FINAL REPORT



349 DAVIS ROAD

OAKVILLE, ONTARIO

LAND-USE COMPATIBILITY/MITIGATION STUDY (AIR QUALITY AND NOISE) RWDI # 2203169 April 11, 2023

SUBMITTED TO

Liam Morgan Associate Development Planner Development Planning liam@corbettlandstrategies

Corbett Land Strategies 5045 South Service Road - Suite 301 Burlington, Ontario, L7L5T7 T: 416.9393.2762

SUBMITTED BY

Jessica Confalone Project Manager Jessica.Confalone@rwdi.com

Gillian Redman, M.Sc., P.Eng. Senior Noise and Vibration Engineer <u>Gillian.Redman@rwdi.com</u>

Tara Bailey, P.Eng. Senior Air Quality Engineer Tara.Bailey@rwdi.com

RWDI

600 Southgate Drive Guelph, ON N1G 4P6 T: 519.823.1311 F: 519.823.1316



This document is intended for the sole use of the party to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. Accessible document formats provided upon request. © RWDI name and logo are registered trademarks in Canada and the United States of America

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	LAND USE COMPATIBILITY POLICIES AND GUIDELINES	1
2.1	REGION OF HALTON LAND USE COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES	1
2.2	LIVABLE OAKVILLE - TOWN OF OAKVILLE OFFICIAL PLAN	1
2.3	PROVINCIAL POLICY STATEMENT	2
2.4	PROVINCIAL COMPATIBILITY GUIDELINES	2
3	METHODOLOGY	4
4	RESULTS	4
4.1	EXISTING AND PROPOSED INDUSTRIAL USES	4
4.2	FUTURE INDUSTRIAL USES	7
4.3	TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES 4.3.1 NOISE 4.3.2 AIR QUALITY	7 8 8
5	CONCLUSIONS	9

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: D-6 Industry Classification Scheme Table 2: D-6 Separation Distances Table 3: Facilities with Potential to Impact the Subject Lands

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Plan Figure 2: Wind Speed and Direction Frequencies for Toronto Pearson International Airport (2002-2022) Figure 3: Facilities in the Study Area Figure 4: Zoning in the Study Area Figure 5: Official Plan in the Study Area

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Architectural Drawings Appendix B: Industrial Classifications

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



1 INTRODUCTION

RWDI was retained by Corbett Land Strategies to undertake a land use compatibility/mitigation study in support of a site-specific Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment submission for the proposed 349 Davis Road development, located in Oakville, Ontario. The proposed development will consist of a building comprising: 5 levels of above ground parking, 1 level of commercial office space, and 48 levels of residential properties, for a total height of 58 storeys. The location of the subject lands is shown on **Figure 1**. This study was based on architectural drawings dated September 14, 2022. The drawings are provided in **Appendix A**.

The subject lands are currently used for commercial use as offices. The surrounding land use consists primarily of commercial lands. The site is exposed to noise from road traffic on: Queen Elizabeth Way to the north-east; Trafalgar Road to the east; and Cornwall Road to the south-west. The site is exposed to rail traffic from the rail corridor located approximately 260 m to the south-west, which carries Metrolinx commuter trains and Canadian National (CN) Rail freight trains.

The scope of this study was to identify any existing and potential land use compatibility issues, with respect to air quality and noise, and evaluate options to achieve appropriate design, buffering and/or separation distances between the proposed sensitive land uses and nearby employment areas and/or major facilities. A detailed study of noise and vibration was previously completed for this property in the RWDI report "Noise and Vibration Impact Study 349 Davis Road" dated October 13, 2022. Conclusions from the report are summarized within this report.

2 LAND USE COMPATIBILITY POLICIES AND GUIDELINES

2.1 Halton Region Land Use Compatibility Guidelines

Halton Region has created a guideline document (Halton Region 2014) to provide guidance and identify a process for assessing land use compatibility. The intent of the guideline is to "minimize adverse effects of "industrial, transportation and utility" uses that emit noise (vibration), odour or air pollution on sensitive uses (e.g., residential)". The Halton Region guidelines adopt the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Park's D-6 Land-Use Compatibility Guidelines which are discussed in Section 2.4.

2.2 Livable Oakville - Town of Oakville Official Plan

The Town of Oakville's Official Plan (Town of Oakville 2021) includes the mission statement: *"To enhance the Town's natural, cultural, social and economic environments by ensuring that environmental sustainability, cultural vibrancy, economic prosperity and social well-being are incorporated into growth and development decisions".* One of the guiding principles is to *"direct the majority of growth to identified locations where higher density, transit and pedestrian oriented development can be accommodated".* The subject lands are located in the area identified as "Midtown Oakville", identified both as a Major Transit Station Area and as an Urban Growth Centre, part of the Provincial "Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe: Places to Grow" (Government of Ontario 2021). Furthermore, the Town of

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



Oakville's Official Plan states that the "greatest levels of height and density in the [Town of Oakville] are planned for Midtown Oakville."

2.3 Provincial Policy Statement

Sections 1.2.6.1 and 1.2.6.2 of Part V of the Provincial Policy Statement 2020 (Government of Ontario 2020) state the following:

"Major facilities and *sensitive land uses* shall be planned and developed to avoid, or if avoidance is not possible, minimize and mitigate any potential adverse effects from odour, noise and other contaminants, minimize risk to public health and safety, and to ensure the long-term operational and economic viability of *major facilities* in accordance with provincial guidelines, standards and procedures. *"*

Section 1.6.8.3 of Part V of the Provincial Policy Statement 2020 further states that "New development proposed on adjacent lands to existing or planned corridors and transportation facilities should be compatible with, and supportive of, the long-term purposes of the corridor and should be designed to avoid, mitigate or minimize negative impacts on and from the corridor and transportation facilities."

2.4 Provincial Compatibility Guidelines

The Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks' (MECP) D-series guidelines deal with land use compatibility in Ontario. The most relevant guideline in the present case is D-6 (Compatibility between Industrial Facilities, (MOE 1995). It provides a classification scheme for industries based their potential for emissions that could cause adverse effects. The classification scheme is summarized in **Table 1**.

Table 1: D-6 Industry Classification Scheme

Class	Descriptors
I	 Small scale Self-contained Packaged product Low probability of fugitive emissions Daytime operations only Infrequent and/or low intensity outputs of noise, odour, dust, vibration
П	 Medium scale Outdoor storage of wastes or materials Periodic outputs of minor annoyance Low probability of fugitive emissions Shift operations Frequent movement of products and/or heavy trucks during daytime
111	 Large scale Outside storage of raw and finished products Large production volumes Continuous movement of products and employees during shift operations Frequent outputs of major annoyance High probability of fugitive emissions

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



For each class of industry, the guideline provides an estimate of potential influence area and a minimum recommended separation distance, which is set out in **Table 2**.

Table 2: D-6 Separation Distances

Class	Potential Influence Area (m)	Minimum Separation Distance (m)
I	70	20
II	300	70
Ш	1000	300

Guideline D-6 recommends the following:

- "...no sensitive land uses shall be permitted within the actual or potential influence areas of Class I, II or III industrial land uses, without evidence to substantiate the absence of a problem." (Sec. 4.5.1 of Guideline D-6).
- 2. "No incompatible development other than that identified in Section 4.10, *Redevelopment, Infilling and Mixed-Use Areas* should occur [within the recommended minimum separation distances]" (Sec. 4.3 of Guideline D-6)
- 3. "When a change in land use is proposed [in an area of urban redevelopment, infilling or transition to mixed use] for either industrial or sensitive land use, less than the minimum separation distance ... may be acceptable subject to either the municipality or the proponent providing a justifying impact assessment (i.e., a use specific evaluation of the industrial processes and the potential for off-site impacts on existing and proposed sensitive land uses). Mitigation is the key to dealing with less than the minimum to the greatest extent possible." (Sec. 4.10.3 of Guideline D-6).
- 4. With respect to how separation distance should be measured, the guideline states that "measurement shall normally be from the closest existing, committed and proposed property/lot line of the industrial land use to the property/lot line of the closest existing, committed or proposed sensitive land use." However, it does allow the measurement to include areas within the lot lines (on-site buffers) where site-specific zoning or site plan control precludes the use of the area for a sensitive use in the case of the sensitive land use, and for an activity that could create an adverse effect in the case of the industrial land use.

When dealing with vacant industrial lands, the guideline states that "determination of the potential influence area shall be based upon a hypothetical worst-case scenario for which the zone area is committed".

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



3 METHODOLOGY

The tasks for this study consisted of reviewing the following items:

- The official plan and zoning by-laws for the surrounding area;
- Published satellite imagery and street-based photography;
- MECP Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) and Environmental Sector and Activity Registry (EASR) permits for existing industries within 1000 m of the subject lands;
- Pending applications for amendment to ECAs of any major facilities, posted on the Environmental Registry;
- Guidelines D-1 (Land Use Compatibility) and D-6 (Compatibility between Industrial Uses) from the MECP;
- Meteorological data for the study area.

RWDI reviewed wind data from Toronto Pearson International Airport, the nearest meteorological station to the subject lands, to assist in the assessment. A summary of the directional distribution of winds over a period from 2002-2022 is shown in **Figure 2**. The wind directions in the figure refer to the direction from which the wind blows, while the annual frequency of a given wind direction is shown as a distance radially from the centre. The most frequent winds originate from the southwest to north with winds from the south and northeast less frequent.

It is our understanding that the MECP is unable to provide complaint-related information directly and such inquiries are to be directed via the Ministry's Freedom of Information (FOI) office. While complaint history for the area is a helpful tool in the initial screening of industries, due to the length of time to complete the process as well as the existing character of the study area, we did not consider this task to be essential in completing the assessment for this site. An online search was conducted for complaints in the area, but no such articles or reports were found.

4 RESULTS

The review considered the influence of the conversion request and potential future mixed-use development on industrial uses in the surrounding employment areas, including any proposed expansions or intensifications that are known. Potential future industrial uses in the employment areas that are not currently proposed are also considered, as well as the influence of transportation systems. The results of the review are outlined below.

4.1 Existing and Proposed Industrial Uses

Table B-1 in **Appendix B** lists all identified Class I, II, and III industries within 1000 m. In addition, non-industrial sites that have the potential for significant air or noise emission impacts on the subject lands are noted. **Figure 3** shows all facilities of interest within the study area. There were no Class II or Class III facilities identified within 1000 m of the subject lands. Class I industries without a MECP ECA or EASR located beyond 300 m were not documented as their potential influence areas fall far short of the subject lands. Facilities of that nature are considered low-risk and have small areas of influence. In addition to a review of available permits, a review of satellite images was conducted to verify there are no significant small industrial facilities that are not subject to environmental permits. **Table 3** lists the permitted and unpermitted facilities that were identified within close proximity of the subject lands and reviewed to ensure the activity at the site would not impact the proposed development.

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



Industry Class	Industry	Potential Influence Area	Actual Separation Distance ^[1]
N/A ^[2]	Balletomane	70 m	60 m
I	Assured Automotive	70 m	0 m (adjacent)
N/A ^[2]	PWC	70 m	40 m
I	Davis Road Booster Station	70 m	35 m

Table 3: Facilities with Potential to Impact the Subject Lands

1. Unless stated in the above table, separation distance is from the property line of the subject lands to the property line of the industry.

2. The site does not fit into guideline definitions as a Class I, II, or III facility, but was included in the assessment from a due diligence perspective.

4.1.1 Balletomane - 379 Davis Road

The facility appears to be a dance school and does not operate under a permit. Aerial imagery shows minimal HVAC equipment located on the rooftop. This equipment could be acoustically significant at the proposed development and so has been evaluated in the detailed Noise and Vibration Impact Study. Sound from Balletomane is predicted to meet the applicable sound level limits.

From an air quality point of view the site is not industrial and does not appear to be a significant source of air emissions.

4.1.2 Assured Automotive/Oaktown Collision Centre - 359 Davis Road

This site is a small-scale automotive refinishing facility with a training centre. The site appears to be well-contained and is located in close proximity to existing sensitive land uses, the Monte Carlo Inn and a place of worship, both located at 374 South Service Road. The site yard is paved and has no storage of materials that could generate fugitive dust emissions; therefore, it does not have a significant potential for generating nuisance emissions. The MECP permit for the site indicated the presence of two paint booths with a combined paint application rate of 2.5L per hour, prep stations with a combined primer application rate of 1.0L per hour. Based on satellite imagery, these sources appear to be at the northeast section of the facility. The facility ECA also indicates the presence of gas-fired air make-up units and satellite imagery reveals additional rooftop equipment. Given the promixity, the facility's equipment could be acoustically significant at the proposed development and so has been evaluated in the detailed Noise and Vibration Impact Study. Sound from Assured Automotive is predicted to meet the applicable sound level limits.

With respect to air quality, painting operations that use solvent based coatings are sources of VOC emissions and potential sources of odour emissions. However in this case given the relative low paint utilization rates and the actual separation distance of at least 39m between the closest process stack to the closest sensitive land uses at the proposed development, these impacts are not likely to be significant. However, since the sensitive land uses on the subject lands are within the 70 m potential influence area of the emission sources, RWDI recommends the provision

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



of residential units with carbon filtered fresh air or locating of fresh air intakes as far as possible and facing away from the Oaktown Collision site. With respect to the impact of the proposed development on Oaktown's ability to maintain compliance with their permit, RWDI have observed the following: the facility should have transitioned to an MECP EASR for an automotive refinishing facility since it has two spray booths and a facility wide coatings utilization rate of less than 6.0 litres per hour. Under the current EASR regulations a minimum separation distance of 38m from each spray booth stack and the facility property line is required. This is a criteria that the facility cannot meet given its current configuration. In light of this the presence of the proposed development is not likely to place any burden on maintaining the facility's environmental permit.

4.1.3 PWC - 354 Davis Road

This site is an office space, currently hosting the accounting firm PWC. Aerial imagery shows a cooling tower and air make-up unit located on the rooftop. This equipment could be significant source of sound at the proposed development, especially given the proximity to the proposed development, so it has been evaluated in the detailed Noise and Vibration Impact Study. The report predicts an exceedance of the applicable limits due to the rooftop sources at this facility. However, the report predicted that the mitigation requirements to reduce noise from transportation will result in a comfortable indoor acoustic environment. Therefore, the proposed development is considered compatible with the PWC facility.

From an air quality point of view the site is not industrial and does not appear to be a significant source of air emissions.

4.1.4 Davis Road Booster Station - 320 Davis Road

This site is a clean water pumping station. Aerial imagery reveals some outdoor equipment, including a generator and transformer. Given the promixity, the facility's equipment could be acoustically significant at the proposed development and so has been evaluated in the detailed Noise and Vibration Impact Study. Sound from the Davis Road Booster Station is predicted to meet the applicable sound level limits.

From an air quality perspective the site is not industrial and does not appear to be a significant source of air emissions.

4.1.5 Existing Public Applications

There are several public applications for residential redevelopments in this area, including 157 Cross Avenue (Town of Oakville 2019), 177 Cross Avenue (Town of Oakville 2014), and 166 South Service Road (Town of Oakville 2022a), which will reduce the number of active facilities in the area. Therefore, the proposed development is expected to be compatible with existing and proposed land uses.

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



4.2 Future Industrial Uses

The zoning map for the surrounding area is provided in **Figure 4**. Commercial and retail operations surround the subject lands to the east and south, with the QEW and Trafalgar Road located to the north and west, respectively. Further north of the subject lands are employment zoned areas which include industrial uses. Residential lands are located further south and southeast.

The subject lands and the area immediately surrounding the subject lands are zoned Midtown Transitional Employment (Town of Oakville 2022b). Permitted uses on the subject lands and immediate surrounding area are intended to include commercial and retail operations, but not industrial. However, this section of the zoning by-law is not yet in force and is part of an ongoing Ontario Land Tribunal Appeals process. Nevertheless, the intention of this section of the by-law is to increase residential uses in the area and decrease industrial uses. In conjunction with the designation, in the regional and municipal official plans, for residential intensification of the entire Midtown Oakville area, within which the subject lands are located, it is clear that both Halton Region and the Town of Oakville are signalling that future transition of current commercial/retail uses into industrial uses is unlikely. The closest business area that does (or could) include industrial uses is located slightly more than 300 m to the north. Based on the E1 - Office Employment zoning of this area, it appears that Class I and Class II uses are be permitted However, this area is located at the other side of the QEW and it is unlikely that the industry would be louder than the QEW. Also, given more than 300m separation distance, there is adequate separation between the proposed development and subject lands. Therefore, the development of sensitive uses on the subject lands would not constrain any intensification of industrial operations beyond the constraints existing from current residential uses. Furthermore, there are several other proposed residential developments in the area, as noted earlier, which already place future limitations on existing industries.

Therefore, the future development on the subject lands is not expected to have a significant effect on the ability of new or intensified industrial uses to be located in surrounding employment areas.

4.3 Transportation Facilities

The subject lands are located approximately 115 m south of the QEW and 84 m east of Trafalgar Road (Highway 3). Other roadways in the area are distant or not significant emitters of air and noise emissions. The Oakville Subdivision rail corridor and Oakville Station are located approximately 245 m to the south west and 515 m to the south respectively. The rail corridor includes Metrolinx and VIA commuter rail, and the CN freight traffic.

Other than minor projects, like surface rehabilitation and bridge repairs, the Ministry of Transportation currently does not have any plans involving major reconfiguration or expansion of the QEW in this area. Thus, any effects of the transportation facilities on the site, as described within, are expected to only change as a result of the organic growth in traffic. Future major transportation projects within the area will have to consider the subject lands.

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



4.3.1 Noise

Elevated sound levels on the proposed development due to the proximity of the QEW and Trafalgar Road are expected. These levels were evaluated in the detailed Noise and Vibration Impact Study and it was determined that upgraded Sound Transmission Class (STC) ratings for façade components including windows, walls and doors will be required at the subject lands for all facades. Recommended glazing ranged from STC-27 to STC-38, depending on façade location and exterior doors with minimum ratings of STC-45 were recommended.

Early design drawings, provided in **Appendix A**, suggest amenity spaces may be located anywhere on the 4th- and 40th-level rooftops. Sound levels at these amenity spaces were also evaluated during the Noise and Vibration Impact Study. The combined (rail and road) daytime average sound levels for the amenity spaces included in the assessment were in the range of 64-72 dBA. To reduce the transportation sound levels to meet the applicable criteria, noise barriers were recommended. These barriers ranged, based on location, in recommended height from 1.0 m to 3.5 m for a 60 dBA sound level criterion, and from 2.5 m to greater than 5.0 m for a 55 dBA sound level criterion.

Vibration from rail is not expected to be of concern. The Rail Association of Canada (RAC) provides setback distances where vibration effects from rail require study (RAC 2013). The 515 m setback distance is beyond the 75 m setback recommended by RAC. As a result, vibration effects at the proposed development were not assessed in the Noise and Vibration Impact Study.

4.3.2 Air Quality

The GO Rail Network Electrification Environmental Project Report Addendum (Metrolinx 2021) was reviewed for air quality effects in proximity to Metrolinx rail corridors. The most current train volume on the Metrolinx rail corridor at Oakville (Lakeshore West) is 158 trains per day but a detailed air quality assessment was not done for Lakeshore West. However, the Lakeshore East segment has a similar quantity of rail traffic, and results from the Lakeshore East air quality study indicated that air quality impacts decrease sharply with distance and are generally low (within 20% of background) beyond 50 meters. Therefore, with a 245 m separation distance, the subject lands are more than sufficiently separated from the rail corridor to avoid adverse air quality effects. Therefore, the potential future development of the subject lands is considered compatible with the rail corridor.

The subject lands are located adjacent to the QEW highway corridor and approximately 85m east of Trafalgar Road. Air quality emissions from the QEW are expected to be directed towards the subject lands when wind is coming from directions between west-northwest to north. Typically, these wind directions occur approximately 50% of the time, as shown in **Figure 2**. The greatest impacts are typically expected when wind speeds are relatively low (< 3 m/s) and this occurs from the west-southwest to north wind directions less than 5% of the time.

Based on past experience with highway modelling assessments and publicly available studies, such as The City of Toronto produced report "Avoiding the TRAP: Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Toronto and Options for Reducing Exposure" (City of Toronto 2017), hereafter referred to as TRAP, the most widely reported mitigation strategy is separation distances or buffer zones. Some environmental agencies (California and British Columbia) recommend a setback of 500 ft (approx. 150m) from major highways and 100m from roads with annual average traffic volumes of

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



15,000 vehicle or more per day. Considering these buffer zones, elevated air pollutant levels on the proposed development are expected due to the proximity of the QEW, a major highway which has an Annual Average Daily Traffic volume of greater than 200,000 vehicles per day.

The current design for the subject lands is shown in **Appendix A.** Residential units are located on floors six (6) through fifty eight (58) while outdoor terraces are located floors six (6) through forty one (41). The closest of these areas to the QEW are set back approximately 32 m from the north propery line resulting in a minimum separation distance of more than 150 m from the QEW. As such, the proposed development is considered to be adequately separated from the QEW with respect to TRAP. Similarly the closest sensitive uses to Trafalgar Road are set-back approximately 13m horizontally from the property line resulting in a total horizontal separation distance of approximately 98m to Trafalgar Road. Upon accounting for the vertical separation of the residential units, this separation distance meets or exceeds the 100m buffer distance recommended in the City of Toronto's TRAP report. As such, the proposed development is considered to TRAP.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed mixed-use development on the subject lands is compatible with surrounding employment uses and the transportation corridors. The following should be noted:

- 1. The Noise and Vibration Impact Study dated October 13, 2022 outlines the detailed recommendations for compatibility from an environmental noise and vibration perspective.
- 2. From an air quality perspective, it is recommended that the provision of residential units with carbon filtered fresh air or the placement of fresh air intakes as far as possible and facing away from the Oaktown Collision site at 359 Davis Road.
- 3. No additional studies or further analysis is required.

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



6 REFERENCES

City of Toronto 2017, *Avoiding the TRAP: Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Toronto and Options for Reducing Exposure*, City of Toronto, Toronto, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2017/pe/bgrd/backgroundfile-108667.pdf</u>>.

Government of Ontario 2020, *Provincial Policy Statement, 2020*, Government of Ontario, Toronto, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://files.ontario.ca/mmah-provincial-policy-statement-2020-accessible-final-en-2020-02-14.pdf</u>>.

Government of Ontario 2022, *A Place to Grow: Growth plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe*, Order in Council 641/2019, Government of Ontario, Toronto, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://www.ontario.ca/page/growth-plan-greater-golden-horseshoe-2019-order-council-</u>

6412019#:~:text=1.2%20The%20growth%20plan%20for%20the%20Greater%20Golden,helps%20communities%20a chieve%20a%20high%20quality%20of%20life>.

Halton Region 2014, *Land Use Compatibility Guidelines: Regional Official Plan Guidelines*, Halton Region, Oakville, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://www.halton.ca/Repository/Land-Use-Compatibility-Guidelines</u>>.

Metrolinx 2021, *GO Rail Network Electrification Final Environmental Project Report Addendum*, report prepared by Gannett Fleming & Morrison Hershfield, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://assets.metrolinx.com/image/upload/v1663237141/Documents/Metrolinx/GO_Rail_Network_Electrification_</u> <u>EPR Addendum 17Mav21 Protected 1.pdf</u>>.

MOE—See Ministry of the Environment.

Ministry of the Environment 1995, *Guideline D-6: Compatibility Between Industrial Facilities and Sensitive Land Uses*, Ministry of the Environment, Toronto, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://www.ontario.ca/page/d-6-compatibility-between-industrial-facilities</u>>.

Ministry of Transportation of Ontario 2016, *MTO iCorridor Historical Provincial Highways Traffic Volumes*, Ministry of Transportation of Ontario, Toronto, viewed 24 January 2023, <<u>https://icorridor-mto-on-</u> <u>ca.hub.arcgis.com/apps/4f4b6a27ee0a4a4e86ab9a94597475f3/explore</u>>.

RAC—See The Federation of Canadian Municipalities & The Railway Association of Canada.

The Federation of Canadian Municipalities & The Railway Association of Canada 2013, *Guidelines for New Development in Proximity to Railway Operations*, report prepared by DIALOG & J.E. Coulter Associates Limited.

Town of Oakville 2014, *915643 Ontario Inc. - 177 & 185 Cross Avenue, 580 Argus Road*, Town of Oakville, Oakville, viewed January 25, 2023, <u>https://www.oakville.ca/business/6810.html</u>

Town of Oakville 2019, *Can-China Real Capital Inc. – 157 Cross Avenue – Z1614.73, 24T-19002/1614*, Town of Oakville, Oakville, viewed January 25, 2023, <<u>https://www.oakville.ca/business/da-41727.html</u>>

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



Town of Oakville 2021, *Livable Oakville: Town of Oakville Official Plan 2009*, Town of Oakville, Oakville, viewed 20 January 2023, <<u>https://www.oakville.ca/townhall/livable-oakville-official-plan.html</u>>.

Town of Oakville 2022a, *Distrikt Development - 166 South Service Road East - OPA 1614.79, Z.1614.79 and 24T-22006/1614*, Town of Oakville, Oakville, viewed January 25, 2023, <<u>https://www.oakville.ca/business/da-41727.html</u>>

Town of Oakville 2022b, *Town of Oakville Zoning By-law 2014-014*, Town of Oakville, Oakville, viewed April 5, 2023, <<u>https://www.oakville.ca/getmedia/4647ca61-1f11-4c65-9200-c3bafa6782a4/zoning-by-law-2014-014.pdf</u>>

RWDI #2302744 April 11, 2023



STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report entitled 349 Davis Road – Land-Use Compatibility/Mitigation Study (Air Quality And Noise) was prepared by Rowan Williams Davies & Irwin Inc. ("RWDI") for Corbett Land Strategies ("Client"). The findings and conclusions presented in this report have been prepared for the Client and are specific to the project described herein ("Project"). The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based on the information available to RWDI when this report was prepared. Because the contents of this report may not reflect the final design of the Project or subsequent changes made after the date of this report, RWDI recommendations provided in this report have been correctly interpreted in the final design of the Project.

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report have also been made for the specific purpose(s) set out herein. Should the Client or any other third party utilize the report and/or implement the conclusions and recommendations contained therein for any other purpose or project without the involvement of RWDI, the Client or such third party assumes any and all risk of any and all consequences arising from such use and RWDI accepts no responsibility for any liability, loss, or damage of any kind suffered by Client or any other third party arising therefrom.

Finally, it is imperative that the Client and/or any party relying on the conclusions and recommendations in this report carefully review the stated assumptions contained herein and to understand the different factors which may impact the conclusions and recommendations provided.







Proposed Development and Surrounding Sites of Interest

- ____ 20 m
- 70 m
- 300 m
- 1,000 m
- Property Line

Industrial Properties

- Class I Industries
- NA Non-Industrial

Business Name	Class
Oaktown Collision Inc. / Assured Automotive	Ι
PWC	NA
Balletomane	NA
Davis Road Booster Station	I

Service Layer Credits: Hybrid Reference Layer (road and water labels only) Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Hamilton, Province of Ontario, Town of Oakville, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/ NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, NRCan, Parks Canada; MapCast Mapping Services - Halton Region, 2021

True North	Drawn by: RCL	Figure: 3	
()	Approx. Scale:	1:10,000	
Project #: 2203169	Date Revised:	Apr 6, 2023	





Map Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N 349 Davis Road - Oakville, ON

- Property Line
- **1,000 m**

Zoning Classification

- C1 Neighbourhood Commercial; C2 Community Commercial
- C3 Core Commercial
- CU Community Use
- E1 Office Employment; E2 Business Employment; E4
- Business Commercial
- ED Existing Development
- l Institutional
- MTC Midtown Transitional Commercial
- MTE Midtown Transitional Employment
- MU3 Urban Centre
- N Natural Area
- O1 Park

RL1-0 - Residential Low (RL1-0); RL2-0 - Residential Low (RL2-0); RL3 - Residential Low (RL3); RL3-0 - Residential Low (RL3-0); RL4-0 - Residential Low (RL4-0); RL5 -Residential Low (RL5); RL5-0 - Residential Low (RL5-0); RL7-0 - Residential Low (RL7-0)

- RM1 Residential Medium (RM1); RM4 Residential Medium (RM4)
- RH Residential High
- U Utility

Service Layer Credits: Hybrid Reference Layer (road and water labels only) Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Hamilton, Province of Ontario, Town of Oakville, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/ NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, NRCan, Parks Canada; MapCast Mapping Services - Halton Region, 2021

True North	Drawn by: RCL	Figure: 4	
()	Approx. Scale:	1:10,000	
Project #: 2203169	Date Revised: N	/lar 30, 2023	



- Property Line
- **1,000 m**
 - PARKWAY BELT
- GREENBELT
 - GREENBELT URBAN RIVER VALLEY
 - NATURAL HERITAGE SYSTEM
 - PARKS, OPEN SPACE & CEMETERIES
- WATERFRONT OPEN SPACE
 - NODES AND CORRIDORS
 - EMPLOYMENT AREAS
 - MAJOR COMMERCIAL AREAS
 - RESIDENTIAL AREAS
 - MAIN STREET AREA
 - EMPLOYMENT MIXED USE CORRIDOR
- REGIONAL TRANSIT NODE
 - PROPOSED REGIONAL TRANSIT NODE
 - NODES AND CORRIDORS ² FOR FURTHER STUDY
 - MAJOR TRANSPORATION CORRIDOR³
- ---- PROPOSED MAJOR TRANSPORATION CORRIDOR
- - REGIONAL TRANSIT PRIORITY CORRIDOR
 - MOBILITY LINK
- ----- MAJOR ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION CONNECTIONS
- SCENIC CORRIDOR
- ---- UTILITY CORRIDOR
 - PROVINCIAL PRIORITY TRANSIT CORRIDOR
- MAJOR TRANSIT STATION HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICTS/⁴ CULTURAL HERITAGE LANDSCAPES
- NOTE 1: This Schedule does not represent land use designations
- NOTE 2: In addition, the south side of Dundas is recognized as having thepotential for intensification subject further study to more precisely delineate the exent of such areas
- NOTE 3: Transportation corridors, with the exception of Provincial highways, permit all transportation modes including transit, pedestrian and bicycle facilities
- NOTE 4: Heritage Conservation Districts and cultural heritage landscapes are elements of the urban structure. As additional Heritage Conservation Districts and cultural heritage landscapes are designated under the Ontario Heritage Act, they shall be added to Schedule A1, Urban Structure
- Service Layer Credits: Hybrid Reference Layer (road and water labels only) Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Hamilton, Province of Ontario, Town of Oakville, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/ NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, NRCan, Parks Canada; World Imagery Town of Oakville, Maxar

True North	Drawn by: RCL	Figure: 5	
()	Approx. Scale:	1:10,000	
Project #: 2203169	Date Revised: N	/lar 30, 2023	



PROPOSED SOUTH-WEST DAVIS ROAD RENDERING SCALE : N.T.S.



STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



No.	Description	Date
1	Issued for Official Plan Admendment	Sant 14/22
	and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept. 14/22
ocatio	n	
/lix	ed-Use 58-Storey Βι	uilding
	349 Davis Road	•
	Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2	
age Ti	tle	
	Proposed	
	Rendering	
		22002
	number	22003
	d by	Δ.υ. \/ M
		v .IVI.
	A001	

as noted

THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:





STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



No.	Description	Date
1	and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept. 14/22
ocatio	n	
/ ix	ed-Use 58-Storey I	Building
	Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X	2
age Ti	itle	
	Proposed	
	Rendering	
roject	number	22003
ate		JAN.
rawn b	бу	D.D.
hecke	ed by	V.M.

A002

as noted

Drawn by Checked by

Scale

THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:







STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



No.	Description	Da	ate
1	Issued for Official Plan Admendment and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sent	14/22
		oept.	14/22
ocatio	n		
Mix	ed-Use 58-Storey Bu	uildi	ing
	349 Davis Road		
	Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2		
age Ti	tle Dranaad		
	Proposed		
	Rendering		
roject	number	22	2003
ate		L	JAN.
rawn b	ру		D.D.
hecke	d by	'	V.M.

A003

Drawn by

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

as noted











All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

S STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



No.	Descripti	ion	Date		
1	Issued for Official Pla	an Admendment	Sant 11/22		
	and Zoning By-Lav	vAmenament	Sept. 14/22		
Locatio	1				
Mixed_Use 58_Storey Ruilding					
	349 Dav	is Road	manig		
	Oakville, Onta	ario L6J 2X2			
Page Ti	tle	_			
	Rend	ering			
	Vigne	ettes			
Project	number		22003		
Date			JAN.		
Drawn b	ру		D.D.		
Checke	d by		V.M.		
	A0	04			

Scale

as noted

SURVEYOR'S REAL PROPERTY REPORT AND TOPOGRAPHY OF PART OF LOT 12 CONCESSION 3 SOUTH OF DUNDAS STREET TOWN OF OAKVILLE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON

PARKING STATISTICS By-law 2014-14		
Above Ground Parking (AB) (3 Levels)	AB Level 1 = 23 parking spaces AB Level 2 = 23 parking spaces AB Level 3 = 23 parking spaces	
	UG Levels 1 to 3 = 85 parking spaces	
Underground Parking (UG)	UG Levels 4 & 5 = 97 parking spaces	
	UG Level 6 = 99 parking spaces	
Street Level Parking	5 spaces (including 2 barrier-free)	
Loading Space Provided	1 space	
Required Parking:		
Commercial (Ground)	1.0 per 18.0m ² net floor area.	
Office (1 Floor)	1.0 per 35.0m ² net floor area.	
Residential	 a) 1.0 per dwelling where the unit has less than 75.0m² net floor area. b) 1.25 per dwelling for all other units. Visitor Parking: Of the total number of parking spaces required, 0.25 of the parking spaces required per dwelling shall be designed as visitors parking spaces. 	
Barrier-Free	3 to 25 spaces = 1 space 26 to 100 spaces = 4% 101 to 200 spaces = 1, plus 3% of the total no. of spaces 201 to 1000 spaces = 2, plus 2% of the total no. of spaces	
TOTAL PARKING REQUIRED	575 (including 14 barrier-free)	
TOTAL PARKING PROPOSED	622 Parking Spaces (including 14 barrier-free)	
Min. No. of Bicycle Parking Spaces Required:		
Retail Uses: Office Uses: Residential Uses:	The greater of 2 or 1.0 per 1,000m ² net floor area. The greater of 2 or 1.0 per 1,000m ² net floor area. Apartment Building - 1.0 per dwelling. Visitor Spaces: Of the total number of bicycle parking spaces required, 0.25 of the parking spaces required per dwelling shall be designed as visitors bicycle parking.	
Total Bicycle Parking Required:	392 bicycle parking spaces (racks)	
Bicycle Parking Provided:	400 bicycle parking spaces (racks)	

UNIT BREAKDOWN / DENSITY		
Commercial Area GFA	7	769.81m ²
Unit 1: Proposed Restaurant	ant 214.18m ²	
Patio Area (For Unit 1)		34.98m ²
Unit 2: Proposed Retail		87.31m ²
Unit 3: Proposed Retail		67.75m ²
Unit 4: Proposed Retail		69.36m ²
Unit 5: Proposed Retail	1	28.25m ²
Unit 6: Proposed Retail	1	14.81m ²
Lobby (Ground Floor)	2	260.10m ²
Office Area GFA	1,	470.41m ²
Total No. of Units	4 Units	
Residential Area GFA	33,633.21m ²	
35 Floors (Medium Plate)	25,381.65m ²	
No. of Units per Floor	8 Units	
No. of Units	2	280 Units
18 Floors (Small Plate)	8	,251.56m ²
No. of Units per Floor		6 Units
No. of Units	108 Units	
Total No. of Residential Units	388 Units	
TOTAL GFA (w/o Parking Level	GFA (w/o Parking Levels) 36,674.46m	
TOTAL GFA (incl. Parking Levels)		41,386.98m ²
Density		
Residential Units per Ha 10		106.67 uph
Floor Space Index		9.75

CB RIM 102.33

PART

BC 102.3

OF

CURB

PAVEMENT

/BC 102.87

BC 102.70

// BC 102.50

BC <u>102.29</u>

° SIGN

CB RIM 102.06

BC 102.23

APPROXIMATE

CENTRELINE

СВ (RIM 102.25

// BC 102.36

ZONING STATISTICS By-law 2014-14			
Zoned MTE - Midtown Transitional Employment			
Proposed MU4 Mixed Use Zone - Urban Core			
MTE REGULATIONS	REQUIRED	PROPOSED	
Min. Lot Frontage	Shall be as legally	53.90m (existing)	
Min. Lot Area	existing as of the effective date of	0.42 Ha (existing)	
Max. Lot Coverage	this By-law.	37.02%	
MU4 REGULATIONS			
Min. Front Yard	1.0m	5.0m (from 4.0m expr. line)	
Max. Front Yard	5.0m	5.0m (from 4.0m expr. line)	
Min. Interior Side Yard	0.0m	5.40m (W); 11.89m (E)	
Min. Rear Yard	0.0m	32.23m	
Min. No. of Storeys	8 storeys	58 storeys	
Max. No. of Storeys	12 storeys	58 storeys	
Min. First Storey Height	4.5m	4.5m	
Min. Height		175.5m	
Max. Height		175.5m	
Max. Net Floor Area	1,400m ²	1,570.84m ²	

SITE STATISTICS Cont'd:

SITE AREA = 1.05 acre (0.42 ha) 4,243.25 m2 (45,673.96 sq.ft.)

PROPOSED BLDG. FOOTPRINT AREA = 1,570.84 m2 (16,908.38 sq.ft.)

ABOVE GROUND PARKING FOOTPRINT - FLOOR LEVELS 2nd - 4th AREA = 1,570.84 m2 (16,908.38 sq.ft.)

OFFICE FOOTPRINT - 5th FLOOR LEVEL AREA = 1,470.41 m2 (15,827.36 sq.ft.)

RESIDENTIAL FOOTPRINT 1 - FLOOR LEVELS 6th - 40th AREA = 725.19 m2 (7,805.88 sq.ft.)

RESIDENTIAL FOOTPRINT 2 - FLOOR LEVELS 41th - 58th AREA = 458.42 m2 (4,934.39 sq.ft.)

TOTAL BUILDING - 58 Storeys AREA = 41,386.98 m2 (445,485.74 sq.ft.)

LOT COVERAGE = 36.58 %

LANDSCAPED AREA = 853.74 m2 (9,189.58 sq.ft.) = 20.12 %

PAVED / CONCRETE AREA(S) = 1,818.67 m2 (19,576.0 sq.ft.)



SCALE 1 : 200









1 PF A200 SCA

THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY: SΥ STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007 Construction North Date APR. 21/22 Description No. Issued for Review APR. 28/22 **Issued for Review** Issued for Pre-Consultation Meeting MAY 06/22 Issued for Review / Coordination MAY 31/22 Issued for Official Plan Admendmentand Zoning By-Law AmendmentSept. 14/22 Location Mixed-Use 58-Storey Building 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2 Page Title Proposed Building UnderGround Parking 22003 Project number JAN. Date D.D. Drawn by V.M. Checked by A200

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION

as noted

Scale

PARKING UG LEVEL 1 to LEVEL 3 (85 Spaces)





1 A201 SCALE 1 : 150 All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:







No.	Description	Date
1	Issued for Review	APR. 21/22
2	Issued for Review	APR. 28/22
3	Issued for Pre-Consultation Meeting	MAY 06/22
4	Issued for Review / Coordination	MAY 31/22
5	Issued for Official Plan Admendment	
	and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept. 14/22
		·]
Location		

Page Title Proposed Bui	Iding
UnderGround F	Parking
Project number	22003
Date	JAN.
Drawn by	D.D.
Checked by	V.M.
A201	
Scale	as noted

PARKING UG LEVEL 4 to LEVEL 5 (97 Spaces)





 1
 PROPOS

 A202
 SCALE 1 : 150

PARKING UG LEVEL 6 (99 Spaces)

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007

 No.
 Description
 Date

 1
 Issued for Official Plan Admendment
 Sept. 14/22

 1
 Issued for Official Plan Admendment
 Sept. 14/22

Page TitleProposed Building
UnderGround ParkingProject number22003DateJAN.Drawn byD.D.Checked byV.M.A2002Scaleas noted

 \square 0 M S > \square

1 A203



S V STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007 Construction North Description Date No. Issued for Review APR. 21/22 APR. 28/22 Issued for Review ssued for Pre-Consultation Meeting MAY 06/22 Issued for Review / Coordination MAY 31/22 ssued for Official Plan Admendmentand Zoning By-Law AmendmentSept. 14/22 Iss. to Waste Mangement for Coord. Oct. 04/22 Location Mixed-Use 58-Storey Building 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2 Page Title Proposed Building Ground Floor Plan 22003 Project number JAN. Date D.D. Drawn by V.M. Checked by A203 Scale as noted

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:





All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:



STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



Description Issued for Review Date **APR. 21/22** No.
 Issued for Review
 APR. 28/22

 Issued for Pre-Consultation Meeting
 MAY 06/22

 Issued for Review / Coordination
 MAY 31/22
 4 Issued for Official Plan Admendmentand Zoning By-Law AmendmentSept. 14/22 5 Location

Page Title Proposed Bui	Iding
Above Ground I	Parking
Project number	22003
Date	JAN.
Drawn by	D.D.
Checked by	V.M.
A204	•
Scale	as noted





All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:



STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



No.	Descript	tion	Date
1	Issued for	Review	APR. 21/22
2	Issued for	Review	APR. 28/22
3	Issued for Pre-Cons	ultation Meeting	MAY 06/22
4	Issued for Review	/ Coordination	MAY 31/22
5	Issued for Official PI	an Admendment	
	and Zoning By-La	w Amendment	Sept. 14/22
	·		·
ocatio	n		

Page Title Proposed Bui	Iding
Above Ground F	Parking
Project number	22003
Date	JAN.
Drawn by	D.D.
Checked by	V.M.
A205	
Scale	as noted





A206 SCALE 1 : 150

1

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:



STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



		-
No.	Description	Date
1	Issued for Review	APR. 21/22
2	Issued for Review	APR. 28/22
3	Issued for Pre-Consultation Meeting	MAY 06/22
4	Issued for Review / Coordination	MAY 31/22
5	Issued for Official Plan Admendment	
	and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept. 14/22
ocatio	n	

Page Title Proposed Building Office Floor Levels		
Project number	22003	
Date	JAN.	
Drawn by	D.D.	
Checked by	V.M.	
A20)6	
Scale	as noted	



[119'-11 7/8"]

1 A207





All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

S V

STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007



No.	Description	Date
1	Issued for Review	APR. 21/22
2	Issued for Review	APR. 28/22
3	Issued for Pre-Consultation Meeting	MAY 06/22
4	Issued for Review / Coordination	MAY 31/22
5	Issued for Official Plan Admendment	
	and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept. 14/22
Locatio	n	
N Л,	ad Llas EQ Ctaray D	

Mixed-Use 58-Storey Building 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2

Page Title

Proposed Building Residential Levels		
	515	
Project number	22003	
Date	JAN.	
Drawn by	D.D.	
Checked by	V.M.	
A207		
Scale	as noted	





໌ 1



BALCONY BALCONY BALCONY 5945.37mm [19'-6 1/8"] 0 UNIT 2 49.39 m2 (531.63 sq.ft.) EÌÆ E₽€V UNIT 1 ______ 67.35 m2 67.35 LOBBY STAIR #1 FIXÉ' -0-BALCONY 5 0

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

Construction North Description Issued for Review Date No. APR. 21/22 APR. 28/22 Issued for Review Issued for Pre-Consultation Meeting MAY 06/22 Issued for Review / Coordination MAY 31/22 Issued for Official Plan Admendmentand Zoning By-Law AmendmentSept. 14/22 Location Mixed-Use 58-Storey Building 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2 Page Title Proposed Building **Residential Levels** 22003 Project number JAN. Date D.D. Drawn by

A208

V.M.

as noted

STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON.

416-937-8007

Checked by

Scale

S \





THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

All material herein remains property of the architect noted below.



STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007

No.

1

 Description
 Date

 Issued for Official Plan Admendment
 and Zoning By-Law Amendment
 Sept. 14/22

Location Mixed-Use 58-Storey Building 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2

Page Title Proposed Building Roof Plan 22003 Project number JAN. Date D.D. Drawn by V.M. Checked by A209 Scale as noted

TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 58 (173.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 55 184.0	
LEVEL 54 161.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 51 152.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 50 149.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 49 146.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 46 137.0	
LEVEL 45 134.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 44 (131.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 42 125.0 TOP OF CONGRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 41 122.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 40 (119.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 39 (116.0)	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 37 110.0	
LEVEL 36 107.0	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 33 98.0	
LEVEL 32 95.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 31 (92.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 29 86.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 28 83.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 27 (80.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 24 71.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 23 68.0	
LEVEL 20 59.0	
LEVEL 19 56.0 TOP OF CONCRETE BLAB	
LEVEL 18 (53.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 15 44.0	
LEVEL 14 41.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 11 32.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 10 29.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 7 20.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	┝┥┝┽┝╼┤┝╼┥┝╼┥╎╞═┅╴╶╴
LEVEL 6 17.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 5 (14.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
LEVEL 3 8.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB	
EXISTING GROUND LEVEL 0.0 GEODETIC ELEVATION 103.00	
-	

 $1 \rightarrow PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION$







All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007

No.	Description	Date
1	Issued for Official Plan Admendment and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept 14/22
Loootio	- -	l
Mix	ed-Use 58-Storey Bu 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2	uilding
Page T	itle	
	Proposed	
	Elevations	
Project	number	22003
Date		JAN.
Drawn I	by	D.D.
Checke	d by	V.M.
	A300	

as noted

Scale

ROOF SLAB 176.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 56 167.0 LEVEL 55 164.0 LEVEL 54 161.0 LEVEL 53 158.0 LEVEL 52 155.0 LEVEL 51 152.0 LEVEL 50 149.0 LEVEL 49 146.0 LEVEL 48 143.0 LEVEL 47 140.0 LEVEL 46 137.0 LEVEL 45 134.0 LEVEL 44 131.0 LEVEL 43 128.0 LEVEL 42 125.0 LEVEL 41 122.0 LEVEL 40 119.0 LEVEL 39 116.0 LEVEL 38 113.0 LEVEL 37 110.0 LEVEL 36 107.0 LEVEL 35 104.0 LEVEL 34 101.0 LEVEL 33 98.0 LEVEL 32 95.0 LEVEL 31 92.0 LEVEL 30 89.0 LEVEL 29 86.0 LEVEL 28 83.0 LEVEL 27 80.0 LEVEL 26 77.0 LEVEL 25 74.0 LEVEL 24 71.0 LEVEL 23 68.0 LEVEL 22 65.0 LEVEL 21 62.0 LEVEL 20 59.0 LEVEL 19 56.0 LEVEL 18 53.0 LEVEL 17 50.0 LEVEL 16 47.0 LEVEL 15 44.0 LEVEL 14 41.0 LEVEL 13 38.0 LEVEL 12 35.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 11 32.0 LEVEL 10 29.0 LEVEL 9 26.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 8 23.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 7 20.0 LEVEL 6 17.0 LEVEL 5 14.0 LEVEL 4 11.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 3 8.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB EXISTING GROUND LEVEL 0.0 GEODETIC ELEVATION 103.00

		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 57 (170.0)
		LEVEL 56 (167.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 44 131.0
		LEVEL 43 128.0
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 41 122.0
_		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
[LEVEL 39 116.0
		LEVEL 37 (110.0)
_		LEVEL 36 (107.0)
[+		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 30 89.0
		LEVEL 29 86.0
		LEVEL 27 80.0
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_		LEVEL 25 74.0
		LEVEL 24 71.0
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
+		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
—————— H		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 20 59.0
		LEVEL 19 (56.0)
	<u>╷</u> ╷	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 14 41.0
		LEVEL 11 32.0
_		
_		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	<u>────────────────</u> ┤┤┤╢╎┤┤╎╎╎╵╵──┤	LEVEL 8 23.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
-		
		LEVEL 6 17.0
		LEVEL 5 14.0
		LEVEL 4 (11.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONGRETE SLAB
		GEODETIC ELEVATION (103.00)







All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

STUDIO VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007

No.	Description	andmont	Date
•	and Zoning By-Law Amen	dment	Sept 14/22
1			
	nd Lloo 50 Stor		ilding
Ινιιχ		зу Би	nung
	Oakville. Ontario L6	a J 2X2	
Page Ti	, tle		
0	Propose	d	
	Floyotion		
	Elevation	15	
Project	number		22003
Date			JAN.
Drawn b	у		D.D.
Checke	d by		V.M.
	A301	1	

as noted

Scale

ROOF SLAB 176.0 LEVEL 58 173.0 LEVEL 57 170.0 LEVEL 56 167.0 LEVEL 55 164.0 LEVEL 54 161.0 LEVEL 53 158.0 LEVEL 52 155.0 LEVEL 51 152.0 LEVEL 50 149.0 LEVEL 49 146.0 LEVEL 48 143.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 47 140.0 LEVEL 46 137.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 45 134.0 LEVEL 44 131.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 43 128.0 LEVEL 42 125.0 LEVEL 41 122.0 LEVEL 40 119.0 LEVEL 39 116.0 LEVEL 38 113.0 LEVEL 37 110.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 36 107.0 LEVEL 35 104.0 LEVEL 34 101.0 LEVEL 33 98.0 LEVEL 32 95.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 31 92.0 LEVEL 30 89.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 29 86.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLA LEVEL 28 83.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 27 80.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 26 77.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 25 74.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 24 71.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 23 68.0 LEVEL 22 65.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 21 62.0 LEVEL 20 59.0 LEVEL 19 56.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 18 53.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 17 50.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 16 47.0 LEVEL 15 44.0 LEVEL 14 41.0 LEVEL 13 38.0 LEVEL 12 35.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 11 32.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 10 29.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 9 26.0 LEVEL 8 23.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 7 20.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 6 17.0 LEVEL 5 14.0 LEVEL 4 11.0 LEVEL 3 8.0 LEVEL 2 5.0 EXISTING ROUND LEVEL 0.0 TIC ELEVATION 103.00



1 A302

PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION (PARTIAL) SCALE 1 : 200

ALUMINUM SCREEN MATCH MET-A2	
BAL-1 (TYP)	
FIN-H1	
FIN-H1	
MET-A1	
FIN-H1	

	LEVEL 33 98.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 32 95.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 31 92.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 30 89.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 29 86.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 28 83.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 27 80.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 26 77.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 25 74.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 24 71.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 23 68.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 22 65.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 18 53.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 16 47.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
ALUM. GUARD TO MATCH	LEVEL 15 44.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
<u>FIN-H1</u>	LEVEL 14 41.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
(TYP) W1/ DGL-1	LEVEL 13 38.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
(TYP)	LEVEL 12 35.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 11 32.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 8 23.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 7 20.0
	LEVEL 6 17.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 5 14.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 4 (11.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 3 8.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 2 5.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	EXISTING GROUND LEVEL 0.0
LUMINUM DOORS	GEODETIC ELEVATION 103.00

2 A302

PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION (PARTIAL) SCALE 1 : 200 All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:



S T U D I O VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007

No.	Description	Date
1	Issued for Official Plan Admendment	
	and Zoning By-Law Amendment	Sept 14/22
Locatio	1	

Page Title		
	Proposed	
	Elevations	
Project number		22003
Date		JAN.
Drawn by		D.D.
Checked by		V.M.
	A302	
Scale		as noted

6000		
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 58 173.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 57 170.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 56 167.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 55 164.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 53 158.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
MET-A1 (TYP)		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
W1/DGL-1		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
(TYP)		LEVEL 46 137.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 45 134.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 43 128.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	← ALUM. GUARD TO MATCH ← ← ← ←	LEVEL 42 125.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	FIN-H <u>1</u>	LEVEL 41 122.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	MET-A1 (TYP) 	LEVEL 40 119.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	DGL-1 (TYP)	LEVEL 39 116.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 38 113.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 37 110.0
		LEVEL 36 107.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 35 104.0
		LEVEL 34 101.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 33 98.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		LEVEL 32 95.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB



PROPOSED SOUTH (MAIN) ELEVATION - PARTIAL

A303 SCALE 1 : 200

´1







All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

	MATERIAL I	LEGEND	
	BAL-1	BALCONY SYSTEM, LAMINATED GLASS CLEAR	
	DGL-1	DOUBLE GLAZED UNIT CLEAR LOW-E COATING WITH ARGON FILL WITH BIRD FRIT	
	FIN-H1	ALUMINUM FIN: HORIZONTAL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A2	
	FIN-V1	ALUMINUM FIN: VERTICAL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A2	
	MET-A1	METAL ALUMINUM PANEL, FIRE RATED DURANAR COATING	
	MET-A2	UC550266 BRIGHT WHITE METAL ALUMINUM PANEL, FIRE RATED	
	SCR-1	UC126819XL PEARL GOLD	
	SCR-2	CUSTOM COLOURS TBC	
	SP-GL	COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A1	
	W1	WINDOW CURTAIN WALL COLOUR: TO MATCH MET-A2	
	WD-1	WOOD EXTERIOR SOFFIT EASTERN WHITE CEDAR	
	V-1	VENT-1 COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A1	
STUDI VERONIO 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	OONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	DONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. Provide Road, Etobicoke ON. Description De	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD 9 Advance 07	DONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. Period Road, Etobicoke ON. Description Des	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIG 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	DONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. Provide Road, Etobicoke ON. Description De	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD 9 Advance 07	OONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. a Road, Etobicoke ON. Description Description Description Description Dining By-Law Amendment Dining	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	DONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. a Road, Etobicoke ON. Description Description or Official Plan Admendment oning By-Law Amendment oning by-Law Amendment	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80 No. 1 Is 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	DONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. PROAD, Etobicoke ON. Description Description Description Description Difficial Plan Admendment Dining By-Law Amendment Dining By-Law Amendment	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80 No. 1 Is 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	OONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. a Road, Etobicoke ON. Description Description Or Official Plan Admendment Oning By-Law Amendment	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	DONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. a Road, Etobicoke ON. Description Description Dor Official Plan Admendment Doning By-Law Amendment	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	ONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. a Road, Etobicoke ON. Description or Official Plan Admendment oning By-Law Amendment oning By-Law Amendment See 58-Storey Bu 349 Davis Road kville. Ontario L6.1 2X2	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	PONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. Proposed	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	ONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. a Road, Etobicoke ON. Description or Official Plan Admendment oning By-Law Amendment See 58-Storey Bu 349 Davis Road kville, Ontario L6J 2X2 Proposed Elevations	Dat Sept 1
STUDI VERONIC 7 Colwyn R WorkCo., 3 416-937-80	O CA MAD oad, Toror 9 Advance 07	ONNA ARCHITECT nto ON. = Road, Etobicoke ON. Description or Official Plan Admendment oning By-Law Amendment se 58-Storey Bu 349 Davis Road kville, Ontario L6J 2X2 Proposed Elevations	

as noted

Scale

6000		
	 _	ROOF SLAB 176.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 58 173.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 57 170.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 56 167.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 55 164.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 54 161.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 53 158.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 52 155.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 51 152.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 	LEVEL 50 149.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 49 146.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 48 143.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 	LEVEL 47 140.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 46 137.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 45 134.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 44 131.0
	 _	LEVEL 43 128.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 	LEVEL 42 125.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 41 122.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 40 119.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 39 116.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 38 113.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 	LEVEL 37 110.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 36 107.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 35 104.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 34 101.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 	LEVEL 33 98.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	 _	LEVEL 32 95.0



SCALE 1 : 200

	ALUMINUM SCREEN MATCH MET-A2			
	BAL-1			
	FIN-H1		SP-GL (TYP)	
	BAL-1			
ALUM. GUARD TO MATCH MET-A2 FIN-H1	FIN-H1		SP-GL (TYP)	
MET-A1				
FIN-H1 BAL-1 (TYP)				
MET-A1			SP-GL (TYP)	

TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 32 95.0 LEVEL 31 92.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 27 (80.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 26 (77.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 25 74.0 LEVEL 24 71.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 23 (68.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 22 65.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB _____ LEVEL 19 (56.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 17 (50.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 14 41.0 LEVEL 13 38.0 LEVEL 12 35.0 LEVEL 11 32.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 10 29.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 9 26.0 LEVEL 8 23.0 ______ LEVEL 7 20.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 5 14.0 LEVEL 4 11.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB T DP OF CONCRETE SLAB

GEODETIC ELEVATION 103.00



All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

MATERIAL LEGEND BAL-1 BALCONY SYSTEM, LAMINATED GLASS CLEAR DGL-1 DOUBLE GLAZED UNIT CLEAR LOW-E COATING WITH ARGON FILL WITH BIRD FRIT FIN-H1 ALUMINUM FIN: HORIZONTAL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A2									
FIN-V1 ALUMINUM FIN: VERTICAL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A2 MET-A1 METAL ALUMINUM PANEL, FIRE RATED DURANAR COATING UC550266 BRIGHT WHITE MET-A2 METAL ALUMINUM PANEL, FIRE RATED DURANAR XL COATING UC126819XL PEARL GOLD SCR-1 SCREEN DYNAMIC WIND FACADE CUSTOM COLOURS TBC SCR-2 SCREEN LANDSCAPE WALL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A1 SP-GL SPANDREL GLASS W1 WINDOW CURTAIN WALL									
COLOUR: TO MATCH MET-A2 WD-1 WOOD EXTERIOR SOFFIT EASTERN WHITE CEDAR V-1 VENT-1 COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A1									
S T U D I O VERONICA MADONNA ARCHITECT 7 Colwyn Road, Toronto ON. WorkCo., 39 Advance Road, Etobicoke ON. 416-937-8007									
No. Description Date 1 Issued for Official Plan Admendment and Zoning By-Law Amendment Sept 14/22									
Location Mixed-Use 58-Storey Building 349 Davis Road Oakville, Ontario L6J 2X2									
Page Title Proposed Elevations Project number 22003 Date JAN. Drawn by D.D.									
Checked by V.M. A304									

as noted

Scale

_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 58 173.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	LEVEL 57 170.0
_	LEVEL 56 167.0
	LEVEL 55 164.0
_	LEVEL 54 161.0
_	LEVEL 53 158.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	LEVEL 52 155.0
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 47 140.0
_	LEVEL 46 137.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	LEVEL 45 134.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	LEVEL 39 (116.0)
	LEVEL 38 (113.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	LEVEL 37 (110.0)
_	LEVEL 36 (107.0)
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
_	LEVEL 32 / 95.0





	ALUMINUM SCREEN MATCH MET-A2	·					
		4.51 2 X.P					
		4.9 ⁴ 8 94				A. M. M.	
	BAL-1 (TYP)						
		4.55 20.0				<u></u>	
		1. A.					
		<u>4.57 2 2000</u>				1. A. B. B. B.	
		9.2 <u>2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 </u>					
						9.4.2.	
		4.50 ² 2000					
	FIN <u>-H1</u>	9.5 X 200					
						A. M. M.	
		9.20 × 10					
		<u>1.5 2 2.1 .</u>				45 2 20	
<u>F</u> IN	I- <u>H1</u>						wegang Eregan
FIN	I-H1 T-A1		-			9.00	
 	/ DGL-1 P)	A. A. A.					
BA (TY	 L-1 (P)					9.07 10 10	
FIN	 I-H1					4.50 m	
		.ter Erst.					
						9.0	

LEVEL 33 98.0 LEVEL 32 / 95.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 31 92.0 LEVEL 30 / 89.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 29 (86.0) LEVEL 28 83.0 LEVEL 27 80.0 LEVEL 26 / 77.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 25 74.0 LEVEL 24 (71.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 23 (68.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 22 65.0 LEVEL 21 62.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 20 59.0 LEVEL 19 (56.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 18 53.0 LEVEL 17 / 50.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 16 47.0 LEVEL 15 44.0 LEVEL 14 41.0 _____LEVEL 13 (38.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 12 / 35.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 11 (32.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 10 (29.0) TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 9 26.0 LEVEL 8 23.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB LEVEL 7 20.0 LEVEL 6 17.0 LEVEL 5 14.0 LEVEL 4 11.0 LEVEL 3 8.0 LEVEL 2 / 5.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB MET-A2 SLAT & S CLADDING & EXISTING GROUND LEVEL 0.0

MET-A2 SLAT CLADDING

GEODETIC ELEVATION (103.00)



All material herein remains property of the architect noted below. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. DRAWINGS MUST NOT BE SCALED. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNLESS COUNTERSIGNED BY:

	DGL-1	DOUBLE GLAZED UNIT CLEAR LOW-E COATING WITH ARGON FILL WITH BIRD FRIT	
	FIN-H1	ALUMINUM FIN: HORIZONTAL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A2	
	FIN-V1 MET-A1	ALUMINUM FIN: VERTICAL COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A2 METAL ALUMINUM PANEL, FIRE RATED	
	MET-A2	DURANAR COATING UC550266 BRIGHT WHITE METAL ALUMINUM PANEL, FIRE RATED	
	SCR-1	DURANAR XL COATING UC126819XL PEARL GOLD SCREEN DYNAMIC WIND FACADE	
	SCR-2	CUSTOM COLOURS TBC SCREEN LANDSCAPE WALL	
	SP-GL	COLOUR TO MATCH MET-A1 SPANDREL GLASS	
	W1	WINDOW CURTAIN WALL COLOUR: TO MATCH MET-A2	
	WD-1 V-1	VOOD EXTERIOR SOFFIT EASTERN WHITE CEDAR VENT-1	

STUD VERON	I O ICA MAE	JONNA ARCHITECT	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 1007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. ;e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Toro 39 Advanc 6007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Toro 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 2e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 2e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. >e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Toro 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 2e Road, Etobicoke ON.	
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Toro 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 22 Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 20 Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 2e Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. ce Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. ce Road, Etobicoke ON. Description for Official Plan Admendment Zoning By-Law Amendment	Date Sept 14
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. ce Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8 No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. ce Road, Etobicoke ON. Description for Official Plan Admendment Coning By-Law Amendment	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. be Road, Etobicoke ON. Description for Official Plan Admendment Zoning By-Law Amendment	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8 No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. se Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date Sept 14
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. ce Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date Sept 14
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 20 Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date Sept 14
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. 20 Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. se Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date Sept 14
STUD VERON 7 Colwyn WorkCo., 416-937-8	I O ICA MAE Road, Torc 39 Advanc 3007	DONNA ARCHITECT onto ON. be Road, Etobicoke ON.	Date

Scale

as noted

		 ROOF SLAB 176.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 58 173.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 57 170.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 56 167.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 55 164.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 54 161.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 53 158.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 52 155.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 51 152.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 50 149.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 49 146.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 48 143.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 47 140.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 46 137.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 45 134.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 44 131.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 43 128.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
<u> </u>	ALUM. GUARD TO MATCH	 LEVEL 42 125.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
	MET-A1	 LEVEL 41 122.0
9.5°	(TYP) — W1/ DGL-1 (TYP)	 LEVEL 40 119.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 39 116.0
		 LEVEL 38 113.0
2.20 × 100		 LEVEL 37 110.0
		 LEVEL 36 107.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 35 104.0
		 LEVEL 34 101.0
9.15 mp		 LEVEL 33 98.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB
		 LEVEL 32 95.0 TOP OF CONCRETE SLAB

349 Davis Road Landuse Compatibility Report

349 Davis Road, Oakville, ON.

Table B-1: List of Industrial and Non-Industrial Facilities Around the Proposed Development with Potential for Air/Noise Emissions

Map Icon Number	BUSINESS NAME	ADDRESS	TYPE OF APPROVAL/FACILITY/EQUIPMENT	APPROVAL / REGISTRATION NUMBER	Comment on Operations	Tall Stacks Present	Approximate Distance to Site (m)	D-6 Classification
1	Oaktown Collision Inc. / Assured Automotive	359 DAVIS RD	ECA-AIR	7087-698MPW	This site is small scale automotive refinishing facility with training centre. The site appears to be well contained and is located adjacent to an existing sensitive land uses, the Monte Carlo Inn and a place of worship both located at 374 South Service Road. The site yard is paved and has no storage of materials that could generate fugitive dust emissions therefore it does not have a significant potential for generating nuisance. The MECP permit for the site indicated the presence of two paint booths with a combined paint application rate of 2.5L per hour, prep stations with a combined primer application rate of 1.0L per hour. Based on satelite imagery these sources appear to be at the North East section of the facility. The facility ECA also indicates the presence of gas fired air make up units. Based on the scale of the site and type of operation and paint utilization rates, it was assigned a guideline D-6 Class I facility. The presence of HVAC equipment on the rooftop, given the expected large sound contributions from nearby roadways, is not expected to constitute a significant source of sound at the proposed development.	Ν	0 (Adjacent)	I
2	PWC	354 DAVIS RD	NA	NA	No MECP Permit available. Operation appears to be an office. Not industrial and does not appear to be a significant source of air quality emissions. Since the site is non-industrial it was not assigned a D-6 Classification. Aerial imagery shows a cooling tower and air make-up unit located on the rooftop. This equipment could be a significant source of sound at the proposed development, especially given the proximity to the proposed development. However, given the expected large sound contributions from nearby roadways, this facility not expected to constitute a significant source of sound at the proposed development.	Ν	40	NA
3	Balletomane	379 Davis RD	NA	NA	No MECP Permit available. Operation appears to be a dance studio. Not industrial and does not appear to be a significant source of air quality emissions. Since the site is non-industrial it was not assigned a D-6 Classification. Aerial imagery shows the presence of HVAC equipment on the rooftop which, given the expected large sound contributions from nearby roadways, is not expected to constitute a significant source of sound at the proposed development.	Ν	60	NA
4	Davis Road Booster Station	320 Davis RD	NA	NA	No MECP Permit available. Operation appears to be a water pumping station that may have a diesel fired standby generator. Not an industrial site and does not appear to be a significant source of air quality emissions. Given the expected large sound contributions from nearby roadways, the facility is not expected to constitute a significant source of sound at the proposed development.	Ν	35	I
NA	Carstar Corporate Collision	312 DAVIS RD	ECA-AIR	7167-5J3NC8	This site is no longer in operation at this address.	N	97	NA
Not Shown NA Not Shown	Centres Inc. 1555935 ONTARIO INC	547 TRAFALGAR RD	EASR-Automotive Refinishing Facility	R-001-2120692766	This site does not appear to be in operation at this location.The site now seems to be occupied by Enterprise Car Rental and a Bike shop. See below for more details.	N	151	NA
NA Not Shown	Enterprise Car Rental Agency and Gears Bike Shop	547 TRAFALGAR RD	NA	NA	Both of these sites appear to be used for commmercial purposes. These appear to be non industrial and as such was not assigned a classificaiton under Guideline D-6. The sites operations are not expected to generate any significant air quality emissions.	Ν	151	NA
NA Not Shown	Fresh Fields Markets, Inc.	301 CORNWALL RD	ECA-AIR	6505-7XWQ8C	This site does not appear to be in operation at this location. The site now seems to be occupied by a grocery store and a few other food related retailers. See below for more details.	Ν	319	NA
NA Not Shown	Whole Foods Market incuding: WFM Coffee Bar Buda Juice Oakville Pizza Oakville Sandwhich	301 CORNWALL RD	NA	NA	All of these sites are non-industrial and as such are not classified under Guideline D-6.	Ν	319	NA
NA Not Shown	The TDL Group Corp./Groupe TDL Corporation	111 Cross Ave	ECA-AIR	0356-78RL63	This site is no longer present at this address. The property is now occupied by a Tim Hortons.	Ν	617	NA
NA Not Shown	Ivanhoe Cambridge II Inc.	240 Leighland Ave	ECA-AIR	5755-6JSMEW	This site is the location of shopping mall known as Oakville Place. Ivanoe Cambridge may not be operating/owning this property currently as there are indications that it was sold to a real estate income trust. The site has an MECP ECA for HVAC equipment and emergency generator sets. Since the site is not industrial it is not classified uder Guideline D-6.	Ν	248	NA
NA Not Shown	SEARS CANADA INC	240 Leighland Ave	EASR-Heating System	R-003-1850969650	This ECA is for a Sears Store in the Oakville Place shopping mall. Sears Canada closed operations in Ontario and the store at this location is no longer existing.	N	248	NA

RWDI# 2203169

349 Davis Road Landuse Compatibility Report

349 Davis Road, Oakville, ON.

Table B-1: List of Industrial and Non-Industrial Facilities Around the Proposed Development with Potential for Air/Noise Emissions

Map Icon Number	BUSINESS NAME	ADDRESS	TYPE OF APPROVAL/FACILITY/EQUIPMENT	APPROVAL / REGISTRATION NUMBER	Comment on Operations	Tall Stacks Present	Approximate Distance to Site (m)	D-6 Classification
NA Not Shown	PRR TRUST	240 Leighland Ave	EASR-Heating System	R-003-7842266607	This site is the location of the Oakville Place shopping mall. Since the site is not industrial it is not classified uder Guideline D-6. The company named in the EASR for this site PRR Trust may no longer be operating at this location as there are indiations that Oakville Place is now owned by RioCan Real Estate Investment Trust. Based on the prior ECA and EASR for this location this site is likely to have comfort heating equipment and emergency generator set(s) however these are not likely to have significant air emissions impacts.	N	248	NA
NA Not Shown	General Electric Canada Inc.	420 SOUTH SERVICE RD E	ECA-AIR / ECA-AIR	4582-5NEPZL 5876-85ULQH	This was the location of GE lamp facility. It no longer exists at this location. Apart from one, all other buildings appear to have been demolished and the lot is vacant for the most part.		156	NA
NA Not Shown	HILLSCO CONTRACTING GROUP INC.	482 SOUTH SERVICE RD E	EASR-Waste Management System	R-004-1111953764	Facility is a non-industrial Waste Management System storage yard for a truck that collects, handles, transports and transfers non-hazardous solid industrial waste. Facility permit requires that no waste is stored at the truck storage yard. Site has no potential for dust emissions. This is a parking site for a fleet vehicle and is not a source of any air emissions; therefore this site is not expected to cause adverse air quality impacts at the subject lands.	N	490	I
NA Not Shown	Cogeco Cable Canada Inc.	574 CHARTWELL RD	ECA-AIR	3630-7LZLYQ	This site is no longer present at this address. The site appears to be used as either a retail liquidation outlet or as a parking lot for a home building company. Presnnt uses at the site appear to be non-industrial.	N	615	NA
NA Not Shown	QEW Collision Centre	1021 INDUSTRY ST	EASR-Automotive Refinishing Facility	R-001-3257141772	This site is no longer present at this location. It is now occupied by a non industrial site, Gourmet Craft and Catering.	N	846	NA
NA Not Shown	Henniges Automotive Schlegel Canada Inc.	514 South Service Rd	ECA-AIR	3799-9G2KVB	This site is no longer present at this location. The property is now occupied by a an office building that is non industrial in nature.	N	739	NA
NA Not Shown	1257707 Ontario Limited	501 North Service Rd E	ECA-AIR	1902-79RK4R	Site is a car dealership (Oakville Honda) with an MECP approval for a automotive refinishing operation with a facility wide solvent based coating usage of 3.5L per hour. The site is well contained with no outdoor storage of materials that could generate nuisance dust or odour emissions. Given the scale of the facility and the relatively low coatings utilization rate this site was assessed as a D-6 Class 1 Facility.	N	623	I
NA Not Shown	1257707 Ontario Limited	500 IROQUOIS SHORE ROAD	EASR-Automotive Refinishing Facility	R-001-3283371845	This site appears to part of the Oakville Honda Operation. See above for details.	N	623	I
NA Not Shown	Wellspring Pharmaceutical Canada Corp. (currently Ani Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.)	400 IROQUOIS SHORE RD	ECA-AIR	8569-9HCQ5D	This site is no longer present at the current location.	N	495	NA
NA Not Shown	Ani Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc. (formerly Wellspring Pharmaceutical Canada Corp.)	400 IROQUOIS SHORE RD	NA	NA	This appears to be a small scale well contained pharmaceutical manufacturing operation. The property is for sale as per advertising on a commercial real estate web page: https://www.cresa.com/Locations/North-America/Ontario-Canada/Toronto-ON/Subleases-and-Sales/FOR-SALE 400-Iroquois-Shore-Road-Oakville-ON The current operation on site is assumed to be existing until the prospective sale is completed. Based on a review of equipment and exhausts on the facility roof it does not appear to have a very intense industrial operation. On this basis it was assigned as a D-6 I facility.	Ν	495	1
NA Not Shown	LEGEND KITCHEN AND BATH STUDIO INC.	505 IROQUOIS SHORE RD	EASR-Air Emissions	R-010-2110933912	This site may be operating as Eureka Kitchen and Bath. It appears to be a small to medium scale wood product manufacturer that is located within an industrial/commercial mall. The site has an MECP EASR which approves wood working operations as well as two spray booths. The EASR did not require BMPPs for dust or odour which indicates the operation is not a source of significant dust or odour emissions. Based on the scale of the site and a review of satellite imagery, it appears that this site does not appear to have significant industrial output. On this basis it was assigned as a D-6 Class I facility.	Ν	821	1

Notes:

[1] Unless mentioned otherwise distances are measured from parcel to parcel.

RWDI# 2203169