

1280 Dundas Street West Town of Oakville

Delmanor West Oak Development

Functional Servicing Study

FINAL

December 17, 2021



Prepared for: Delmanor West Oak Inc.

1280 Dundas Street West

Town of Oakville

Delmanor West Oak Development

Functional Servicing Study FINAL

Delmanor West Oak Inc.

This document is protected by copyright and was prepared by R.V. Anderson Associates Limited for the account of Delmanor West Oak Inc. It shall not be copied without permission. The material in it reflects our best judgment in light of the information available to R.V. Anderson Associates Limited at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. R.V. Anderson Associates Limited accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.



RVA 195028 December 17, 2021

1280 Dundas Street West Functional Servicing Study

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1						
2.0	BACK	ACKGROUND1					
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Existir Propo Backg	ng Conditions1 sed Redevelopment				
3.0	WATE	ER SER	VICING				
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Water Existir Propo	Servicing Criteria				
		3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4	Domestic Water Demand Analysis				
4.0	SANI	FARY S	ERVICING9				
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Sanitary Servicing Criteria					
		4.3.1 4.3.2	Sanitary Demand Analysis10 Proposed Sanitary Service Connection10				
			4.3.2.1 Review of Alternatives104.3.2.2 External Servicing114.3.2.3 Internal Servicing12				
		4.3.3	Capacity of Existing Sanitary System12				
5.0	STOR		VICING12				
	5.1 5.2	Storm Servicing Criteria12 Existing Storm Servicing					
		5.2.1 5.2.2	Existing Storm Sewer Systems				
	5.3	Post-D	Development Storm Drainage16				
		5.3.1 5.3.2	Proposed Storm Outlet				
			5.3.2.1 Stormwater Management Criteria17 5.3.2.2 Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan				
		5.3.3	Rate Control and Preliminary Detention Storage Volumes20				

5.3.4 5.3.5	Erosion Control Water Balance	20 21
	5.3.5.1 Groundwater Levels and Infiltration Rates5.3.5.2 Groundwater Levels and Infiltration Rates5.3.5.3 Groundwater Levels and Infiltration Rates	22 22 24
5.3.6	Water Quality	25
6.0 CONCLUSIO	N	25
LIST OF FIGURES Figure 2-1 – Site Loca	ation	3

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1 – Proposed Water Demand	6
Table 3-2 – Estimated Fire Demands	6
Table 3-3 – Hydrant Flow Test Results	8
Table 4-1 – Proposed Sanitary Demand	10
Table 5-1 – Existing 2-Yr to 100-Yr Peak Flows	15
Table 5-2 - Outlet P1 - Preliminary Detention Storage Volumes and Peak Flows	to the
West Valley Feature	20
Table 5-3 – Pre-Development Infiltration Factor	23
Table 5-4 – Post-Development Infiltration Factor	23
Table 5-5 – Water Balance Calculation (Pervious Areas)	24

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - Architectural Plans and Background Information
APPENDIX B – Water Demand Calculations
APPENDIX C – Sanitary Demand Calculations
APPENDIX D – Hydrogeological Information
APPENDIX E – Civil Drawings
-

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Delmanor West Oak Inc. (the Owner) is proposing the development of a seniors' living facility located at 1280 Dundas Street West in the Town of Oakville (the Site) known as the Delmanor West Oak development.

R.V. Anderson Associates Limited (RVA) has been retained by the Owner to prepare a Functional Servicing Study (FSS) in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBA) application for the proposed site development.

The scope of this report specifically includes:

- A summary of the existing property and the proposed development;
- Identification and review of existing Municipal storm, sanitary and water infrastructure available to service the Site;
- Identification of the Town of Oakville and/or Halton Region criteria with respect to sanitary, water and storm servicing for the redevelopment of the Site;
- Calculation of water, sanitary and storm demands that will result from the redevelopment;
- A review of the capacity of the existing municipal water supply system and sewer collection system;
- Provide a summary of proposed servicing of the site with water, sanitary and storm services;
- Identification of any proposed Municipal infrastructure upgrades to support the development; and
- Provide a summary of the stormwater management approach for the proposed development.

2.0 BACKGROUND

2.1 Existing Conditions

The proposed Site is located on a property comprised of the St. Volodymyr Cultural Centre (SVCC) and the St. Volodymyr cemetery, located south of Dundas Street West immediately west of Sixteen Mile Creek, with the municipal address 1280 Dundas Street West in the Town of Oakville. Specifically, the Site is located within a vacant/ undeveloped portion of the St. Volodymyr property located to the north of the cemetery

and east of SVCC. The subject Site will be formed through a severance of the St. Volodymyr property.

The subject of this report is the proposed severed block of land to be developed as a seniors' living centre. The Site is approximately 4.6 hectares in size based on the proposed severance line.

As a result, the location of the Site can be described as being bound by Fourth Line along its north and east limits, with Sixteen Mile Creek located further to the east of Fourth Line, roughly parallel to the east side of the Site. A naturalized environmental area defined as the West Valley Feature has been identified and is located south of the Site which is under the jurisdiction of Conservation Halton. Finally, an existing private driveway located on the SVCC property leading from Fourth Line through to the cemetery defines the limit of the west side of the site.

The Site is comprised primarily of open grass field used for miscellaneous storage of equipment associated with SVCC.

Refer to Figure 2-1 below for the Site location.



Figure 2-1 – Site Location

2.2 Proposed Redevelopment

The proposed seniors' living facility will be comprised of one Main Senior's Building located along the north and east limits of the Site with four ancillary buildings (blocks) housing Independent Living Units (ILUs) positioned generally along the western limit of the Site. The Main Senior's Building's built form will consist of two eight-storey towers, with a 3-storey high podium with a roof-top amenity terrace between them. Each ILU Block will be one storey with multiple units per building.

Vehicular access into the Site will be from a driveway located generally at the northwest corner of the Site from Fourth Line. This driveway will continue into the Site as a private roadway and fire route generally in a north-south configuration. This roadway will be located along the frontage of the four (4) ILU Blocks providing vehicular access to these units. A second private roadway and fire route will provide vehicular access to the Main

As mentioned in Section 2.1, there is an existing environmental feature that projects into the south side of the site. This environmental feature, herein referred to as the West Valley Feature (WVF), is regulated by Conservation Halton, and effectively establishes the south limit of the site and the limits of disturbance to the existing natural features. The proposed site plan illustrates the setbacks from the top of banks and environmental features (refer to drawings in Appendix A).

These setbacks, which include Long Term Stable Top of Slope (LTSTS) setbacks and tree protection zones (TPZ) effectively establish the limit of impact as a result of the Development. This impacted limit is roughly 3.3ha and will be the subject of this Functional Servicing Study.

2.3 Background and Resource Information

In preparation of this report, the following information has been obtained and reviewed:

- Regional Municipality of Halton Design Guidelines & Manuals (April 2019 Version 4.0);
- The Regional Municipality of Halton By-Law No. 71-19;
- The Regional Municipality of Halton By-Law No. 184-95;
- Town of Oakville Development Engineering Procedures and Guidelines (downloaded June 2020);
- Record Drawings:
 - Dundas Street West Drawing O-19034 (dated September 2012)
 - Dundas Street West Drawing O-19233-19235 (dated December 2012)
 - Dundas Street West Drawing O-19056-19057 (dated January 2009)
 - Dundas Street West Drawing O-19255 & O-19260 (dated December 2012)
 - Wooden Hill Circle Drawing No. 5, 8 & 9 Regional File No. DO-517 (dated August 2013)
- Topographic Survey of Part of 1280 Dundas Street West Town of Oakville Regional Municipality of Halton prepared by J.D. Barnes Limited dated June 26th, 2020;
- Site plan and project statistics prepared by Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated December 17th, 2021;

- Hydrant flow testing data provided by Lozzi Aqua Check dated June 26th, 2020;
- Site visit undertaken in June 2020. The site visit included a general examination of the existing site to observe surface features that are representative of the underground servicing, current surface drainage, and to gather additional relevant information. Photos were taken of the entire site and the perimeter in order to document the pre-development conditions; and
- Sub-surface utility engineering investigation completed by Telecon Design dated January 29th, 2020.

3.0 WATER SERVICING

3.1 Water Servicing Criteria

The Regional Municipality of Halton's Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual (Version 5 – October 2019) was used to estimate the water demand from the proposed development. The Region's criteria are generally summarized as follows:

- Water supply systems should be designed to satisfy the greater of maximum day demand plus fire flow or peak hour demand;
- Average domestic water demands of 0.275 m³ per capita per day; and
- Maximum day and peak hour factors for residential are 2.25 and 4.00, respectively.

3.2 Existing Water Servicing

Based on the Region's records, there are no existing local distribution watermains along the municipal frontage of the Site. Based on Region's record drawings, there is a 1200 mm Ø concrete pressure pipe located along the north boulevard of Dundas Street West.

With respect to the nearby residential subdivision located west of the SVCC site, a 200 mm Ø watermain network services the subdivision, which includes the nearby Glenayr Gate and Wooden Hill Circle roadways. A 150 mm diameter watermain services the residential subdivision located south of the natural environmental area south of the Site.

Although there are no local distribution watermains located along Fourth Line and Dundas Street West along the frontage of the Site, records provided by the SVCC property owner indicate that the existing SVCC building is serviced off of the 200 mm diameter distribution watermain located on Glenayr Gate onto which it has frontage.

There are no existing fire hydrants present within the vicinity of the Site.

3.3 Proposed Water Servicing

3.3.1 Domestic Water Demand Analysis

The total estimated daily flow rates, maximum day and peak hour demand rates required for the proposed development were calculated in accordance with the Region of Halton Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual (October 2019, Version 5).

As mentioned, the proposed site will be comprised of an eight-storey Main Senior's Building and four (4) ancillary buildings housing Independent Living Units (ILUs). The estimated equivalent population from the proposed development was calculated based on population densities, as per Table 2-1 of the Region's Linear Design Manual. A population density of 285 and 135 persons per hectare of ground floor area was used to calculate the population for the apartment building and the ILU blocks, respectively.

The proposed water demands are summarized in Table 3-1 below.

	Average Day Demand (L/s)	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
TOTAL	2.9	6.4	11.5

Table 3-1 – Proposed Water Demand

Refer to Appendix B for water demand calculations.

3.3.2 Fire Flow Analysis

As per the Region's Linear Design Manual, fire flows were calculated based on the *Water Supply for Public Fire Protection Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS)*. Calculations using FUS indicate a maximum governing fire flow of 333.3 L/s (19,998 L/min). Table 3-2 below summarizes the fire demands from the proposed buildings on the Site.

	Estimated Fire Demand (L/s)
Apartment Building*	333.3
ILU – Block 1**	150.0
ILU – Block 2**	200.0
ILU – Block 3**	133.3
ILU – Block 4**	150.0
GOVERNING FIRE FLOW	333.3

Table 3-2 – Estimated Fire Demands

*Based on non-combustible construction type and a sprinkler system conforming to NFPA standards

**Based on ordinary construction type

Page 7

Fire flows were calculated separately for the Apartment building, and each of the four buildings housing independent living units. As described in Section 3.1, the water supply system should be designed to satisfy the greater of peak hour demand or maximum day demand plus fire flow. Therefore, the maximum day demand plus fire flow rate (i.e., 6.4 L/s + 333.3 L/s = 339.8 L/s (20,387 L/min)) is the governing requirement.

3.3.3 Proposed Watermain Service Connections

As mentioned in Section 3.2, there are no local distribution watermains available to service the Site. As such, discussions with the Region and the Town were initiated in November 2019 to discuss potential water servicing options. In summary, three preliminary water servicing options were discussed with the Region and the Town which included:

<u>Option 1</u> – Private watermain installed through the SVCC property on easement and connected to the 200 mm Ø watermain located on Glenayr Gate;

<u>Option 2</u> – Proposed Regional local distribution watermain installed along Fourth Line and directly connected to the 1200 mm \emptyset transmission main located on Dundas Street West; and

<u>Option 3</u> – Proposed watermain (or water service) installed south through the Natural Environmental Lands and connecting to the local distribution watermain located within the residential subdivision located on Fourth Line.

Refer to Figure #1 located in Appendix A for an illustration of Servicing Options #1 - #3.

In summary, Options 1 and 3 were rejected by the Region. With respect to Option 2, the Region advised that a new distribution watermain would have to be looped in order to mitigate stagnant water. Consequently, the proposed layout for the new watermain would connect to the existing 1200 mm Ø transmission main, opposite the site, crossing Dundas Street West to Fourth Line where it would then run west along Fourth Line and Dundas Street West, ultimately connecting to the existing 200 mm Ø watermain located on Wooden Hill Circle.

With respect to the proposed connection to the 1200 mm Ø transmission main, the Sixteen Mile Creek Final Environmental Implementation Report and Functional Servicing Study for Graydon Banning and Martillac Estates Inc., North Oakville, dated March 1, 2020 (prepared by Stoneybrook Consulting Inc., Bird and Hale Limited, R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited, Aqualogic and Stantec Consulting Ltd.) indicates a required interconnection to the transmission main generally located at the Fourth Line and Dundas Street intersection. Consequently, it is proposed to incorporate that contemplated interconnection into the design.

As per Region Standards, a proposed fire line will service the Site from the new Regional watermain located on Fourth Line. A domestic service will tee off the fire line and enter the Site separately from the fire line. Both the fire and domestic service will enter into the Main Senior's Building where there will be a mechanical room that will house the water meter and associated fire detector assembly. The fire service will exit the main apartment building, where it will service fire hydrants spaced around the Site to provide fire protection in accordance with OBC requirements. Two remotely located fire department connections (siamese connections) will be provided for the main apartment building located within 45 m of a fire hydrant in accordance with NFPA standards. The domestic line will also exit the main Senior's Building after passing through the water meter where it will then service the ancillary ILU blocks.

The domestic and fire services will be sized and detail designed at the Site Plan Approval stage of the project. Please refer to the *Conceptual General Site Servicing Drawing* and Plan and Profile drawings (PP1 and PP2) in Appendix D for conceptual/preliminary details of the proposed water servicing.

3.3.4 Capacity of Existing Watermain System

Hydrant flow tests were performed by Lozzi Aqua Check on June 26th, 2020. The test hydrant locations are as follows:

- 1. Existing hydrant connected to the existing 200 mm Ø distribution watermain located on Wooden Hill Circle adjacent to property 2457 Wooden Hill Circle; and
- 2. Existing hydrant connected to the existing 1200 mm Ø transmission watermain located at the northeast corner of the Dundas Street West and Proudfoot Trail intersection.

The results of the hydrant flow tests are summarized in Table 3-3 below:

Hydrant Location	Theoretical Flow at 20 PSI (L/s)	
Wooden Hill Circle	288.4	
Dundas Street West	551.0	

Table 3-3 – Hydrant Flow Test Results

The hydrant test on Dundas Street West is greater than the maximum day demand plus fire flow demand from the Site.

Refer to Appendix B for the hydrant flow test results.

A watermain network analysis will be undertaken for the proposed watermain, which will be submitted to the Region for review in conjunction with detailed design drawings to support the hydraulic design of the proposed Regional watermain in accordance with Region of Halton Design Standards.

4.0 SANITARY SERVICING

4.1 Sanitary Servicing Criteria

The Regional Municipality of Halton's Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual (Version 5 – October 2019) was used to analyze the sanitary demand from the proposed development. The Region's criteria are generally summarized as follows:

- Average domestic residential sewage flows of 0.275 m³pcd (275 litres per capita per day);
- The peak domestic sewage flow to be calculated by utilizing a calculated Modified Harmon Peaking Factor of $[M = K_{av} * (1 + 14 / (4+(P+P_e)^{0.5})]$, where minimum permissible value of M is 2.0; and
- For drainage areas that do not include existing developed areas, the inflow/infiltration allowance shall be 0.286 x 10⁻³ m³/ha/s for all types of land use.

4.2 Existing Sanitary Servicing

Based on the Region's records, there are no existing local sanitary sewers located along the municipal and regional frontage of the Site. Based on Region record drawings, there are twin 750 mm Ø sanitary force mains located on Dundas Street West along the frontage of the site. The twin force mains convey sewage in a westward direction where it discharges into a sanitary chamber east of the Dundas Street West and Proudfoot Trail intersection. Sewage is then conveyed via a 1200 mm Ø trunk sewer in a westward direction. There is an existing 450mm Ø sanitary "stub" sewer located approximately 270 m west of the development site that is connected perpendicular to the 1200 mm Ø trunk sewer. This stub was installed to collect sanitary drainage from the development lands on the north side of Dundas Street.

Although there are no local sanitary sewers along Fourth Line and Dundas Street West within the frontage of the Site, records provided by the SVCC property owner indicate that the existing SVCC building is serviced from the 200 mm Ø sanitary sewer located on Glenayr Gate onto which it has frontage.

4.3 **Proposed Sanitary Servicing**

4.3.1 Sanitary Demand Analysis

The total estimated dry weather flows, infiltration allowance, and peak sanitary flows for the proposed development were calculated in accordance with the Region of Halton Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual (October 2019, Version 5).

The estimated equivalent population from the proposed development was calculated based on population densities as per Table 3-1 of the Region's Linear Design Manual. A population density of 285 and 135 persons per hectare of ground floor area was used to calculate the population for the apartment building and the ILU blocks, respectively.

Based on a per capita demand of 0.275 m³/capita/day, the proposed development will result in an estimated total peak sanitary flow rate of 10.97 L/s. This represents an estimated increase of approximately 9.65 L/s over the existing estimated infiltration allowance from the Site in the existing (pre-development) condition.

The estimated breakdown of peak sanitary discharge from the redevelopment is summarized in Table 4-1.

	Peak Flow (L/s)	
Residential	10.97	
Infiltration Allowance	1.32	
TOTAL	12.29	

Table 4-1 – Proposed Sanitary Demand

Refer to Appendix C for sanitary demand calculations.

4.3.2 Proposed Sanitary Service Connection

4.3.2.1 Review of Alternatives

As mentioned in Section 4.2, there are no local sanitary sewers available to service the Site. Similar to water servicing, discussions with the Region and the Town were initiated in November 2019 to discuss a potential sanitary servicing approach to service the development. In summary, three preliminary servicing options were discussed with the Region and the Town which included:

<u>Option 1</u> – Private force main installed through the SVCC property on easement and connected to the Regional sanitary sewer located on Glenayr Gate;

<u>Option 2</u> – Proposed gravity sewer installed along both Fourth Line and Dundas Street West right-of-ways (ROWs) to discharge into the existing maintenance hole (MH) chamber (roughly 270 m west of Dundas Street West/Fourth Line intersection); and

<u>Option 3</u> – Proposed force main installed south through the Natural Environmental Lands and connecting to the Regional sanitary sewer located within the residential subdivision located on Fourth Line.

The Region was not in favour of Options 1 and 3 for similar reasons as mentioned in the Water Servicing section. Consequently, Option 2 was concluded to be the most suitable approach to service the site.

4.3.2.2 External Servicing

The challenge associated with a gravity sewer is the required slope of the pipe to physically achieve a connection to the existing gravity sewer on Dundas Street West. The proposed 200 mm Ø Regional sewer is required to be installed at 0.32%. The upstream terminus of the sewer will be within Dundas Street West on the south half of the roadway. The sewer will continue to the west within Dundas Street West (south of and parallel to the existing twin 750 mm Ø force mains) where it will then cross Dundas Street by crossing below the existing twin 750mm Ø force mains. The sewer will continue in a westward direction parallel to the force mains, where it will then discharge into an existing segment of 450 mm Ø sanitary sewer. This 450 mm Ø force mains outlet into. This sanitary chamber in which the twin 750 mm Ø force mains and the existing segment of 450 mm Ø sanitary sewer. This connection location is approximately 150 m east of Proudfoot Trail. The length of the proposed new sanitary sewer within the Municipal ROW is approximately 385 m.

A single sanitary service connection will extend from the upstream terminus of the new sanitary sewer to the Fourth Line property line of the Site. As Fourth Line is lower in elevation than Dundas Street West, the cover of the service connection at the property line is limited to a minimum 1.2 m frost cover. This minimum depth of service connection is, in fact, the basis of setting the gradient of the entire new sewer. It is, however, noted that the relatively shallow depth of cover is only associated with the service connection itself, and not the proposed sewer within Dundas Street West.

The proposed 200 mm Ø sanitary sewer will have sufficient capacity to convey the estimated total sanitary flow from the site of 12.29 L/s at a velocity of 0.63 m/s.

Please refer to Plan and Profile Drawings PP1 and PP2 in Appendix E for details of the proposed municipal sanitary sewer.

4.3.2.3 Internal Servicing

In accordance with The Region of Halton requirements, a new sanitary service is required for each property. Since the proposed development will be comprised of a single ownership, a single sanitary service connection is proposed to service the Site. The sanitary service will be connected to the proposed 1200 mm Ø Municipal MH on Fourth Line. A "control" or inspection MH placed near the property line will be the terminus of the service connection, as per Region of Halton requirements.

As mentioned in the previous section, the proposed service connection will be relatively shallow, only having 1.2 m of frost cover. As a result, it will not be possible to achieve a gravity connection to the buildings within the proposed development. Consequently, a private pumping station will be constructed on the site. Private sanitary building sewers will convey sanitary sewerage from the Main Senior's Building and the four (4) ILU Blocks to this pumping station. The pumping station and associated private force main will then lift the sewage to the sanitary control MH and service connection at the property line.

The proposed regional 200 mm Ø sanitary sewer @ 0.32% has an 80% full conveyance capacity of approximately 15 L/s. Hence, the pumping station will be designed to convey the flows to be less than 15 L/s to conform with the Region of Halton requirements.

The pumping station will be detailed designed at the site plan stage of the project.

4.3.3 Capacity of Existing Sanitary System

In relation to the proposed development, there was communication with the Region in May 2020 for the purpose of understanding any capacity-related constraints related to the new proposed sanitary sewer. In consideration that the downstream outlet for the proposed sanitary sewer is a recently designed trunk sewer and the contributing flows from the proposed development are relatively small, it is not anticipated that there should be any capacity concerns. Therefore, at this stage in the design process, it is our understanding that the Region is to advise of any further concerns with downstream capacity.

5.0 STORM SERVICING

5.1 Storm Servicing Criteria

Storm servicing criteria are to meet the standards set by Town of Oakville Development Engineering Procedures & Guidelines Manual. The criteria are generally summarized as follows:

- Storm runoff shall be controlled to local constraints of receiving systems, established watershed study, MTO guidelines, existing sewer capacity, pre to post where capacity unknown or history of flooding or erosion;
- Where practical, sites shall be designed with a sewer network capable of capturing the 5-year event. If sewers are not possible, surface drainage reaches shall be limited to 50 m;
- Sites shall be self contained unless part of a previous master drainage scheme. Major overland flow relief to a right-of-way shall be reviewed for impacts;
- Storm water can be detained by the following storage methods; landscape ponds, oversized pipes, underground tanks, roof tops, and some hard surface areas;
- Frequent events up to the 5-year level shall not be stored on paved surfaces; this nuisance ponding interferes with operation of site access;
- Quality treatment of storm water is required. The level of treatment is to be determined per the receiving system. Wet ponds, oil grit separators and landscape filter strips are acceptable methods;
- All storm sewer structures are to comply with OPSD specifications and adhere to the requirements of the Ontario Building Code;
- Quality and Quantity control devices shall be located at the property line for municipal access; if not possible, easements may be required (these private facilities shall be operated and maintained by the property owner); and
- Existing external drainage shall be accommodated without impacts to upstream lands.

Furthermore, consultation with Conservation Halton will also be required to establish Site specific stormwater management criteria at the Site Plan stage of the Development.

5.2 Existing Storm Servicing

5.2.1 Existing Storm Sewer Systems

Based on the Region's records, there are existing storm sewers in the vicinity of the site. They are summarized as follows:

• Municipal storm sewers located within Fourth Line, which convey drainage from the St. Volodymyr's Parking Lot and the Fourth Line ROW. This storm sewer discharges to a 600 mm Ø sewer located on Dundas Street West which discharges to Sixteen Mile Creek;

- A 525 mm and 675 mm Ø Storm Sewer on Dundas Street West, which discharges to the Sixteen Mile Creek; and
- A 450 mm Ø Storm Sewer along Glenayr Gate, which collects drainage from the Wooden Hill Circle subdivision and discharges to Sixteen Mile Creek Tributary.

A sub-surface utility engineering (SUE) investigation completed in November 2019 revealed additional storm sewers located along Fourth Line, east of the proposed site. These were found to convey drainage collected by a ditch inlet catchbasin on the west side of Fourth Line. These storm sewers converge with the storm sewers located on Fourth Line along the north side of the Site and ultimately discharge to the 600 mm Ø storm sewer located on Dundas Street West. Records of these additional storm sewers were unable to be obtained from either the Town or the Region.

5.2.2 Pre-Development Storm Drainage

As discussed previously in the report, the Site has an overall impacted limit which can be generally described as the greater of the limit of either the property line, 7.5m Long Term Stable Top of Slope (LTSTS) Buffer or the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) fence line. As such, the area within this limit has been considered as the limit of impact with respect to stormwater management. Following the development of the Site, areas outside of this impacted limit but still inside the overall legal property line will remained untouched and, inherently, the post-development hydrology will match the pre-development hydrology. Therefore, these areas are not considered in the overall SWM Plan. Please refer to drawing *Pre-Development STM Drainage Area Plan* Drawing No. *PRE-STM*.

The existing Site drainage can generally be divided into four (4) drainage catchment areas and three drainage outlets. These are generally described as follows:

- Outlet A: Drainage overland (minor and major events) which outlets to the West Valley Feature (WVF) located along the south limits of the Site. The West Valley Feature protrudes into the Site as a drainage draw and has been identified as an environmental feature. This drainage feature continues generally in a South direction where it conveys drainage to Glenayr Creek which is a tributary to Sixteen Mile Creek.
- Outlet B: Drainage overland (minor and major events) towards an existing pond located at the north end of the site adjacent to the Fourth Line ROW. Based on the findings of the Project Environmental Consultant, the existing pond was presumed to be an on-line pond in the past, within a larger drainage catchment that extended further north of Dundas Street West. With the urbanization of Dundas Street West and the Fourth Line adjacent to the pond, it no longer serves as a basin that

captures runoff from an upstream catchment and, as such, it has not been identified as an environmental feature to remain.

Furthermore, an SUE investigation revealed that a 400 mm Ø steel culvert from the Pond to the West Valley Feature exists, however, roughly halfway between the Pond and WVF, this pipe was observed to be abandoned/plugged, concluding that no significant minor drainage, beyond a very low flow, discharges from the Pond Basin to the WVF.

Lastly, a review was undertaken that concluded the Pond Basin has enough depression storage capacity to accept the volume from major storm events up to the 100-yr design storm. Therefore, the pond basin catchment was not considered to contribute appreciable surface runoff to the WVF even during major storm events.

- Outlet C: Drainage overland (minor and major events) which outlets to the South West Valley (SWV) located at the southwest corner of the Site. Runoff down this valley flows into Glenayr Creek and ultimately continues in an easterly direction converging with drainage from the West Valley Feature downstream where it ultimately discharges to Sixteen Mile Creek.
- Outlet D: Drainage overland (minor and major events) with drainage conveyed towards Fourth Line along the eastern permitter of the Site.

The following table defines the characteristics and flows generated from the 2-year to 100year Town of Oakville IDF curves based on a 24-hour Chicago distribution.

ID	Outlet	Area (ha)	Runoff 'C'	2-Yr (L/s)	5-Yr (L/s)	10-Yr (L/s)	25-Yr (L/s)	50-Yr (L/s)	100-Yr (L/s)
A1	WVF	1.72	0.25	57	98	130	172	203	238
C1	SWV	0.06	0.25	3	5	7	9	11	13
D1	Fourth Line	0.40	0.25	19	32	43	57	68	81

Table 5-1 – Existing 2-Yr to 100-Yr Peak Flows

Note: Catchment ID B1 was excluded because it does not contribute flows up to the 100-yr storm event to the three surface runoff outlets of the existing Site

Furthermore, there are external drainage areas that drain into the Subject Site. These areas can be summarized as follows:

• Catchment A2: East perimeter of the adjacent St. Volodymyr's property which includes a portion of the paved North/South vehicular driveway and some

miscellaneous landscaped areas which drains into catchment A1 and ultimately outlets to the WVF;

- Catchment B2: Strip of the south boulevard within the Fourth Line R.O.W which drains to the existing pond basin (Catchment ID B1);
- Catchment B3: East perimeter of the adjacent St. Volodymyr's property which includes a portion of the paved North/South vehicular driveway which drains to the existing pond basing (Catchment ID B1);
- Catchment C2: Minor pervious area that drains overland into Catchment C1 and ultimately outlets to the South Valley;

5.3 Post-Development Storm Drainage

5.3.1 Proposed Storm Outlet

The site plan concept envisions a relatively urbanized site with a central parking lot and private roadway to provide access to the ILU buildings. From a drainage perspective, this type of redevelopment is best suited for a storm drainage system with a minor system consisting of catchbasins and on-site storm sewer pipes to collect drainage, as opposed to conveying all storm drainage at the ground surface. Therefore, a storm sewer outlet would be required for this purpose.

The existing storm sewer systems in the vicinity of the Site currently do not collect a significant quantity of runoff from the Site other than some minor perimeter drainage from existing Catchment D which outlets to the existing municipal ditch inlet catchbasin located within the road-side ditch on the west side of the Fourth Line ROW. Beyond this minor contribution of drainage, these sewers were not designed to capture runoff from the entire Site catchment area. Therefore, the municipal minor storm sewer system located within the Fourth Line ROW is not a suitable storm drainage outlet for the entirety of the Site.

As indicated previously, the existing drainage from the majority of the site currently drains overland to the WVF located at the south end of the site. Consequently, the existing drainage draw (WVF) represents the reasonable storm outlet for the site. As a result, it is proposed to utilize the existing pipe outlet into this draw or a reconstructed pipe outfall at the same location and depth if the existing pipe is found to be in a condition that isn't suitable for reuse.

The implementation of a storm sewer outlet into the WVF, either using the existing pipe or a reconstructed pipe, will require measures to mitigate the impact of the urbanization of the site. The following section discusses the types of measures that could be employed as part of a stormwater management plan for the proposed site development.

5.3.2.1 Stormwater Management Criteria

In addition to the general criteria summarized in Section 5.1 of this report, specific criteria and targets will have to be developed through consultation with Conservation Halton to mitigate the impacts of the Site redevelopment on the receiving waters. These targets and criteria will include the following:

Rate Control

Since the Site redevelopment will result in an increase in impervious surface area, storm water detention will have to be employed to facilitate a controlled discharge rate. It is anticipated that the rate control criteria and targets will, at a minimum, be based on controlling post-development peak discharge rates to pre-development peak discharge rates for storm events up to the 100-year storm.

Erosion Control

Stormwater measures to mitigate erosion will be required. These measures may take the form of extended detention of frequent rainfall events and/or retention of rainfall depths such as the first 5 mm.

Water Balance

Stormwater measures to address water balance and the impact of the increase in impervious ground cover will be required.

Water Quality

Measures to address the quality of the runoff from the redevelopment will be required. Typically, these measures target Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal on an average annual basis.

5.3.2.2 Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan

A detailed Stormwater Management (SWM) Plan will be developed at the Site Plan Approval Stage of the project. This Plan will be developed with input from the Town, Conservation Halton, and the Environmental Consultant for the project, using the SWM criteria and targets established through that consultation.

The following description outlines a general proposed conceptual approach to this plan. Please also refer to drawing *Conceptual General Site Servicing* Drawing No. C-1 for additional details. The proposed Site drainage can generally be described as maintaining the existing three stormwater outlets which include:

Outlet P1: West Valley Feature (WVF) – Majority of the proposed site drainage discharge to this location resembling the pre-development drainage conditions;

Outlet P2: Fourth Line – Minor perimeter strip of area located along the eastern portion of the site behind the Main Senior's Building; and

Outlet P3: South West Valley – Minor South-Western limit of the Site behind ILU Blocks #3 and #4.

Please refer to drawing *Conceptual Post-Development STM Drainage Area Plan* Drawing No. *PST-STM*.

Outlet P1:

Runoff from the majority of the Site will be captured by catchbasins and an on-site storm sewer system. Catchbasins would be fitted with goss traps or other devices such as CB Shields[™] for the purpose of capturing/trapping larger sediment particles and floatables that can be removed through catchbasin maintenance/cleanout programs. The on-site storm sewer system would be routed through an array of sub-surface modular stormwater tanks, which would provide both detention storage volume and retention volume. In that regard, a depth of volume within the bottom portion of this stormwater storage facility would be set below the onsite storm sewer system so that it would not drain out by gravity and instead, be allowed to infiltrate into the ground to mimic the pre-development hydrology. The remaining upper portion of the stormwater storage facility would provide active storage volume that would drain down through the on-site storm sewer system. This entire on-site storm system would be controlled by a downstream orifice sized to meet the peak discharge rate targets for various storm events and erosion control if applicable. This configuration would result in the required detention storage not just being provided within the buried stormwater tanks, but within all of the storm sewer pipes, MHs and catchbasins (CBs) upstream of the orifice. Furthermore, the proposed site grading will be designed to accommodate surface ponding within the main centralized parking lot outside of the fire route and select landscaped surfaces. The required detention storage will be designed to accommodate storage of runoff within the buried tanks and storm sewer up to the five (5) year storm event, whereas for larger events, the depression storage on the surface will be utilized.

To accommodate the tree preservation zone along the western property line between ILU Blocks #3 and #4, the proposed grading will match the drip line of these trees, which is at a lower elevation than the main centralized parking lot. As such, the adjacent ILU Block #3 and #4 and the fire route fronting these Blocks will also relate to the lower elevation of

this tree preservation zone. It is proposed to incorporate a second orifice control and buried stormwater detention tank to provide rate control for this sub-catchment. This will be done to ensure that the hydraulic grade line of the main stormwater detention tank (below the centralized parking lot) can fill up and utilize the surface depression storage in the main parking lot without spilling out the catchbasins that relate to the lower elevation located in the north-south fire route in front of ILU Blocks #3 and #4.

Outlets P2 and P3:

Generally, these catchment areas are primarily comprised of landscaped surfaces. For these areas, storm drainage using surface swales and low impact development (LID) measures would be employed with the goal to eliminate the need for a piped outfall. Hard surfaces, such as pathways or small patio areas, within these areas would sheet drain to pervious landscaped surfaces and swales. In some cases, culverts may be needed to allow drainage swales to pass under a pathway but, buried on-site sewers would be avoided for these areas. Swales would be directed toward the proposed LID infrastructure such as bio-retention swales and/or soakaway pits. Grading design to allow runoff to discharge as sheet overland drainage to the existing Fourth Line and South West Valley outlets in a more natural overland manner will be investigated during the detailed design Site Plan Approval Stage of the project.

External Drainage

Provision will be made for external drainage from both Fourth Line and the adjacent St. Volodymyr property to be conveyed through the Site and outlet to the WVF in a manner similar to pre-development conditions. It is noted that the external drainage areas that were conveyed to the existing pond (Catchments B-2 and B-3) in the pre-development scenario will be re-routed to discharge to the WVF outlet in the post-development condition. In that regard, the runoff from these external drainage areas in the post-development scenario (Catchments E-1, E-2, and E-3) will be accounted for in the overall detention storage of the on-site SWM facilities.

With respect to the external drainage area that was conveyed through the Site and discharged to the WVF in the pre-development condition (Catchment A-3), provision will be made for conveyance of this catchment to continue to drain through the Site and outlet to the WFV in the post-development condition. Since this external drainage area will remain unimpacted, the rate and quantity of runoff from this catchment which outlets to the WVF, will inherently match pre-development conditions. Therefore, the SWM facility will not be purposefully designed to account for detention storage of this external drainage area.

5.3.3 Rate Control and Preliminary Detention Storage Volumes

As mentioned in Section 5.3.2, an orifice control and buried stormwater tanks will serve to meet the discharge criteria provided through consultation with the Town and Conservation Halton. The Table below summarizes the preliminary detention volumes to facilitate the controlled release rate to match the pre-development peak flows for each storm event up to the 100-year. It is noted that the estimated detention storage values in Table 5-2 below are subject to change based on the established discharge criteria.

Storm Event	Pre-Development Peak Flows (L/s)	Post- Development Allowable Peak Flows (L/s)	Total Storage Required (m ³)	Total Storage Provided* (m ³)
2-Year	57	57	±500	1350
5-Year	98	98	±700	1350
10-Year	130	130	±800	1350
25-Year	172	172	±1000	1350
50-Year	203	203	±1200	1350
100-Year	238	238	±1300	1350

Table 5-2 – Outlet P1 - Preliminary Detention Storage Volumes and Peak Flows to the West Valley Feature

*Includes $\pm 500m^3$ surface detention storage

With respect to the drainage outlets to Fourth Line (P2) and the South West Valley (P3), post-development flows will, at a minimum, match pre-development flows. This will be achieved through designing the site grading so that the catchment area discharging to these outlets will not exceed the pre-development flows to the same drainage outlets. Through further consultation with Conservation Halton, LIDs will be considered at the Site Plan Approval detailed design stage to reduce the runoff volume and promote rainwater retention/infiltration up to select return period storm events (i.e., the 2-year to 5-year design storms) prior to discharge off-site.

5.3.4 Erosion Control

As mentioned in Section 5.3.2.1, the erosion control criteria will be developed at a later stage through consultation with Conservation Halton and the Project Geomorphologist. At this stage, it is assumed at a minimum, 5 mm of rainfall depth (above initial abstraction values) will be required to be captured and retained on site. Provision has been made to account for 5mm of rainfall runoff volume retention across the impacted limits of the Site.

Based on an impacted area of approximately 3.3 ha, 5 mm of stormwater runoff retention equates to roughly 165 m³. This volume will be provided within the bottom portions of the SWM facilities which will be located below the system outlet. The on-site buried SWM tanks will be open bottom to allow for infiltration into the native subgrade below the main parking lot.

Based on the infiltration testing performed by the Project Hydrogeologist, *Infiltration Rate Assessment Report 1280 Dundas Street West, Oakville, Ontario* prepared by BIG Consulting dated October 18th, 2021, an average infiltration rate below the main parking lot has been determined to be 6.8 mm/hour. Based on this infiltration rate, it would require a footprint of approximately 500 m² to infiltrate 165 m³ (5 mm retention over the impacted limits of the Site) over 48 hours. The preliminary SWM tank layout in the main parking lot has a footprint of approximately 800 m² which exceeds the required footprint to infiltrate the 5 mm retention volume over 48 hours.

Furthermore, the Project Hydrogeologist has reported the seasonally high groundwater elevations at the Site location. Within the main parking lot area, the seasonally high groundwater table was reported to be roughly between elevations 146.5 m – 147.5 m. The proposed underside of the SWM facility retention/detention tanks will be set an elevation at least 1.0m above these seasonally high groundwater elevations in accordance with MECP Guidelines. Please refer to *Groundwater Contour Map* prepared by B.I.G. Consulting Inc. for the subject Site.

Lastly, since storms of more frequent return periods have a greater influence on a downstream stormwater channel's erosion, the 25mm storm event will also be considered with respect to peak flows. The orifice control and stormwater detention will be designed to control the post-development 25mm storm event peak flows to not exceed the predevelopment peak flows during the same 25mm event.

5.3.5 Water Balance

As per Conservation Halton Guidelines for Stormwater Management (May 2021 – Draft Version 1.0), the objective of the water balance criteria is to:

- Replicate as closely as possible existing hydrologic conditions by maintain a balance between infiltration, runoff and evapotranspiration;
- To maintain as closely as possible groundwater and base flow regimes; and
- To ensure long-term sustainability of hydrological/ecological form and function of natural features.

This assessment will evaluate the impact of the proposed development on the Site's water balance by calculating the groundwater recharge (or infiltration) volume in both the existing and proposed condition. If the infiltrated volume in the post-development scenario is lesser than the pre-development condition, additional water balance strategies will be required to maintain the Site's groundwater recharge characteristics. It is noted that this assessment is solely focused on the pervious areas of the Site, in which groundwater recharge can be realized to maximum potential.

5.3.5.1 Groundwater Levels and Infiltration Rates

An Infiltration Rate Testing program was initiated by BIG Consulting Inc. (BIG) in September 2021 to study the existing depth of groundwater levels and to review the onsite soil infiltration rates. Results of this study are published in the BIG report *Infiltration Rate Assessment Report 1280 Dundas Street West, Oakville, Ontario* dated *October 18th*, 2021.

Based on the results of this study, the groundwater elevation was measured generally between 145m to 149m within the Site boundary. BIG has advised that these groundwater level measurements are representative of the seasonally high groundwater levels. Based on the Groundwater Contour Map prepared by BIG, the flow of groundwater is generally in a south direction ultimately towards the west valley feature. The soil stratigraphy at the infiltration test locations was reported to consist of topsoil underlain by native clayey silt to silty clay. Infiltration testing was conducted on September 8th, 2021, at locations that can generally be described as the central portion of the Site (at the proposed main parking lot location) and the northeast (east of the main building) and southeast (adjacent to ILU Block #4) limits of the Site. The percolation rates were reported to be between 10.8 to 20.8 mm/hour. The design infiltration rate reported by BIG is between 4.3 to 8.3 mm/hour, with a safety factor of 2.5.

5.3.5.2 Groundwater Levels and Infiltration Rates

In this exercise, a water balance calculation was completed using the Thornthwaite and Mather (1955) method. This calculation will solely focus on pervious areas of the Site, where groundwater recharge can be realized to maximum potential.

Input data for this calculation was extracted from the MECP SWM Planning & Design Manual Table 3.1, which includes precipitation and evapotranspiration values.

The basic water balance for a region can be expressed as:

 $P = RO + ET + RE + \Delta S$

[Equation 1]

Where, P = Precipitation

RO = Runoff

ET = Evapotranspiration

RE = Recharge (Infiltration)^[1]

 ΔS = Change in Storage (assumed to be zero under steady state conditions)

^[1] As per *Hydrogeological Assessment Submission – Conservation Authority Guidelines for Development Applications (2013)*, the terms 'infiltration' and 'recharge' are commonly used interchangeably in development application supporting documents.

Precipitation (P) and evapotranspiration (ET) are site specific values extracted from the Table 3.1 of the MECP SWM Planning & Design Manual. Recharge (RE) and Runoff (RO) are calculated based on P and ET, where the difference between P and ET is the water surplus (WS) available for Infiltration (I) and Recharge (RE):

WS = P - ET

[Equation 2]

Where WS is used to calculate I after applying an infiltration factor.

I = WS x IF

[Equation 3]

An infiltration factor was estimated based on the topography, soil, and ground cover attributes of the site, and summarized in Table 5-3 and 5-4 below.

	Pre-development Pervious Areas			
	Attribute Component Val			
Topography Factor	Hilly Land	0.10		
Soil Factor	Clayey Silt / Silty Clay	0.15		
Ground Cover Factor	Urban lawns/Woodland	0.10		
Infiltration Factor (IF)		0.35		

Table 5-3 – Pre-Development Infiltration Factor

^[1] Component values for southern Ontario basins as found in Table 3.1 on page 3-4 of the Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (MOE, 2003)

Table 5-4 – Post-Development Infiltration Factor

	Post-development Pervious Areas		
	Attribute Component Valu		
Topography Factor	Hilly Land	0.10	
Soil Factor	Clayey Silt / Silty Clay	0.15	

^[1] Component values for southern Ontario basins as found in Table 3.1 on page 3-4 of the Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (MOE, 2003)

The results of the water balance calculations are summarized in Table 5-5 below.

	Pre-development	Post-development	Net Difference
Precipitation (m ³ /yr) ^[1]	30,440	13,622	-16,818
Evapotranspiration (m ³ /yr) ^[1]	17,001	7,608	-9,393
Infiltration (m ³ /yr) ^[2]	4,704	2,105	-2,599
Pervious Areas (m ²) ^[3]	36,396	17,666	-17,892

Table 5-5 – Water Balance Calculation (Pervious Areas)

^[1] Precipitation (P) and Evapotranspiration (ET) values in mm/yr extracted from Table 3.1 on page 3-4 of the Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (MOE, 2003) multiplied by pervious area. ^[2] Infiltration (I) values calculated using equation 2 & 3.

^[3] Pervious areas are extracted from topo survey for pre-development condition, and conceptual site plan for post-development condition. The site plan features roughly 40% pervious areas at this stage of the project.

5.3.5.3 Groundwater Levels and Infiltration Rates

As demonstrated by the water balance calculation, due to overall increase of impervious areas and the decrease in pervious areas on the Site, an infiltration reduction of approximately 2,600 m³/year is anticipated.

Since the annual groundwater recharge volume of the Site will be decreased due to a greater impervious surface coverage, the proposed development will have to implement SWM measures including infiltration through LIDs to match pre-development conditions in accordance with Conservation Halton requirements.

It is noted that the proposed erosion control target of capturing up to 5 mm of daily rain in and above the initial abstraction would more than make up the $2,600 \text{ m}^3$.

5.3.6 Water Quality

At the Site Plan stage, stormwater quality objectives will be determined through consultation with the Town, Conservation Halton and the project Environmental Consultant. It is anticipated that water quality measures will target Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal on an average annual basis.

The approach to satisfying the water quality objectives may include measures such as:

- Maximizing landscape surfaces;
- Implementing a treatment train approach such as vegetated filter strips, bioretention swales, permeable pavers, goss traps/CB Shields[™];
- Pre-treatment prior to discharge to the retention portion of the SWM facility including oil grit separators;
- Treatment unit prior to discharge to the natural environment.

6.0 CONCLUSION

With respect to the proposed seniors' living development at 1280 Dundas Street West, the site can be serviced with respect to water, sanitary and storm as follows:

<u>Water</u>: A new municipal distribution main constructed along a portion of the Fourth Line frontage of the site and continuing westerly along the south side of Dundas Street West can provide the required domestic and fire service for the site. This new watermain will have terminating interconnections at the existing 1200 mm Ø Regional transmission located on the north side of Dundas Street West opposite of the site and the existing 200 mm Ø distribution watermain located on Wooden Hill Circle west of the site. The location of the proposed interconnection with the transmission main coincides with the proposed interconnection proposed to service the development lands on the north side of Dundas Street West.

<u>Sanitary</u>: A new 200 mm Ø municipal sanitary sewer constructed from the Site westerly within the Dundas Street West ROW and discharging into the existing 1200 mm Ø sanitary trunk sewer at a location approximately 150 m east of the Proudfoot Trail intersection, will provide sanitary servicing for the site. The resultant service connection to the site will be relatively shallow (1.2 m frost cover) and, as a result, sanitary drainage from within the Site will drain by gravity to a private pumping station with a force main that discharges to a control MH and service connection located near the Fourth Line property line.

<u>Storm</u>: The existing site generally drains to the south into a defined environmental feature which is also a drainage draw. Adjacent storm sewers on Dundas Street West and Fourth Line were not designed to accept drainage from the Site.

It is proposed to reuse or reconstruct an existing outlet pipe into the drainage draw. To mitigate the impacts of the development, a stormwater management (SWM) plan will be implemented to provide discharge rate control, erosion control, water balance and quality control for discharge from the developed site. Prior to detailed design, criteria and target parameters for these measures will be confirmed through consultation with Conservation Halton. A concept SWM plan approach presented in section 5.3.2 of this report demonstrates how such a SWM plan could be employed for the proposed development.



Mufaddal Shabbir

Report prepared by: Mufaddal Shabbir Report reviewed by: David Stafford, P.Eng.

APPENDIX A

Architectural Plans and Background Information





A184	149.23	#234	151,97	1	#284	150.92	#334	150.55	1	#384	151,75
j 185	149,77	1235	152.11	1	#285	150.88	#335	150.71	1	#385	151.45
# 186	149.72	#236	151,82	1	286	151.09	#336	150.64		#386	151,44
		100	101204		1.000	191,09	1000	100.04		1000	191944

IOLE TYPE	RIM ELEVATION	1	MANHOLE TYPE	RIM ELEVATION	CATCH BASIN TYPE	RIM
CE OF PLAN)	(MCIRES)		(ON FACE OF PLAN)	(METRES)	(ON FACE OF PLAN)	6
RM MH 1	150.36		MH 11	153.88	OPEN OB 1	
RM NH 2	151.40	1	MH 12	154.04	OPEN CB 2	
RM NH 3	152.15]	MH 13	154.50	OPEN CB 3	
RM MH 4	152,18	1	MH 14	154.52	OPEN CB 4	
RM NH 5	151.98		MH 15	154.55	OPEN CB 5	
RV NH 6	152.26	1	MH 16	155.08	OPEN CB 6	
RM NH 7	152.24		MH 17	155.09	OPEN CB 7	
RM NH 8	152.49	1	MH 18	155,23	OPEN CB 8	
RM NH 9	153.32	1	MH 19	156.69	OPEN CB 9	
NH 10	154.61	1	NH 20	156,81	OPEN CB 10	
RM MH 11	154,64	1	MH 21	156,85	OPEN CB 11	
1ы ын 12	154.51	1	NH 22	156.71	OPEN CB 12	-
NU MH 13	156.21	1	NH 23	156.66	OPEN OB 13	
N MH 14	156.22	1	NH 24	156.56	OPEN CB 14	
RM MH 15	156.70	1	NH 25	156.22	OPEN C8 15	
N MH 16	157.02	1	MH 26	156.36	OPEN OB 16	
NU MH 17	156.28	1	NH 27	156.07	OPEN C8 17	
NM MH 18	155.75	1	MH 28	156.73	OPEN CB 18	
TARY MH 1	153.41	1	NH 29	156.73	OPEN CB 19	
ARY NH 2	154,66	1	MH 30	156.76	OPEN CB 20	
ARY NH 3	154,49	1	NH 31	157.19	OPEN C8 21	
ARY NH 4	155.80	1	NH 32	156.96	SIDE INLET CB 22	
ARY NH 5	155.90	1	MH 33	156.95	SIDE INLET CO 23	
HONE MH 1	152.37	1	MH 34	156,93		
HONE MH 2	154,94	1	NH 35	156.73	7	
IER MH 1	152.55	1	NH 36	155.40		
DR MH 2	156.29	1	MH 37	156.46	7	
ER MH 3	156.83	1	MH 38	156,18		
ER MH 4	156.62	1	NH 39	155.97	7	
MH 1	152.36	1	MH 40	154,87		
MH 2	152.45	1	NH 41	154.46	7	
ын 3	152.46	1	NH 42	154.25		
MH 4	152.46	1	NH 43	154.24	7	
MH 5	153.74	1	NH 44	154.23	7	
MH 6	153.68	1	NH 45	153.76	7	
MH 7	153.66	1	NH 46	153.23	7	
MH 8	153.82	1	NH 47	153.24	7	
мн 9	153.82	1	MH 48	152,74	7	
NH 10	153.84	1	NH 49	152.36	7	







APPENDIX B

Water Demand Calculations

			TOTAL
1.1	Total Units (Seniors Residence + Independent Living Units)*	units	339
1.2	Total Seniors Residence apartment building Ground Floor Area (GFA)*	ha	2.75
1.3	Total Seniors Residence Apartment Building population based on 285 persons/hectare**	persons	783
1.4	Total Seniors Friendly Independent Living Units (SFIL) Ground Floor Area (GFA)*	ha	0.32
1.5	Total Seniors Friendly Independent Living Units (SFIL) population based on 135 persons/hectare**	persons	43
1.6	Total Residential Population (Seniors Residence + Independent Living Units)	persons	827
1.7	Total Population Used for Calculation Purposes***	persons	900.0
1.8	Per Capita Demand @ 275 L/person/day**	L/day	247,500
1.9	Equivalent Population Demand	L/s	2.9
2.0	Peak Hour Peaking Factor**		4.0
2.1	Peak Hour Design Demand Rate	L/s	11.5
2.2	Maximum Day Peaking Factor**		2.25
2.3	Maximum Day Design Demand Rate	L/s	6.4

TABLE B1 - PROPOSED PEAK DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

*Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

** as per Halton Region Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual October 2019 Version 5

*** The population was rounded up to provide a conservative figure for demand calculations

TABLE B2.1 - FIRE DEMAND CALCULATIONS - SENIORS RESIDENCE APARTMENT BUILDING BASED ON F.U.S. GUIDELINES

			TOTAL
1.1	Coefficient for type of construction		0.80*
1.2	Height in Stories**		8
1.3	Total GFA (excluding basement more than 50% below grade)**	m²	27,479
1.4	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	30,000
1.5	15% Reduction for Occupancy Charge - low fire hazard***	L/min	-4,500
1.6	Fire Flow Required	L/min	25,500
1.7	30% Reduction for Automatic Sprinklers	L/min	-7,650
1.8	Charge for Building Separation		
	North: Nearest Building	-	0%
	West: Nearest Building	42m	5%
	South: Nearest Building	350m	0%
	East: Nearest Building	-	0%
1.9	Charge for Building Separation	L/min	1,275
2.0	Fire Flow Required	L/min	20,000
2.1	Fire Flow Required	L/s	333.3

*Based on Architect recommendation for proposed construction type

**Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

***Based on FUS Guidelines

			TOTAL
1.1	Coefficient for type of construction		1.00*
1.2	Height in Stories**		1.5
1.3	Total GFA (excluding basement more than 50% below grade)**	m²	1,278
1.4	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	8,000
1.5	15% Reduction for Occupancy Charge - low fire hazard; limited combustible***	L/min	-1,200
1.6	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	6,800
1.7	No Reduction for Automatic Sprinklers**	L/min	0
1 8	Charge for Building Separation		
1.0	North: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
	West: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
	South: Nearest Building	3m	25%
	East: Nearest Building	40m	5%
1.9	Charge for Building Separation	L/min	2,040
2.0	Fire Flow Required	L/min	9,000
2.1	Fire Flow Required	L/s	150.0

TABLE B2.2 - FIRE DEMAND CALCULATIONS - ILU BLOCK #1 BASED ON F.U.S. GUIDELINES

*Based on Architect recommendation for proposed construction type

**Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

			TOTAL
1.1	Coefficient for type of construction		1.00*
1.2	Height in Stories**		1.5
1.3	Total GFA (excluding basement more than 50% below grade)**	m²	1,693
1.4	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	10,000
1.5	15% Reduction for Occupancy Charge - low fire hazard; limited combustible***	L/min	-1,500
1.6	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	8,500
1.7	No Reduction for Automatic Sprinklers**	L/min	0
1.0	Change for Duilding Concretion		
1.0	North: Norrost Building	3m	250/
	West: Nearest Building	3111 45m	23 % 5%
	South: Nearest Building	~45m	0%
	East: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
1.9	Charge for Building Separation	L/min	2,550
2.0	Fire Flow Required	L/min	12,000
2.1	Fire Flow Required	L/s	200.0

TABLE B2.3 - FIRE DEMAND CALCULATIONS - ILU BLOCK #2 BASED ON F.U.S. GUIDELINES

*Based on Architect recommendation for proposed construction type

**Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

			TOTAL
1.1	Coefficient for type of construction		1.00*
1.2	Height in Stories**		1.5
1.3	Total GFA (excluding basement more than 50% below grade)**	m²	864
1.4	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	7,000
1.5	15% Reduction for Occupancy Charge - low fire hazard; limited combustible***	L/min	-1,050
1.6	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	5,950
4 7	No Doduction for Automatic Optinklars**	L /min	0
1.7	No Reduction for Automatic Splittkiers	L/min	0
1.8	Charge for Building Separation		
1.0	North: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
	West: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
	South: Nearest Building	3m	25%
	East: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
1.9	Charge for Building Separation	L/min	1,488
2.0	Fire Flow Required	L/min	8,000
2.1	Fire Flow Required	L/s	133.3

TABLE B2.4 - FIRE DEMAND CALCULATIONS - ILU BLOCK #3 BASED ON F.U.S. GUIDELINES

*Based on Architect recommendation for proposed construction type

**Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

			TOTAL
1.1	Coefficient for type of construction		1.00*
1.2	Height in Stories**		1.5
1.3	Total GFA (excluding basement more than 50% below grade)**	m²	1,278
1.4	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	8,000
1.5	15% Reduction for Occupancy Charge - low fire hazard; limited combustible***	L/min	-1,200
1.6	Fire Flow Required***	L/min	6,800
1.7	No Reduction for Automatic Sprinklers**	L/min	0
1.8	Charge for Building Separation		
	North: Nearest Building	3m	25%
	West: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
	South: Nearest Building	>45m	0%
	East: Nearest Building	>45M	0%
1.9	Charge for Building Separation	L/min	1,700
2.0	Fire Flow Required	L/min	9,000
2.1	Fire Flow Required	L/s	150.0

TABLE B2.5 - FIRE DEMAND CALCULATIONS - ILU BLOCK #4 BASED ON F.U.S. GUIDELINES

*Based on Architect recommendation for proposed construction type

**Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

		-	
			TOTAL
1.0	Apartment Building	L/s	333.33
2.0	SFIL Block 1	L/s	150.00
3.0	SFIL Block 2	L/s	200.00
4.0	SFIL Block 3	L/s	133.33
5.0	SFIL Block 4	L/s	150.00
6.0	Controlling Fire Flow	L/s	333.33

TABLE B2.6 - Summary of Fire Flows

TABLE B3 - PROPOSED REDEVELOPME	ENT TOTAL WATE	R DEMAND					
PER REGION OF HALTON DESIGN CRITERI. GUIDELINES, WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS SI SATISFY <u>THE GREATER</u> OF EITHER OF THE	A AND MECP DES HOULD BE DESIG E FOLLOWING DE	SIGN NED TO MANDS:					
-MAXIMUM DAY DOMESTIC DEMAND F	PLUS FIRE FLOW						
-PEAK HOUR DOMESTIC DEMAND	-PEAK HOUR DOMESTIC DEMAND						
MAX DAY & FIRE FLOWS							
Max Day Residential Fire Flow* Total Max Day & Fire Flow	6.4 L/s 333.3 L/s 339.8 L/s						
PEAK HOUR DOMESTIC DEMAND							
Peak Rate Residential Total Peak Rate	11.5 L/s 11.5 L/s						
THEREFORE, MAX DAY + FIRE FLOW	IS GOVERNING R	EQUIREMENT					
WATER DEMAND							
Max Day Fire Flow* Total Max Day & Fire Flow	6.4 L/s 333.3 L/s 339.8 L/s	387 L/min 20000 L/min 20,387 L/min					
*Max fire flow as per Table B2.6							

Lozzi Aqua Check

4820 18th Sideroad Schomberg, Ontario L0G-1T0 Massimo Lozzi Cell: 416 990-2131

E-mail: lozziaquacheck@gmail.com

Hydrant Flow Test Form

Job Location: 1280 Dundas St W, Mississauga

Date: June 26,2020

Test Date

Time of Test: 1:30 pm

Location of Hydrant: hydrant in front of 2457 Wooden Hill Circle.

Residual hydrant: at 2465 Wooden Hill Circle.

Main Size: 200 mm

Static Pressure: 58 psi

Theoretical GPM at 20 psi - 4572 gpm

	Number of Outlets & Orifice Size	Pitot Pressure (psi)	Flow (U.S. G.P.M.)	Residual Pressure (psi)
1.	Static	0	0	58
2.	1 x 2 ½	44	1110	56
3.	2 x 2 ½	30	1834	54

Note :Flow test conducted in accordance with NFPA Std 291



Lozzi Aqua Check

4820 18th Sideroad Schomberg, Ontario L0G-1T0 Massimo Lozzi Cell: 416 990-2131

E-mail: lozziaquacheck@gmail.com

Hydrant Flow Test Form

Job Location: 1280 Dundas St W, Mississauga

Date: June 26,2020

Test Date

Time of Test: 1:00 pm

Location of Hydrant: hydrant at Dundas St W and Proudfoot Trail

Residual hydrant: at Dashwood Dr and Proudfoot Trail

Main Size:1200 mm

Theoretical GPM at 20 psi - 8734 gpm

Static Pressure: 56 psi

	Number of Outlets & Orifice Size	Residual Pressure (psi)		
1.	Static	0	0	56
2.	1 x 2 ½	40	1059	55
3.	2 x 2 ½	30	1834	54

Note :Flow test conducted in accordance with NFPA Std 291



APPENDIX C

Sanitary Demand Calculations

Appendix C Sanitary Servicing Demand Analysis

			TOTAL
1.1	Total Units (Seniors Residence + Independent Living Units)*	units	339
1.2	Total Seniors Residence apartment building Ground Floor Area (GFA)*	ha	2.75
1.3	Total Seniors Residence apartment building population based on 285 persons/hectare**	persons	783
1.4	Total Seniors Friendly Independent Living Units (SFIL) Ground Floor Area (GFA)*	ha	0.32
1.5	Total Seniors Friendly Independent Living Units (SFIL) population based on 135 persons/hectare**	persons	43
1.6	Total Residential Population (Seniors Residence + Independent Living Units)	persons	827
1.7	Total Population Used for Calculation Purposes***	persons	900.0
1.8	Total Residential Flow @ 275 L/person/day**	L/day	247500
1.9	Total Residential Flow	L/s	2.86
2.0	Peaking Factor****		3.83
2.01	Total Residential Dry Weather Flow	L/s	10.97

TABLE C1 - PROPOSED SANITARY FLOW ESTIMATE (275 L/c/d)

*Based on Architectural Stats received from Icke Brochu Architects Inc. dated October 2021

**as per Halton Region Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual October 2019 Version 5

*** The population was rounded up to provide a conservative figure for demand calculations.

**** Peaking Factor calculated by using modified Harmon's Formula (Kav * (1+ 14/(4 + P^0.5)). K_{av} = 1

Appendix C Sanitary Servicing Demand Analysis

1.0	Site Area*	m²	46233
1.1	Site Area	ha	4.6233
1.2	Infiltration Allowance**	L/ha/s	0.286
1.3	Total Infiltration Flow	L/s	1.32

TABLE C2 - PROPOSED SANITARY FLOW ESTIMATE - INFILTRATION

*From Topographic Plan and Architectural Stats dated October 12th 2021

** as per Halton Region Water and Wastewater Linear Design Manual April 2019 Version 4.0

Appendix C Sanitary Servicing Demand Analysis

TABLE C3 - PROPOSED TOTAL SANITARY FLOW ESTIMATE

			TOTAL
3.1	Total Residential Dry Weather Flow	L/s	10.97
3.2	Total Infiltration Flow	L/s	1.32
3.3	Total Sanitary Peak Flow	L/s	12.29

APPENDIX D

Hydrogeological Information



APPENDIX E

Civil Drawings







EPTUAL PLAN AND PR	ENSTING 450mm I.O.C. (SISURE A50mm I.O.C. (SISURE SURF 0 I.O.C. (EX 1200hme				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			000+0
CONCE		157	156	155	154	153	152	151	150	149	148	ELEVATIONS	WATERMAIN INVERTS	SANITARY INVERTS	CHAINAGE
								9M0.199	-S-820261,	04 SHEETS/	скрр – оквил/	M NG ORKNIFE/O XX OL	XX - Delmanc	→XXXX 20195028 1997 1997 Time:	PR-X Drawing: R:/ Layout Tab: Date: 12/16



AND P			I I I EX CBWH		EX 3							13.421 802.431	_		0+560
LAN P	MATCH LINE STA. 0+250 SEE SHEET PP1														
TUAL															
N(RMAIN	IARY RTS	NAGE
$\left \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right $		156	155	154	153	152	151	150	149	148	147	ELEVA	WATEF	SANI	CHAIN
								SM	0.199-2-820	SHEETS/195	ХХ 40/ИАВЯU – G	s orknille/g car X OL	AXX - DELMANOF 34:80:20		PR-X Drawing: R:/. Layout Tab: Date: 12/16,



