region's user fees and charges By-law;
- Completed Notice to Commence Work;
- Provide proof of insurance with the Region of Peel added to the certificate_as an additional insured with $\$ 5$ million minimum from the Contractor;
- Please note that any proposed construction within the Region of Peel's right of way is pending PUCC approval (minimum six week process). Please note that PUCC circulation requirements have recently changed. We require PDF version of the full drawing set it is to be sent via email, and cannot exceed 10MB per email.
- All costs associated with the design and construction of road and access works will be $100 \%$ paid by the Owner.


## Waste Management

- This property is within the vicinity of St. Lawrence Cement landfill site. It is an inactive, private landfill located between Winston Churchill and Southdown Rd, at Lakeshore Blvd. The exact boundaries are unknown. No further information is available.
- Waste collection will be required through a private waste hauler.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the undersigned at diana.guida@peelregion.ca

Yours truly,
Diana Guida
Junior Planner
Development Services

## 25 Rogers

Monica LaPointe tel. 416-913-0693
2021-07-05 - Circulation 1

## - ROGERS

July 5, 2021

Town of Oakville
Planning Department

| Attertion: | Leigh Musson |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| APPLICATION NO | 1601.029/01 IBI Group - |
| APPLICATION TYPE | Site PPan Application |
| ADDRESS | 772 Winston Churchil Boulevard |
|  |  |
| GENERAL LOCATION | Wirston Churchill and Canadian Rail Campany |

DESCRIPTION Two industrial/warehouse buildings

Rogers Reference Number M213023

Rogers Communications ("Rogers") has reviewed the application for the above Site Plan and has determined that it intends to provide cable and telecommunications services. Accordingly, we request that municipal approval be granted subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to Site Plan approval, the Developer/Owner wil, at its own cost, grant all necessary easements and maintenance agreements required by those CRTC-licensed telephone companies and broadcasting diatribution companies intending to serve the site Pian (collectively, the "Communications Service Providers"). Immedately following registration of the Site Plan, the Developer/Diwner will cause these documents to be registered on title.
2. Prior to Site Fian approval, the Developer/Owner wil, with consultation with the applicable utities and Communications Service Providers, prepare an overall utility distribution plan that shows the locations of all utility infrastructure for the site Plan, as woll as the timing and phasing of installation.

In addtion, we kindly request to, where possible, recelve copies of the following documents:
(1) the comments received from any of the Communications Service Providers during circulation;
(2) the proposed conditions of draft approval as propared by municipal planners prior to their consideration by Councli or any of its committees; and
(3) the planners' report recommending draft approval before it goes to Councl or any of its committees.

Should you require further information or have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at gtaw.newarea@rcirogors.com.

## Yours truly

Wenka Lapointe

## Monica LaPpinte

Coordinator
gtam.newareallnci.rogers com
Rogers Communications, Wireline Access Network
3573 Wolfedale Rd, Mississauga Ontario

## Resubmission Chart:

Please fill out this chart when preparing a resubmission and submit in WORD format.

| Drawings: | Drawing \#/Doc \#: | Rev. \# \& Date: |  | Consultant: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Reports and Studies: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Documents: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Site Plan Circulation Review Transportation Comments

| Date: | 2021-07-23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Leigh Musson |
| From: | Asad Yousfani/Aquisha Khan |
| File \#: | $1601.029 / 01$ |
| Address: | 772 Winston Churchill Boulevard |
| Circulation Number | 1 |

## Sustainable Transportation

1. [Circ. 1] Can the applicant confirm these pedestrian connections will connect to the future pedestrian facility within the Region of Peel's road widening please?


This one below at the driveway should also take the future signal into consideration.


## Oakville Transit

1. [Circ. 1] Oakville Transit provides door-to-door specialized transit service called care-A-van for persons with disabilities. Service is provided by low-floor, fully accessible 26 ft buses supplemented in partnership with local taxi providers. Drivers will leave the vehicle and escort the customer to the first accessible public entrance. The vehicle will occupy part of the drive aisle for the duration of loading, unloading and securing mobility devices onboard.
2. [Circ. 1] Conventional transit service on Winston Churchill Boulevard is provided rush hour only by Miway (Mississauga Transit). All day conventional transit service to and from the Clarkson GO station provided by Oakville Transit and Miway is available at the intersection of Royal Windsor Drive and Winston Churchill Boulevard, approximately 600 m from the site.

## Transportation

[Circ. 1] Refer to the TIS - section 3.1.3 Background growth developments.

1. In addition to 560 WCB, the report needs to consider other developments for traffic analysis purposes. The link below has all of the Development Applications:

## https://eos.oakville.ca/mobileOakville/index.html?viewer=EOS Planning and Development.htmPlanDev

Other developments such as 2175 Cornwall and 2395 Cornwall road need to be considered. The traffic generated from these developments will be heading eastward or Cornwall, making a left on WCB and heading north. Therefore, the analyses at major intersection(s) need to be documented.

Aquisha Khan, P. Eng.
Transportation Engineer, Engineering \& Construction aquisha.khan@oakville.ca
(905) 845-6601

## Appendix B

## Signal Timing Plans



| REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF PEEL Traffic Signal Timing Parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Database Date |  | September 15, 2020 | Prepared Date |  |  |  | September 15, 2020 |  |  |
| Database Rev |  | iNet | Completed By |  |  |  | BL |  |  |
| Timing Card / Field rev |  | - | Checked By |  |  |  | TF |  |  |
| Location | Winston Churchill Boulevard @ Beryl Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase <br> \# | Street Name - Direction | Vehicle Minimum (s) | Pedestrian Minimum (s) |  | Amber (s) | All Red <br> (s) | TIME PERIOD (s) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | AM |  | OFF | PM |
|  |  |  | WALK | FDWALK |  |  | SPLITS | SPLITS | SPLITS |
| 1 | Not in use | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Winston Churchill Boulevard - SB | 12 | 7 | 11 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 3 | Not in use | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Computer Phase | 8 | 7 | 12 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 5 | Not in use | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | Winston Churchill Boulevard - NB | 12 | 7 | 11 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 31 | 31 | 31 |
| 7 | Not in use | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Beryl Road - EB | 8 | 7 | 12 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| System Control Yes |  |  |  | TIME (M-F) |  | PEAK | CYCLE LENGTH (s) |  | OFFSET (s) |
|  |  |  |  | 06:00-09:30 |  | AM | 60 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09:30-15:00 } \\ & \text { 19:30-03:00 } \end{aligned}$ |  | OFF | 60 |  | 13 |
| Semi-Actuated Mode Yes |  |  |  | 15:00-19:30 |  | PM | 60 |  | 35 |



## Appendix C

## Turning Movement Counts

Turning Movement Count (3. WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD \& ROYAL WINDSOR DR) CustID: 01902061 MioID: 380805


| Heavy | 80 | 104 | 39 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heavy \% | $4.1 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Bicycles | - | - | - | - |
| Bicycle \% | - | - | - | - |

$\begin{array}{cccccccc}21 & 296 & 117 & 0 & - & 88 & 85 & 44 \\ 54 \% & 75 \% & 5.1 \% & 0 \% & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rr}37 & 303 \\ 39 \% & 76 \%\end{array}$

106
$50 \%$

| Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM Weather: Overcast ( $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Southbound <br> WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  |  | EastboundROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nt. Total } \\ & (15 \mathrm{~min}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thu | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 08:00:00 | 91 | 38 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 10 | 139 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 3 | 29 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 31 | 196 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 655 |
| 08:15:00 | 154 | 77 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 291 | 6 | 116 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 5 | 35 | 28 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{68}$ | 35 | 170 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 226 | 741 |
| 08:30:00 | 80 | 68 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 7 | 122 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 8 | 31 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 35 | 155 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 658 |
| 08:45:00 | 96 | 56 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 12 | 92 | 42 | 1 | 2 | 147 | 8 | 35 | ${ }^{23}$ | 0 | 1 | 66 | 25 | 167 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 615 |
| Grand Total | 421 | 239 | 192 | 0 | 1 | 852 | 35 | 469 | 161 | 1 | 2 | 666 | 24 | 130 | 101 | 0 | 1 | 255 | 126 | 688 | 82 | 0 | 1 | 896 | 2669 |
| Approach\% | 49.4\% | 28.1\% | 22.5\% | 0\% |  | - | 5.3\% | 70.4\% | 24.2\% | 0.2\% |  | - | 9.4\% | 51\% | 39.6\% | 0\% |  | - | 14.1\% | 76.8\% | 9.2\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 15.8\% | 9\% | 7.2\% | 0\% |  | 31.9\% | 1.3\% | 17.6\% | 6\% | 0\% |  | 25\% | 0.9\% | 4.9\% | 3.8\% | 0\% |  | 9.6\% | 4.7\% | 25.8\% | 3.1\% | 0\% |  | 33.6\% | - |
| PHF | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.8 | 0 |  | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.25 |  | 0.89 | 0.75 | 0.93 | 0.9 | 0 |  | 0.94 | 0.9 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0 |  | 0.91 | - |
| Heavy | 14 | 18 | 8 | 0 |  | 40 | 2 | ${ }_{3}^{-7}$ | 14 | 0 |  | 49 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 0 |  | 20 | 6 | ${ }_{3}^{-1}$ | 9 | 0 |  | 48 | - |
| Heavy \% | 3.3\% | 7.5\% | 4.2\% | 0\% |  | 4.7\% | 5.7\% | 7\% | 8.7\% | 0\% |  | 7.4\% | 33.3\% | 6.2\% | 4\% | 0\% |  | 7.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.8\% | 11\% | 0\% |  | 5.4\% | - |
| Lights | 407 | ${ }^{-721}$ | 184 | ${ }_{0}$ |  | 812 | ${ }^{-7}$ | -736 | -147 | ${ }_{1}$ |  | 617 | 16 | 122 | 97 | 0 |  | ${ }_{235}$ | ${ }^{-72}$ | ${ }_{655}$ | ${ }_{73}$ | 0 |  | 848 | , |
| Lights \% | 96.7\% | 92.5\% | 95.8\% | 0\% |  | 95.3\% | 94.3\% | 93\% | 91.3\% | 100\% |  | 92.6\% | 66.7\% | 93.8\% | 96\% | 0\% |  | 92.2\% | 95.2\% | 95.2\% | 89\% | 0\% |  | 94.6\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 8 | 7 | 6 | 0 |  | 21 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 0 |  | 19 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 0 |  | 9 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 |  | 16 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 1.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.1\% | 0\% |  | 2.5\% | 5.7\% | 2.1\% | 4.3\% | 0\% |  | 2.9\% | 8.3\% | 3.1\% | 3\% | 0\% |  | 3.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 6.1\% | 0\% |  | 1.8\% | - |
| Buses | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 9 | - |
| Buses \% | 1\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0\% |  | 0.7\% | 0\% | 1.3\% | 3.1\% | 0\% |  | 1.7\% | 0\% | 1.5\% | 1\% | 0\% |  | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 |  | 13 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 |  | 19 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 8 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 0 |  | 23 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% | 0.5\% | 0\% |  | 1.5\% | 0\% | 3.6\% | 1.2\% | 0\% |  | 2.9\% | 25\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 3.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 4.9\% | 0\% |  | 2.6\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 40\% |  | - | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 20\% |  | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | 20\% |  | $\cdot$ |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk |  | - | - | - | 0 | * | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | - | $\cdot$ | 0 |  | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |



| Start Time | Peak Hour: 05:00 PM - 06:00 PM Weather: Snow (-3 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. Total } \\ & (15 \mathrm{~min}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | Right | u-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | Right | u-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 17:00:00 | 49 | 58 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 17 | 164 | 134 | 0 | 1 | 315 | 48 | 78 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 39 | 129 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 785 |
| 17:15:00 | 48 | 42 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 20 | 196 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 25 | 59 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 43 | 163 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 761 |
| 17:30:00 | 54 | 31 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 23 | 216 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 15 | 53 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 36 | 121 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 778 |
| 17:45:00 | 62 | 24 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 117 | 14 | 170 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 313 | 18 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 66 | 40 | 120 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 659 |
| Grand Total | 213 | 155 | 130 | 0 | 1 | 498 | 74 | 746 | 546 | 0 | 2 | 1366 | 106 | 230 | 55 | 0 | 2 | 391 | 158 | 533 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 728 | 2983 |
| Approach\% | 42.8\% | 31.1\% | 26.1\% | 0\% |  | - | 5.4\% | 54.6\% | 40\% | 0\% |  |  | 27.1\% | 58.8\% | 14.1\% | 0\% |  | - | 21.7\% | 73.2\% | 5.1\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 7.1\% | 5.2\% | 4.4\% | 0\% |  | 16.7\% | 2.5\% | 25\% | 18.3\% | 0\% |  | 45.8\% | 3.6\% | 7.7\% | 1.8\% | 0\% |  | 13.1\% | 5.3\% | 17.9\% | 1.2\% | 0\% |  | 24.4\% | - |
| PHF | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0 |  | 0.82 | 0.8 | 0.86 | 0.77 | 0 |  | 0.82 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0 |  | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0 |  | 0.83 | - |
| Heavy | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  | 11 | 3 | 19 | 12 | 0 |  | 34 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 11 | 1 | ${ }^{23}$ | 14 | 0 |  | 38 | - |
| Heavy \% | 3.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.8\% | 0\% |  | 2.2\% | 4.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 0\% |  | 2.5\% | 5.7\% | 1.3\% | 3.6\% | 0\% |  | 2.8\% | 0.6\% | 4.3\% | 37.8\% | 0\% |  | 5.2\% | $\cdot$ |
| Lights | 206 | 152 | 129 | 0 |  | 487 | 71 | 727 | 534 | 0 |  | 1332 | 100 | 227 | 53 | 0 |  | 380 | 157 | 510 | 23 | 0 |  | 690 | $\cdot$ |
| Lights \% | 96.7\% | 98.1\% | 99.2\% | 0\% |  | 97.8\% | 95.9\% | 97.5\% | 97.\% | 0\% |  | 97.5\% | 94.3\% | 98.7\% | 96.4\% | 0\% |  | 97.2\% | 99.4\% | 95.7\% | 62.2\% | 0\% |  | 94.8\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 |  | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 |  | 10 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0\% |  | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1.3\% | 0\% | 1.1\% | 10.8\% | 0\% |  | 1.4\% | - |
| Buses | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | $\cdot$ |
| Buses \% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0\% |  | 0.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3.6\% | 0\% |  | 0.5\% | 0\% | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.8\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 0 |  | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 0 |  | 22 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 2.7\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 3.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 0.6\% | 2.1\% | 27\% | 0\% |  | 3\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | . | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | $\cdot$ |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  |  | - | - | - | 40\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | $\cdot$ |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |

Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM
Weather: Overcast ( $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )


Peak Hour: 12:00 PM - 01:00 PM Weather: Light Snow Showers (-3 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$


Peak Hour: 05:00 PM - 06:00 PM
Weather: Snow (-3 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$


## Turning Movement Count (2.WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD \& BERYL RD) CustID: 01901714 MioID: 380803

| Start Time | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Northbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Eastbound BERYL RD |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 07:00:00 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 87 |
| 07:15:00 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 94 |
| 07:30:00 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 131 |
| 07:45:00 | 57 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 135 |
| Hourly | 175 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 10 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 46 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 447 |
| 08:00:00 | 52 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 140 |
| 08:15:00 | 76 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 3 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 168 |
| 08:30:00 | 73 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 185 |
| 08:45:00 | 52 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 146 |
| Hourly | 253 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 12 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 74 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 639 |
| ***BREAK*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00:00 | 18 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 84 |
| 11:15:00 | 21 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 88 |
| 11:30:00 | 29 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 105 |
| 11:45:00 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 7 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 104 |
| Hourly | 92 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 21 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 64 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 381 |
| 12:00:00 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 109 |
| 12:15:00 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 98 |
| 12:30:00 | 32 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 114 |
| 12:45:00 | 44 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 121 |
| Hourly | 126 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 23 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 58 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 442 |
| 13:00:00 | 38 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 5 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 120 |
| 13:15:00 | 25 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 97 |
| 13:30:00 | 35 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 93 |
| 13:45:00 | 31 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 6 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 103 |
| Hourly | 129 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 21 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 413 |
| ***BREAK*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00:00 | 35 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 13 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 146 |
| 15:15:00 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 138 |
| 15:30:00 | 42 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 11 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 144 |
| 15:45:00 | 38 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 10 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 167 |
| Hourly | 153 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 41 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 80 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 104 | 595 |
| 16:00:00 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 9 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 146 |
| 16:15:00 | 46 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 163 |


| 16:30:00 | 52 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 10 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 186 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:45:00 | 52 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 7 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 200 |
| Hourly | 187 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 287 | 30 | 271 | 0 | 1 | 301 | 89 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 695 |
| 17:00:00 | 55 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 11 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 199 |
| 17:15:00 | 52 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 188 |
| 17:30:00 | 44 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 66 | 8 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 154 |
| 17:45:00 | 27 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 5 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 106 |
| Hourly | 178 | 82 | 0 | 1 | 260 | 32 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 94 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 647 |
| Grand Total | 1293 | 558 | 0 | 2 | 1851 | 190 | 1410 | 0 | 1 | 1600 | 568 | 239 | 1 | 0 | 808 | 4259 |
| Approach\% | 69.9\% | 30.1\% | 0\% |  | - | 11.9\% | 88.1\% | 0\% |  | - | 70.3\% | 29.6\% | 0.1\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 30.4\% | 13.1\% | 0\% |  | 43.5\% | 4.5\% | 33.1\% | 0\% |  | 37.6\% | 13.3\% | 5.6\% | 0\% |  | 19\% | - |
| Heavy | 135 | 67 | 0 |  | - | 39 | 127 | 0 |  | - | 64 | 36 | 0 |  | - | - |
| Heavy \% | 10.4\% | 12\% | 0\% |  | - | 20.5\% | 9\% | 0\% |  | - | 11.3\% | 15.1\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Bicycles | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Bicycle \% | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |

## Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM Weather: Overcast $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

| Start Time | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Northbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Eastbound BERYL RD |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 08:00:00 | 52 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 140 |
| 08:15:00 | 76 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 3 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 168 |
| 08:30:00 | 73 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 185 |
| 08:45:00 | 52 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 146 |
| Grand Total | 253 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 12 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 74 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 639 |
| Approach\% | 77.8\% | 22.2\% | 0\% |  | - | 6.7\% | 93.3\% | 0\% |  | - | 54.8\% | 45.2\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 39.6\% | 11.3\% | 0\% |  | 50.9\% | 1.9\% | 26.1\% | 0\% |  | 28\% | 11.6\% | 9.5\% | 0\% |  | 21.1\% | - |
| PHF | 0.83 | 0.62 | 0 |  | 0.8 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 0 |  | 0.95 | 0.77 | 0.9 | 0 |  | 0.82 | - |
| Heavy | 17 | 8 | 0 |  | 25 | 5 | 15 | 0 |  | 20 | 5 | 10 | 0 |  | 15 | -- |
| Heavy \% | 6.7\% | 11.1\% | 0\% |  | 7.7\% | 41.7\% | 9\% | 0\% |  | 11.2\% | 6.8\% | 16.4\% | 0\% |  | 11.1\% | - |
| Lights | 236 | 64 | 0 |  | 300 | 7 | 152 | 0 |  | 159 | 69 | 51 | 0 |  | 120 | - |
| Lights \% | 93.3\% | 88.9\% | 0\% |  | 92.3\% | 58.3\% | 91\% | 0\% |  | 88.8\% | 93.2\% | 83.6\% | 0\% |  | 88.9\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 13 | 7 | 0 |  | 20 | 4 | 3 | 0 |  | 7 | 1 | 9 | 0 |  | 10 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 5.1\% | 9.7\% | 0\% |  | 6.2\% | 33.3\% | 1.8\% | 0\% |  | 3.9\% | 1.4\% | 14.8\% | 0\% |  | 7.4\% | - |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | 3 | - |
| Buses \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 1.2\% | 0\% |  | 1.1\% | 2.7\% | 1.6\% | 0\% |  | 2.2\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 4 | 1 | 0 |  | 5 | 1 | 10 | 0 |  | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 0\% |  | 1.5\% | 8.3\% | 6\% | 0\% |  | 6.1\% | 2.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1.5\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |

## Peak Hour: 12:00 PM-01:00 PM Weather: Light Snow Showers ( $\mathbf{3 ~}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

| Start Time | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Northbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Eastbound BERYL RD |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 12:00:00 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 109 |
| 12:15:00 | 24 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 98 |
| 12:30:00 | 32 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 6 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 114 |
| 12:45:00 | 44 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 121 |
| Grand Total | 126 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 23 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 58 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 442 |
| Approach\% | 62.1\% | 37.9\% | 0\% |  | - | 15.2\% | 84.8\% | 0\% |  | - | 65.9\% | 34.1\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 28.5\% | 17.4\% | 0\% |  | 45.9\% | 5.2\% | 29\% | 0\% |  | 34.2\% | 13.1\% | 6.8\% | 0\% |  | 19.9\% | - |
| PHF | 0.72 | 0.88 | 0 |  | 0.79 | 0.64 | 0.86 | 0 |  | 0.9 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0 |  | 0.81 | - |
| Heavy | 25 | 9 | 0 |  | 34 | 5 | 19 | 0 |  | 24 | 10 | 9 | 0 |  | 19 | -- |
| Heavy \% | 19.8\% | 11.7\% | 0\% |  | 16.7\% | 21.7\% | 14.8\% | 0\% |  | 15.9\% | 17.2\% | 30\% | 0\% |  | 21.6\% | - |
| Lights | 101 | 68 | 0 |  | 169 | 18 | 109 | 0 |  | 127 | 48 | 21 | 0 |  | 69 | - |
| Lights \% | 80.2\% | 88.3\% | 0\% |  | 83.3\% | 78.3\% | 85.2\% | 0\% |  | 84.1\% | 82.8\% | 70\% | 0\% |  | 78.4\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 11 | 7 | 0 |  | 18 | 3 | 10 | 0 |  | 13 | 7 | 6 | 0 |  | 13 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 8.7\% | 9.1\% | 0\% |  | 8.9\% | 13\% | 7.8\% | 0\% |  | 8.6\% | 12.1\% | 20\% | 0\% |  | 14.8\% | - |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| Buses \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 14 | 2 | 0 |  | 16 | 2 | 9 | 0 |  | 11 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  | 6 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 11.1\% | 2.6\% | 0\% |  | 7.9\% | 8.7\% | 7\% | 0\% |  | 7.3\% | 5.2\% | 10\% | 0\% |  | 6.8\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |

## Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM Weather: Snow (-3 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

| Start Time | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Northbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Eastbound BERYL RD |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 16:00:00 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 9 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 146 |
| 16:15:00 | 46 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 163 |
| 16:30:00 | 52 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 10 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 186 |
| 16:45:00 | 52 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 7 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 200 |
| Grand Total | 187 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 287 | 30 | 271 | 0 | 1 | 301 | 89 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 695 |
| Approach\% | 65.2\% | 34.8\% | 0\% |  | - | 10\% | 90\% | 0\% |  | - | 83.2\% | 16.8\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 26.9\% | 14.4\% | 0\% |  | 41.3\% | 4.3\% | 39\% | 0\% |  | 43.3\% | 12.8\% | 2.6\% | 0\% |  | 15.4\% | - |
| PHF | 0.9 | 0.81 | 0 |  | 0.9 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0 |  | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.64 | 0 |  | 0.79 | - |
| Heavy | 14 | 11 | 0 |  | 25 | 7 | 10 | 0 |  | 17 | 5 | 3 | 0 |  | 8 | -- |
| Heavy \% | 7.5\% | 11\% | 0\% |  | 8.7\% | 23.3\% | 3.7\% | 0\% |  | 5.6\% | 5.6\% | 16.7\% | 0\% |  | 7.5\% | - |
| Lights | 173 | 89 | 0 |  | 262 | 23 | 261 | 0 |  | 284 | 84 | 15 | 0 |  | 99 | - |
| Lights \% | 92.5\% | 89\% | 0\% |  | 91.3\% | 76.7\% | 96.3\% | 0\% |  | 94.4\% | 94.4\% | 83.3\% | 0\% |  | 92.5\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 4 | 4 | 0 |  | 8 | 6 | 2 | 0 |  | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 5 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 2.1\% | 4\% | 0\% |  | 2.8\% | 20\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 2.7\% | 2.2\% | 16.7\% | 0\% |  | 4.7\% | - |
| Buses | 0 | 3 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| Buses \% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 10 | 4 | 0 |  | 14 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 5.3\% | 4\% | 0\% |  | 4.9\% | 0\% | 2.2\% | 0\% |  | 2\% | 3.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 2.8\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 50\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | 50\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |

## Peak Hour: 08:00 AM-09:00 AM Weather: Overcast $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$



## Peak Hour: 12:00 PM - 01:00 PM Weather: Light Snow Showers (-3 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$



## Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM Weather: Snow ( $-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )



## Turning Movement Count (1. WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD \& LAKESHORE RD) CustID: 01900000 MioID: 380801

| Start Time | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Westbound LAKESHORE RD E |  |  |  |  | Eastbound LAKESHORE RD W |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 07:00:00 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 115 |
| 07:15:00 | 37 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 21 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 166 |
| 07:30:00 | 38 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 30 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 188 |
| 07:45:00 | 46 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 187 |
| Hourly | 147 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 53 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 95 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 359 | 656 |
| 08:00:00 | 59 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 38 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 201 |
| 08:15:00 | 55 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 191 |
| 08:30:00 | 49 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 29 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 230 |
| 08:45:00 | 37 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 35 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 202 |
| Hourly | 200 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 83 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 137 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 411 | 824 |
| ***BREAK*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00:00 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 13 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 78 |
| 11:15:00 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 84 |
| 11:30:00 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 17 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 117 |
| 11:45:00 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 27 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 17 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 120 |
| Hourly | 37 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 77 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 62 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 399 |
| 12:00:00 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 30 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 15 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 135 |
| 12:15:00 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 114 |
| 12:30:00 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 34 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 125 |
| 12:45:00 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 130 |
| Hourly | 83 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 150 | 110 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 59 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 504 |
| 13:00:00 | 29 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 17 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 16 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 119 |
| 13:15:00 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 97 |
| 13:30:00 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 111 |
| 13:45:00 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 32 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 121 |
| Hourly | 76 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 92 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 53 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 141 | 448 |
| ***BREAK*** $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00:00 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 36 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 15 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 172 |
| 15:15:00 | 16 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 35 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 14 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 162 |
| 15:30:00 | 13 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 64 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 13 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 208 |
| 15:45:00 | 10 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 49 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 23 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 209 |
| Hourly | 55 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 184 | 194 | 0 | 1 | 378 | 65 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 211 | 751 |
| 16:00:00 | 11 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 53 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 18 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 180 |
| 16:15:00 | 12 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 48 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 192 |


| 16:30:00 | 26 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 66 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 243 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:45:00 | 18 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 52 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 25 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 233 |
| Hourly | 67 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 219 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 77 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 848 |
| 17:00:00 | 23 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 50 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 27 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 244 |
| 17:15:00 | 22 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 46 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 18 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 216 |
| 17:30:00 | 13 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 66 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 24 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 204 |
| 17:45:00 | 6 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 49 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 12 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 157 |
| Hourly | 64 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 211 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 385 | 81 | 148 | 1 | 0 | 230 | 821 |
| Grand Total | 729 | 700 | 1 | 2 | 1430 | 1029 | 880 | 0 | 1 | 1909 | 629 | 1281 | 2 | 0 | 1912 | 5251 |
| Approach\% | 51\% | 49\% | 0.1\% |  | - | 53.9\% | 46.1\% | 0\% |  | - | 32.9\% | 67\% | 0.1\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 13.9\% | 13.3\% | 0\% |  | 27.2\% | 19.6\% | 16.8\% | 0\% |  | 36.4\% | 12\% | 24.4\% | 0\% |  | 36.4\% | - |
| Heavy | 155 | 15 | 0 |  | - | 9 | 139 | 0 |  | - | 16 | 13 | 0 |  | - | - |
| Heavy \% | 21.3\% | 2.1\% | 0\% |  | - | 0.9\% | 15.8\% | 0\% |  | - | 2.5\% | 1\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Bicycles | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | - | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | - | - |
| Bicycle \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | - | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | - | - |


| Start Time | Peak Hour: 08:00 AM- 09:00 AM Weather: Overcast (4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Westbound LAKESHORE RD E |  |  |  |  | Eastbound LAKESHORE RD W |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 08:00:00 | 59 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 38 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 201 |
| 08:15:00 | 55 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 191 |
| 08:30:00 | 49 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 29 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 230 |
| 08:45:00 | 37 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 35 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 202 |
| Grand Total | 200 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 83 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 137 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 411 | 824 |
| Approach\% | 66.2\% | 33.8\% | 0\% |  | - | 74.8\% | 25.2\% | 0\% |  | - | 33.3\% | 66.7\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 24.3\% | 12.4\% | 0\% |  | 36.7\% | 10.1\% | 3.4\% | 0\% |  | 13.5\% | 16.6\% | 33.3\% | 0\% |  | 49.9\% | - |
| PHF | 0.85 | 0.64 | 0 |  | 0.85 | 0.72 | 0.7 | 0 |  | 0.79 | 0.9 | 0.86 | 0 |  | 0.91 | - |
| Heavy | 28 | 5 | 0 |  | 33 | 2 | 17 | 0 |  | 19 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 5 | - |
| Heavy \% | 14\% | 4.9\% | 0\% |  | 10.9\% | 2.4\% | 60.7\% | 0\% |  | 17.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 1.2\% | - |
| Lights | 172 | 97 | 0 |  | 269 | 81 | 11 | 0 |  | 92 | 134 | 272 | 0 |  | 406 | - |
| Lights \% | 86\% | 95.1\% | 0\% |  | 89.1\% | 97.6\% | 39.3\% | 0\% |  | 82.9\% | 97.8\% | 99.3\% | 0\% |  | 98.8\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 10 | 4 | 0 |  | 14 | 2 | 4 | 0 |  | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  | 5 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 5\% | 3.9\% | 0\% |  | 4.6\% | 2.4\% | 14.3\% | 0\% |  | 5.4\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 1.2\% | - |
| Buses | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| Buses \% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |  | 0.3\% | 0\% | 7.1\% | 0\% |  | 1.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 18 | 0 | 11 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 6\% | 0\% | 39.3\% | 0\% |  | 9.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Road\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |


| Peak Hour: 12:00 PM - 01:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Westbound LAKESHORE RD E |  |  |  |  | Eastbound LAKESHORE RD W |  |  |  |  | Int. Total (15 min) |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 12:00:00 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 30 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 15 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 135 |
| 12:15:00 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 114 |
| 12:30:00 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 34 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 125 |
| 12:45:00 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 24 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 130 |
| Grand Total | 83 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 150 | 110 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 59 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 504 |
| Approach\% | 55.3\% | 44.7\% | 0\% |  | - | 55.6\% | 44.4\% | 0\% |  | - | 37.8\% | 62.2\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 16.5\% | 13.3\% | 0\% |  | 29.8\% | 21.8\% | 17.5\% | 0\% |  | 39.3\% | 11.7\% | 19.2\% | 0\% |  | 31\% | - |
| PHF | 0.83 | 0.67 | 0 |  | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0 |  | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 0 |  | 0.93 | - |
| Heavy | 31 | 1 | 0 |  | 32 | 1 | 22 | 0 |  | 23 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  | 5 | - |
| Heavy \% | 37.3\% | 1.5\% | 0\% |  | 21.3\% | 0.9\% | 25\% | 0\% |  | 11.6\% | 3.4\% | 3.1\% | 0\% |  | 3.2\% | - |
| Lights | 52 | 66 | 0 |  | 118 | 109 | 66 | 0 |  | 175 | 57 | 94 | 0 |  | 151 | - |
| Lights \% | 62.7\% | 98.5\% | 0\% |  | 78.7\% | 99.1\% | 75\% | 0\% |  | 88.4\% | 96.6\% | 96.9\% | 0\% |  | 96.8\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 12 | 1 | 0 |  | 13 | 1 | 12 | 0 |  | 13 | 1 | 3 | 0 |  | 4 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 14.5\% | 1.5\% | 0\% |  | 8.7\% | 0.9\% | 13.6\% | 0\% |  | 6.6\% | 1.7\% | 3.1\% | 0\% |  | 2.6\% | - |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| Buses \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 19 | 0 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 22.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 12.7\% | 0\% | 11.4\% | 0\% |  | 5.1\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.6\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | 100\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Road\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |


| Start Time | Peak Hour: 04:00 PM- 05:00 PM Weather: Snow (-3 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  | Westbound LAKESHORE RD E |  |  |  |  | Eastbound LAKESHORE RD W |  |  |  |  | Int. Total ( 15 min ) |
|  | Left | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Left | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 16:00:00 | 11 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 53 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 18 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 180 |
| 16:15:00 | 12 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 48 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 192 |
| 16:30:00 | 26 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 66 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 21 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 243 |
| 16:45:00 | 18 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 52 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 25 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 233 |
| Grand Total | 67 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 188 | 219 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 77 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 848 |
| Approach\% | 35.6\% | 63.8\% | 0.5\% |  | - | 52.3\% | 47.7\% | 0\% |  | - | 32\% | 68\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 7.9\% | 14.2\% | 0.1\% |  | 22.2\% | 25.8\% | 23.6\% | 0\% |  | 49.4\% | 9.1\% | 19.3\% | 0\% |  | 28.4\% | - |
| PHF | 0.64 | 0.88 | 0.25 |  | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0 |  | 0.84 | 0.77 | 0.8 | 0 |  | 0.79 | - |
| Heavy | 18 | 0 | 0 |  | 18 | 1 | 13 | 0 |  | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  | 4 | - |
| Heavy \% | 26.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 9.6\% | 0.5\% | 6.5\% | 0\% |  | 3.3\% | 3.9\% | 0.6\% | 0\% |  | 1.7\% | - |
| Lights | 49 | 120 | 1 |  | 170 | 218 | 187 | 0 |  | 405 | 74 | 163 | 0 |  | 237 | - |
| Lights \% | 73.1\% | 100\% | 100\% |  | 90.4\% | 99.5\% | 93.5\% | 0\% |  | 96.7\% | 96.1\% | 99.4\% | 0\% |  | 98.3\% | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 7 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | - |
| Single-Unit Trucks \% | 10.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 3.7\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% |  | 1.4\% | 2.6\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.8\% | - |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | - |
| Buses \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0.5\% | 1\% | 0\% |  | 0.7\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 0\% |  | 0.8\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 11 | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 16.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 5.9\% | 0\% | 2.5\% | 0\% |  | 1.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Road\% | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |

Peak Hour: 08:00 AM-09:00 AM Weather: Overcast ( $\left.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$


## Peak Hour: 12:00 PM - 01:00 PM Weather: Light Snow Showers ( $-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )



## Peak Hour: 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM Weather: Snow (-3 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$




Peak Hour: 07:45 AM - 08:45 AM Weather: Broken Clouds (-3.68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

| Start Time | N ApproachWINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  |  |  |  | E Approach ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { S Approach } \\ \text { WINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | W Approach ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. Total } \\ & (15 \mathrm{~min}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Right | Thru | Left | u-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | Approach Total | Right | Thru | Left | u-Turn | Peds | Approach Total |  |
| 07:45:00 | 48 | 59 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 48 | 115 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 25 | 35 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 25 | 258 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 313 | 820 |
| 08:00:00 | 56 | 53 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 49 | 132 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 19 | 26 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 8 | 222 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 265 | 751 |
| 08:15:00 | 58 | 64 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 56 | 120 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 33 | 45 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 25 | 229 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 858 |
| 08:30:00 | 51 | 67 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 226 | 47 | 143 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 201 | 31 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 24 | 219 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 786 |
| Grand Total | 213 | 243 | 594 | 0 | 1 | 1050 | 200 | 510 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 739 | 108 | 144 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 82 | 928 | 136 | 0 | 3 | 1146 | 3215 |
| Approach\% | 20.3\% | 23.1\% | 56.6\% | 0\% |  | - | 27.1\% | 69\% | 3.9\% | 0\% |  | - | 38.6\% | 51.4\% | 10\% | 0\% |  | - | 7.2\% | 81\% | 11.9\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 6.6\% | 7.6\% | 18.5\% | 0\% |  | 32.7\% | 6.2\% | 15.9\% | 0.9\% | 0\% |  | 23\% | 3.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.9\% | 0\% |  | 8.7\% | 2.6\% | 28.9\% | 4.2\% | 0\% |  | 35.6\% | - |
| PHF | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.81 | 0 |  | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.66 | 0 |  | 0.92 | 0.82 | 0.8 | 0.78 | 0 |  | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.9 | 0.85 | 0 |  | 0.92 | - |
| Heavy | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 |  | 9 | 4 | 20 | ${ }^{-}$ | 0 |  | 24 | ${ }_{1}$ | 2 | 7 | 0 |  | 10 | ${ }^{-}$ | 9 | 6 | 0 |  | 18 | - |
| Heavy \% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0\% |  | 0.9\% | 2\% | 3.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 3.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 25\% | 0\% |  | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 1\% | 4.4\% | 0\% |  | 1.6\% | - |
| Lights | 207 | 227 | 574 | 0 |  | 1008 | 180 | 476 | 29 | 0 |  | 685 | 101 | 138 | 19 | 0 |  | 258 | 76 | 904 | 129 | 0 |  | 1109 | - |
| Lights \% | 97.2\% | 93.4\% | 96.6\% | 0\% |  | 96\% | 90\% | 93.3\% | 100\% | 0\% |  | 92.7\% | 93.5\% | 95.8\% | 67.9\% | 0\% |  | 92.1\% | 92.7\% | 97.4\% | 94.9\% | 0\% |  | 96.8\% | - |
| Mediums | 3 | 11 | 19 | 0 |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 16 | 14 | 0 | 0 |  | 30 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  | 12 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 0 |  | 19 | - |
| Mediums \% | 1.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 0\% |  | 3.1\% | 8\% | 2.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 4.1\% | 5.6\% | 2.8\% | 7.1\% | 0\% |  | 4.3\% | 3.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 1.7\% | - |
| Articulated Trucks | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 |  | 9 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  | 24 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 |  | 10 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 0 |  | 18 | - |
| Arriculated Trucks \% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | 0\% |  | 0.9\% | 2\% | 3.9\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 3.2\% | 0.9\% | 1.4\% | 25\% | 0\% |  | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 1\% | 4.4\% | 0\% |  | 1.6\% | $\cdot$ |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 40\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 20\% |  | - |


| Start Time | Peak Hour: 05:00 PM-06:00 PM Weather: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weather: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | NApproachWINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  | Approach Total | Right | Thru | E Approach ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  | Approach Total | Right | Thru | S ApproachWINSTON CHURCHILL BLVD |  |  | Approach Total | Right | Thru | W Approach ROYAL WINDSOR DR |  |  | Approach Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int. Total } \\ & (15 \mathrm{~min}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Left | U-Turn | Peds |  |  |  | Left | U-Turn | Peds |  |  |  | Left | U-Turn | Peds |  |  |  | Left | U-Turn | Peds |  |  |
| 17:00:00 | 36 | 50 | 79 | 0 | 1 | 165 | 138 | 186 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 339 | 20 | 78 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 12 | 136 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 194 | 837 |
| 17:15:00 | 28 | 55 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 109 | 183 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 307 | 27 | 78 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 21 | 178 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 850 |
| 17:30:00 | 31 | 44 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 204 | 230 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 457 | 19 | 59 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 9 | 143 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 192 | 904 |
| 17:45:00 | 29 | 37 | 44 | 0 | 1 | 110 | 160 | 221 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 414 | 16 | 53 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 10 | 157 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 209 | 821 |
| Grand Total | 124 | 186 | 279 | 0 | 2 | 589 | 611 | 820 | 86 | 0 | 5 | 1517 | 82 | 268 | 113 | 0 | 1 | 463 | 52 | 614 | 177 | 0 | 3 | 843 | 3412 |
| Appraach\% | 21.1\% | 31.6\% | 47.4\% | 0\% |  | - | 40.3\% | 54.1\% | 5.7\% | 0\% |  | - | 17.7\% | 57.9\% | 24.4\% | 0\% |  | - | 6.2\% | 72.8\% | 21\% | 0\% |  | - | - |
| Totals \% | 3.6\% | 5.5\% | 8.2\% | 0\% |  | 17.3\% | 17.9\% | 24\% | 2.5\% | 0\% |  | 44.5\% | 2.4\% | 7.9\% | 3.3\% | 0\% |  | 13.6\% | 1.5\% | 18\% | 5.2\% | 0\% |  | 24.7\% | - |
| PHF | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0 |  | 0.89 | 0.75 | 0.89 | 0.65 | 0 |  | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.86 | 0.69 | 0 |  | 0.83 | 0.62 | 0.86 | 0.9 | 0 |  | 0.85 | - |
| Heavy | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1 | ${ }_{0}$ |  | 15 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  | 6 | 7 | ${ }_{29}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 36 | - |
| Heavy \% | 0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 0\% |  | 1.3\% | 13.5\% | 4.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 4.3\% | - |
| Lights | ${ }_{124}$ | -176- | ${ }_{268}^{-7}$ | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 568 | ${ }_{592}{ }^{-7}$ | 802 | 83 | 0 |  | 1477 | 78 | 263 | 105 | 0 |  | 446 | 38 | 578 | 175 | 0 |  | ${ }_{791}$ | - |
| Lights \% | 100\% | 94.6\% | 96.1\% | 0\% |  | 96.4\% | 96.9\% | 97.8\% | 96.5\% | 0\% |  | 97.4\% | 95.1\% | 98.1\% | 92.9\% | 0\% |  | 96.3\% | 73.1\% | 94.1\% | 98.9\% | 0\% |  | 93.8\% | - |
| Mediums | 0 | 8 | 9 | 0 |  | 17 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 0 |  | 25 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  | 11 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 |  | 16 | - |
| Mediums \% | 0\% | 4.3\% | 3.2\% | 0\% |  | 2.9\% | 2.5\% | 1\% | 2.3\% | 0\% |  | 1.6\% | 3.7\% | 1.5\% | 3.5\% | 0\% |  | 2.4\% | 13.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.1\% | 0\% |  | 1.9\% | . |
| Ariculated Trucks | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 0 |  | 15 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  | 6 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 0 |  | 36 | - |
| Articulated Trucks \% | 0\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 0\% |  | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% | 0\% |  | 1\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.5\% | 0\% |  | 1.3\% | 13.5\% | 4.7\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 4.3\% | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Pedestrians\% | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% |  | - | - | - | - | 36.4\% |  | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% |  | - | - | - | - | 27.3\% |  | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk\% | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% |  | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% |  | $\cdot$ | - | - |  | 0\% |  | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  | - |




## Appendix D

## 2020 Existing Conditions Synchro Reports

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 中t | \％ | 个4 | F | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 126 | 995 | 35 | 549 | 161 | 24 | 150 | 101 | 421 | 327 | 192 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 126 | 995 | 35 | 549 | 161 | 24 | 150 | 101 | 421 | 327 | 192 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 126 | 1077 | 35 | 549 | 161 | 24 | 150 | 101 | 421 | 327 | 192 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | － | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 44.3 | 44.3 | 44.3 | 13.0 | 44.3 | 44.3 |
| Total Split（s） | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 49.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 25．0\％ | 25．0\％ | 25．0\％ | 35．0\％ | 60．0\％ | 60．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | Max | Max | Max | Min | Max | Max |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 22.7 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.56 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.76 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| Control Delay | 48.2 | 53.7 | 96.9 | 36.8 | 5.6 | 33.8 | 32.3 | 6.8 | 64.9 | 16.1 | 5.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.2 | 53.7 | 96.9 | 36.8 | 5.6 | 33.8 | 32.3 | 6.8 | 64.9 | 16.1 | 5.5 |
| LOS | D | D | F | D | A | C | C | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 53.1 |  | 32.9 |  |  | 23.0 |  |  | 35.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 28.1 | 147.5 | 8.2 | 61.8 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 23.0 | 6.9 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 51.3 | \＃180．8 | \＃28．7 | 78.7 | 15.0 | 12.1 | 24.4 | 13.2 | 72.7 | 31.3 | 18.6 |
| Internal Link Dist（ m ） |  | 940.6 |  | 1191.3 |  |  | 60.8 |  |  | 610.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 130.0 |  | 105.0 |  | 230.0 | 125.0 |  | 65.0 | 115.0 |  | 95.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 225 | 1201 | 52 | 1193 | 607 | 280 | 1206 | 604 | 1080 | 1851 | 911 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $108(77 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：WBTL and 6：EBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 40.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 101．6\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个的 |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 126 | 995 | 82 | 35 | 549 | 161 | 24 | 150 | 101 | 421 | 327 | 192 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 126 | 995 | 82 | 35 | 549 | 161 | 24 | 150 | 101 | 421 | 327 | 192 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1737 | 3418 |  | 1722 | 3411 | 1437 | 1371 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.35 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.55 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 644 | 3418 |  | 148 | 3411 | 1437 | 800 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 126 | 995 | 82 | 35 | 549 | 161 | 24 | 150 | 101 | 421 | 327 | 192 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 126 | 1072 | 0 | 35 | 549 | 56 | 24 | 150 | 35 | 421 | 327 | 130 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 5\％ | 5\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ | 9\％ | 33\％ | 6\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | ， |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 22.7 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 22.7 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 225 | 1196 |  | 51 | 1193 | 502 | 280 | 1205 | 537 | 557 | 1851 | 849 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | c0．31 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  | 0.04 |  | c0．12 | c0．10 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.20 |  |  | 0.24 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.08 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.90 |  | 0.69 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.76 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 36.8 | 43.1 |  | 38.9 | 35.3 | 30.8 | 30.5 | 30.9 | 30.3 | 56.0 | 15.8 | 15.6 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 9.7 | 10.6 |  | 55.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Delay（s） | 46.5 | 53.7 |  | 94.4 | 36.5 | 31.2 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 30.5 | 61.8 | 16.1 | 16.0 |
| Level of Service | D | D |  | F | D | C | C | C | C | E | B | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 53.0 |  |  | 38.1 |  |  | 30.9 |  |  | 36.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 42.7 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.58 |  | 19.3 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 140.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | G |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $101.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  | $\frac{1}{\square}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | $\emptyset 4$ |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | T | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 74 | 61 | 12 | 201 | 372 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 74 | 61 | 12 | 201 | 372 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 74 | 61 | 12 | 201 | 444 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.3 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 25.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 48.3\% | 48.3\% | 51.7\% | 51.7\% | 51.7\% | 48\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 8.8 | 8.8 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.35 |  |
| Control Delay | 25.7 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 25.7 | 9.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 5.6 |  |
| LOS | C | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 18.4 |  |  | 4.7 | 5.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.9 | 17.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 16.7 | 8.1 | 2.0 | 15.7 | 35.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 906.5 |  |  | 318.9 | 243.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 80.0 |  | 115.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 645 | 570 | 485 | 1248 | 1252 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.35 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $5(8 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: AICU Level of Service A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 个 |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 74 | 61 | 12 | 201 | 372 | 72 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 74 | 61 | 12 | 201 | 372 | 72 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1706 | 1408 | 1285 | 1748 | 1746 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1706 | 1408 | 679 | 1748 | 1746 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 74 | 61 | 12 | 201 | 372 | 72 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 74 | 7 | 12 | 201 | 437 | 0 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 16\% | 42\% | 9\% | 7\% | 11\% |  |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.2 | 7.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.2 | 7.2 | 40.4 | 40.4 | 40.4 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 204 | 168 | 457 | 1176 | 1175 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | 0.11 | c0.25 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.37 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 24.3 | 23.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 25.4 | 23.5 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Level of Service | C | C | A | A | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 24.5 |  |  | 3.9 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 8.1 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 60.0 |  | Sum of los | me (s) | 12.4 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 41.0\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

## c Critical Lane Group

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Splits and Phases: 3: Lakeshore Road East/Lakeshore Road West \& Winston Churchill Boulevard


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  | , | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「' |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 177 | 396 | 97 | 36 | 287 | 146 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 177 | 396 | 97 | 36 | 287 | 146 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1789 | 1902 | 1883 | 1014 | 1601 | 1555 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.69 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1308 | 1902 | 1883 | 1014 | 1601 | 1555 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 177 | 396 | 97 | 36 | 287 | 146 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 113 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 177 | 396 | 97 | 23 | 287 | 33 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 61\% | 14\% | 5\% |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 20.4 | 20.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.23 | 0.23 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 834 | 1213 | 1200 | 646 | 362 | 352 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.21 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.14 |  |  | 0.02 | c0.18 | 0.02 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.21 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.79 | 0.09 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 32.8 | 27.5 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11.3 | 0.1 |  |
| Delay (s) | 7.4 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 44.1 | 27.6 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | A | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 7.9 | 6.3 |  | 38.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | A |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control DelayHCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 19.4 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
|  |  |  | 0.45 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) | 12.2 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 46.9\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  | $\frac{1}{\square}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | $\emptyset 4$ |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | T | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 89 | 18 | 30 | 340 | 234 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 89 | 18 | 30 | 340 | 234 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 89 | 18 | 30 | 340 | 334 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.3 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 25.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 48.3\% | 48.3\% | 51.7\% | 51.7\% | 51.7\% | 48\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 9.2 | 9.2 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 42.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.28 |  |
| Control Delay | 26.0 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 4.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 26.0 | 11.2 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 4.9 |  |
| LOS | C | B | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 23.5 |  |  | 5.3 | 4.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 9.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 13.1 | 11.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 18.9 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 27.7 | 24.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 906.5 |  |  | 318.9 | 243.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 80.0 |  | 115.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 651 | 539 | 618 | 1298 | 1213 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.26 | 0.28 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 35 (58\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: AICU Level of Service A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road



| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 7.3 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.30 |  | 12.4 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 60.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $41.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Splits and Phases: 3: Lakeshore Road East/Lakeshore Road West \& Winston Churchill Boulevard


|  | * | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  | , | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 103 | 231 | 233 | 267 | 91 | 161 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 103 | 231 | 233 | 267 | 91 | 161 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1755 | 1902 | 1921 | 1495 | 1448 | 1633 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.61 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1133 | 1902 | 1921 | 1495 | 1448 | 1633 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 103 | 231 | 233 | 267 | 91 | 161 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 141 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 103 | 231 | 233 | 197 | 91 | 20 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 7\% | 26\% | 0\% |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 66.4 | 66.4 | 66.4 | 66.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 66.4 | 66.4 | 66.4 | 66.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.13 | 0.13 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 835 | 1403 | 1417 | 1102 | 183 | 206 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.09 |  |  | c0.13 | c0.06 | 0.01 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.10 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 36.6 | 34.8 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 38.7 | 35.0 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | A | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 3.8 | 3.9 |  | 36.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | A |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 11.4 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
|  |  |  | 0.23 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of los | ime (s) | 12.2 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 40.8\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E

ITE Trip Generation Manual Source Data

## Warehousing (150)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 34
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 451
Directional Distribution: $77 \%$ entering, $23 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.17 | $0.02-1.93$ | 0.20 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Warehousing (150)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 47
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 400
Directional Distribution: $27 \%$ entering, $73 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.19 | $0.01-1.80$ | 0.18 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Warehousing (150)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 34
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 451
Directional Distribution: $77 \%$ entering, $23 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.17 | $0.02-1.93$ | 0.20 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Warehousing (150)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 47
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 400
Directional Distribution: $27 \%$ entering, $73 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.19 | $0.01-1.80$ | 0.18 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## General Light Industrial (110)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 45
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 73
Directional Distribution: $88 \%$ entering, $12 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.70 | $0.02-4.46$ | 0.65 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## General Light Industrial (110)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 44
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 67
Directional Distribution: $13 \%$ entering, $87 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.63 | $0.07-7.02$ | 0.68 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Appendix F

## 2028 Future Background Conditions Synchro Reports


~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | $\emptyset 4$ |
| Lane Configurations | * | F | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 个 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 77 | 67 | 12 | 296 | 716 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 77 | 67 | 12 | 296 | 716 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 67 | 12 | 296 | 801 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.3 | 25.3 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 25.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 48.3\% | 48.3\% | 51.7\% | 51.7\% | 51.7\% | 48\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 8.9 | 8.9 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 42.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.64 |  |
| Control Delay | 25.8 | 9.3 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 9.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 25.8 | 9.3 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 9.7 |  |
| LOS | C | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 18.1 |  |  | 5.1 | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 10.9 | 43.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.1 | 8.4 | 2.1 | 23.4 | 93.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 906.5 |  |  | 318.9 | 243.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 80.0 |  | 115.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 645 | 574 | 266 | 1246 | 1261 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.64 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $5(8 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.5 |  |  |  |  | tersectio | LOS: A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.8\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road


|  | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 77 | 67 | 12 | 296 | 716 | 85 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 77 | 67 | 12 | 296 | 716 | 85 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  |
| Fit Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1706 | 1408 | 1285 | 1748 | 1763 |  |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1706 | 1408 | 373 | 1748 | 1763 |  |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 77 | 67 | 12 | 296 | 716 | 85 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 8 | 12 | 296 | 797 | 0 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 16\% | 42\% | 9\% | 7\% | 11\% |  |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 40.3 | 40.3 | 40.3 |  |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 40.3 | 40.3 | 40.3 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 207 | 171 | 250 | 1174 | 1184 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  | 0.17 | c0.45 |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.67 |  |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 24.2 | 23.3 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.1 |  |  |
| Delay (s) | 25.4 | 23.4 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 9.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service | C | C | A | A | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 24.5 |  |  | 4.4 | 9.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | A | A |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 9.6 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.63 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 60.0 |  | Sum of lost | ime (s) | 12.4 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59.8\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 221 | 464 | 114 | 44 | 333 | 170 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 221 | 464 | 114 | 44 | 333 | 170 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 221 | 464 | 114 | 44 | 333 | 170 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Total Split (s) | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.6 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.84 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 9.7 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 51.9 | 6.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.7 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 51.9 | 6.2 |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.3 | 6.6 |  | 36.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B | A |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 17.1 | 39.4 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 53.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 30.0 | 60.1 | 14.9 | 4.0 | \#91.0 | 14.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 154.6 | 591.9 |  | 764.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 75.0 |  |  | 90.0 | 125.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 795 | 1175 | 1163 | 643 | 441 | 551 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.76 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 41 (46\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 3: Lakeshore Road East/Lakeshore Road West \& Winston Churchill Boulevard




## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.36
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.3 Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.7\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 102: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed South Site Access/Future Road


c Critical Lane Group

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road



| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 7.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | A |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.49 |  | 12.4 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 60.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $48.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## Appendix G

## 2028 Future Total Conditions Synchro Reports


~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个t |  | \％ | 性 | F | ＊ | 性 | F | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 性 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 203 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 57 | 221 | 117 | 421 | 585 | 192 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 203 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 57 | 221 | 117 | 421 | 585 | 192 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1737 | 3363 |  | 1722 | 3411 | 1437 | 1372 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.29 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 531 | 3363 |  | 148 | 3411 | 1437 | 623 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 203 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 57 | 221 | 117 | 421 | 585 | 192 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 126 | 1359 | 0 | 87 | 643 | 56 | 57 | 221 | 55 | 421 | 585 | 149 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 5\％ | 5\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ | 9\％ | 33\％ | 6\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 22.7 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 49.0 | 49.0 |  | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 22.7 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.35 | 0.35 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.16 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 185 | 1177 |  | 51 | 1193 | 502 | 218 | 1205 | 537 | 557 | 1851 | 849 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.40 |  |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.06 |  | c0．12 | c0．17 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.24 |  |  | c0．59 |  | 0.04 | 0.09 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.10 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.68 | 1.15 |  | 1.71 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.76 | 0.32 | 0.18 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 38.8 | 45.5 |  | 45.5 | 36.5 | 30.8 | 32.6 | 31.6 | 30.7 | 56.0 | 17.3 | 15.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 18.4 | 79.4 |  | 387.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Delay（s） | 57.2 | 124.9 |  | 433.1 | 38.2 | 31.2 | 35.5 | 31.9 | 31.1 | 61.8 | 17.8 | 16.3 |
| Level of Service | E | F |  | F | D | C | D | C | C | E | B | B |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 119.2 |  |  | 75.5 |  |  | 32.2 |  |  | 33.0 |  |

Approach LOS F

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 74.8 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | E |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.96 | Sum of lost time（s） | 19.3 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 140.0 | H |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $110.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road



C Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 234 | 464 | 114 | 44 | 333 | 174 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 234 | 464 | 114 | 44 | 333 | 174 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 234 | 464 | 114 | 44 | 333 | 174 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Total Split (s) | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 65.6\% | 34.4\% | 34.4\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 55.6 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 55.6 | 22.2 | 22.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.84 | 0.34 |
| Control Delay | 9.9 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 51.9 | 6.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.9 | 10.5 | 8.0 | 2.8 | 51.9 | 6.2 |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.3 | 6.6 |  | 36.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B | A |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 18.4 | 39.4 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 53.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 32.0 | 60.1 | 14.9 | 4.0 | \#91.0 | 14.2 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 154.6 | 591.9 |  | 764.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 75.0 |  |  | 90.0 | 125.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 795 | 1175 | 1163 | 643 | 441 | 554 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.76 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 41 (46\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 3: Lakeshore Road East/Lakeshore Road West \& Winston Churchill Boulevard




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 102: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed South Site Access/Future Road



\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 中t |  | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 个个 | F | \％${ }^{*}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 158 | 823 | 70 | 90 | 968 | 546 | 208 | 463 | 104 | 213 | 313 | 130 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 158 | 823 | 70 | 90 | 968 | 546 | 208 | 463 | 104 | 213 | 313 | 130 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 3.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1807 | 3378 |  | 1754 | 3544 | 1556 | 1720 | 3614 | 1535 | 3437 | 3579 | 1596 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.56 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 222 | 3378 |  | 510 | 3544 | 1556 | 1017 | 3614 | 1535 | 3437 | 3579 | 1596 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 158 | 823 | 70 | 90 | 968 | 546 | 208 | 463 | 104 | 213 | 313 | 130 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 158 | 889 | 0 | 90 | 968 | 236 | 208 | 463 | 32 | 213 | 313 | 58 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃hr） |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 1\％ | 4\％ | 38\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 6\％ | 1\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 63.0 | 63.0 |  | 49.4 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 14.0 | 62.7 | 62.7 |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 63.0 | 63.0 |  | 49.4 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 43.7 | 14.0 | 62.7 | 62.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.45 | 0.45 |  | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 3.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 219 | 1520 |  | 179 | 1250 | 549 | 317 | 1128 | 479 | 343 | 1602 | 714 |
| v／s Ratio Prot | c0．05 | 0.26 |  |  | c0． 27 |  |  | 0.13 |  | c0．06 | 0.09 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.27 |  |  | 0.18 |  | 0.15 | c0．20 |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.04 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.58 |  | 0.50 | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.66 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.62 | 0.20 | 0.08 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 28.2 | 28.7 |  | 35.6 | 40.3 | 34.6 | 41.7 | 38.0 | 33.8 | 60.5 | 23.4 | 22.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 11.1 | 1.7 |  | 9.7 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Delay（s） | 39.3 | 30.4 |  | 45.4 | 45.1 | 37.0 | 51.8 | 39.1 | 34.1 | 63.9 | 23.7 | 22.4 |
| Level of Service | D | C |  | D | D | D | D | D | C | E | C | C |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 31.7 |  |  | 42.3 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  | 36.5 |  |

Approach LOS C D D D

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 38.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.71 |  | 22.3 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 140.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | F |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $99.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 2: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Beryl Road



3: Lakeshore Road East/Lakeshore Road West \& Winston Churchill Boulevard Future Total Conditions

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 310 | 116 | 226 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 310 | 116 | 226 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 310 | 116 | 226 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Total Split (s) | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Min | Min |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 65.1 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.57 | 0.53 |
| Control Delay | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 46.1 | 9.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 46.1 | 9.6 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 5.0 | 3.0 |  | 22.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | A |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 5.6 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 13.8 | 25.7 | 25.9 | 7.5 | 33.3 | 17.7 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 154.6 | 591.9 |  | 764.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 75.0 |  |  | 90.0 | 125.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 789 | 1374 | 1388 | 1166 | 479 | 691 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.33 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 89 (99\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 43.2\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 3: Lakeshore Road East/Lakeshore Road West \& Winston Churchill Boulevard


|  | * | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | , | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 310 | 116 | 226 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 310 | 116 | 226 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1755 | 1902 | 1921 | 1495 | 1448 | 1633 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.59 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1092 | 1902 | 1921 | 1495 | 1448 | 1633 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 310 | 116 | 226 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 194 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 125 | 271 | 274 | 224 | 116 | 32 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 7\% | 26\% | 0\% |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | Perm | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 65.1 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 65.1 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 12.7 | 12.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.14 | 0.14 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 789 | 1375 | 1389 | 1081 | 204 | 230 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.14 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.11 |  |  | c0.15 | c0.08 | 0.02 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.57 | 0.14 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 36.1 | 33.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.3 |  |
| Delay (s) | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 39.7 | 34.1 |  |
| Level of Service | A | A | A | A | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 4.3 | 4.4 |  | 36.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | A |  | D |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 12.6 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
|  |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of los | ime (s) | 12.2 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 43.2\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 102: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Proposed South Site Access/Future Road



## Appendix H

## 2028 Future Background and 2028 Future Total Conditions (Mitigated) Synchro Reports

Timings
AM Peak Period
1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个t |  | \％ | ¢ 4 | 「 | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 167 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 44 | 213 | 116 | 421 | 547 | 192 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 167 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 44 | 213 | 116 | 421 | 547 | 192 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1737 | 3380 |  | 1722 | 3411 | 1437 | 1371 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.36 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 658 | 3380 |  | 190 | 3411 | 1437 | 646 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 167 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 44 | 213 | 116 | 421 | 547 | 192 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 126 | 1325 | 0 | 87 | 643 | 84 | 44 | 213 | 60 | 421 | 547 | 72 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | ， |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 5\％ | 5\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ | 9\％ | 33\％ | 6\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |


| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 19.5 | 52.7 | 52.7 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 19.5 | 52.7 | 52.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 343 | 1762 | 99 | 1778 | 749 | 130 | 693 | 309 | 478 | 1272 | 583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.39 |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.06 |  | c0．12 | c0．16 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.19 |  | c0．46 |  | 0.06 | 0.07 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.05 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.88 | 0.43 | 0.12 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 19.8 | 26.4 | 29.6 | 19.8 | 17.0 | 47.9 | 47.6 | 46.5 | 59.1 | 32.5 | 28.6 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 61.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 17.1 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| Delay（s） | 22.8 | 29.4 | 91.4 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 54.8 | 48.7 | 47.9 | 76.2 | 33.5 | 29.0 |
| Level of Service | C | C | F | C | B | D | D | D | E | C | C |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 28.8 |  | 26.7 |  |  | 49.2 |  |  | 48.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 36.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.78 |  | 19.3 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 140.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $109.0 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

Timings
AM Peak Period
1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Winston Churchill Boulevard \& Royal Windsor Drive


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | 性 | F | \％＊ | 性 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 203 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 57 | 221 | 117 | 421 | 585 | 192 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 203 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 57 | 221 | 117 | 421 | 585 | 192 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 |  | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Flpb，ped／bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1737 | 3363 |  | 1722 | 3411 | 1437 | 1372 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.36 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 658 | 3363 |  | 173 | 3411 | 1437 | 623 | 3444 | 1537 | 3437 | 3380 | 1550 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 126 | 1166 | 203 | 87 | 643 | 161 | 57 | 221 | 117 | 421 | 585 | 192 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 126 | 1359 | 0 | 87 | 643 | 84 | 57 | 221 | 61 | 421 | 585 | 72 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 5\％ | 5\％ | 11\％ | 6\％ | 7\％ | 9\％ | 33\％ | 6\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 8\％ | 4\％ |
| Bus Blockages（\＃／hr） | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |


| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 19.5 | 52.7 | 52.7 |
| Effective Green， g （s） | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 19.5 | 52.7 | 52.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Clearance Time（s） | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 5.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 343 | 1753 | 90 | 1778 | 749 | 125 | 693 | 309 | 478 | 1272 | 583 |
| v／s Ratio Prot |  | 0.40 |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.06 |  | c0．12 | c0．17 |  |
| v／s Ratio Perm | 0.19 |  | c0．50 |  | 0.06 | 0.09 |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.05 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.78 | 0.97 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.88 | 0.46 | 0.12 |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 19.8 | 26.9 | 32.3 | 19.8 | 17.0 | 49.2 | 47.7 | 46.5 | 59.1 | 32.9 | 28.6 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 86.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 17.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Delay（s） | 22.8 | 30.3 | 118.4 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 60.7 | 48.9 | 47.9 | 76.2 | 34.1 | 29.0 |
| Level of Service | C | C | F | C | B | E | D | D | E | C | C |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 29.7 |  | 29.4 |  |  | 50.3 |  |  | 48.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 37.2 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | D |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.84 |  | 19.3 |
| Actuated Cycle Length（s） | 140.0 | Sum of lost time（s） | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $110.2 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |

## Appendix I

## Vehicle Swept Path Analysis









[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 20$ metres of vehicle storage assumed for the purposes of analysis.
    ${ }^{2} 90$ metres of vehicle storage assumed for the purposes of analysis.
    ${ }^{3} 20$ metres of vehicle storage assumed for the purposes of analysis.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ The ITE Trip Generation Manual provides trip generation estimates for vehicle, of which ITE indicates qualitatively that $70 \%$ are expected to be automobile and $30 \%$ are expected to be trucks during the AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour. While it is noted that trucks are prohibited on Lakeshore Road East within the Town of Oakville, trip generation, distribution, and assignment was based on "vehicles" as per ITE.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{https}: / / o p e n . c a n a d a . c a / d a t a / e n / d a t a s e t / d 0 f 54727-6 c 0 b-4 e 5 a-a a 04-e a 1463 c f 9 f 4 \mathrm{c}$

[^3]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^4]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^5]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^6]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^7]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^8]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^9]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^10]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^11]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^12]:    Red arrow indicates the location of the access.

[^13]:    CAUTION: EXTERNAL MAIL. DO NOT CLICK ON LINKS OR OPEN ATTACHMENTS YOU DO NOT TRUST.

