

KEY MAP - N.T.S.

LEGEND

vegetation unit (refer to chart)

existing tree number (refer to chart)

existing vegetation to remain

existing vegetation to be removed

existing elevations

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION ISSUED FOR REVIEW & COMMENTS ONLY

Vegetation inventory undertaken by Jeremy Jackson, ISA Certified Arborist (ON-1089A) on 2024-06-10.

REVISIONS/ SUBMISSIONS

Issued for submission Issued for civil coordination

Issued for OPA/ZBA submission Issued for civil coordination 2025-02-14 Issued for Town draft review 2025-06-05 Issued for OPA/ZBA submission



Halton Sikh Cultural Association

Town of Oakville PROJECT Proposed Place of Worship

MUNICIPAL FILE NUMBER

Tree Protection Plan

adesso design inc.



69 John Street South, Suite 250 Hamilton, ON L8N 2B9 t. 905.526.8876 www.adessodesigninc.ca

landscape architecture

L-1

3 All supports and bracing should be outside the Tree Protection Zone. All such supports should minimize damaging roots outside the Tree Protection Barrier.

4 No construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment, or excavations of any kind

is permitted within the Tree Protection Zone.

Tree Protection Fencing (with silt fence)

## NOTES:

- The area within the dripline of all existing trees shall be properly protected with temporary fencing.
- The area within the protective fencing shall remain undisturbed with no construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment, compaction, or excavation. Area shall not be used for the storage of building materials or equipment access/storage or project related garbage.
- Tree protection measures shall be installed prior to any demolition, tree removal or construction and shall remain until the completion of fine grading and sodding or seeding.
- 4. Prune all trees for dead, diseased, weak or hazardous branches only. also trim back branches which will interfere with construction, prune for structural restoration where necessary.
- 5. No stockpiles and/or excavated material shall be placed within the tree preservation area.
  6. No rigging cable shall be wrapped around or installed to trees.
  7. Where root systems of protected trees are exposed directly adjacent.
- 7. Where root systems of protected trees are exposed directly adjacent to or damaged by construction work they are to be root pruned and the area back filled with topsoil to prevent root desiccation. An Arborist is required to be on site for any injury or cutting to the root system or surface roots of a protected tree.
  8. Any fine grading within the preservation area is to be done by hand.
- no heavy equipment is permitted within the preservation zone.
  9. Sediment accumulations to be removed by subdivider/builder when sediment deposits reach within 150mm of top of filter fabric barrier.
  10. A copy of the approved and signed Vegetation Management Plan

will be on site for the duration of construction and available upon

request.
11. This detail does not represent any particular tree species.

### MIGRATORY BIRDS AND NESTS:

- The Owner and Contractor must be aware of the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 - specifically;
   No tree removal or construction activity shall contravene the
- Construction activities with the potential to harm migratory birds or their nest should be restricted from March 15 to August 31.
  If work must occur during the migratory bird breeding season, a nest survey should be taken by a qualified avian biologist.
  A mitigation plan (showing active nests and appropriate buffers) may be required for review and approval by the Canadian Wildlife Services.

## TREE REMOVAL:

- No trees shall be removed prior to municipal approvals of the Tree Management/Tree Preservation Plan.

  BOUNDARY TREES:
- 2. Note that boundary trees are protected under the Ontario Forestry Act. Boundary trees are defined in the Forestry Act (Section 10.2) and are considered shared or co-owned property. The Forestry Act indicates that it is a prosecutable offense for one co-owner to injure or cut down a boundary tree without the other co-owner's
- Written permission from the neighbouring property owner is required prior to removal or injury of any boundary tree(shared ownership) or any tree that is not fully on the subject property.
- 4. An ISA certified Arborist should be on site prior to any work being performed within the rootzone of a boundary or neighbouring tree to perform root pruning as required.

#### EXISTING VEGETATION IDENTIFICATION TABLE

Managemen	Tree #	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	TI	CS	cv	DL	СС	mTPZ	Comments	Recom.	Reason
Procession	1					Р	Р	2	I				
1	2	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	31, 43		G	G	5	I		Union at 1 m	REMOVE	
Note   March	3							6	D				
Compare	4	Northern Catalpa	Catalpa speciosa	41	G	G	G	4	I	3.0	Union at 2 m	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed entry walkway
Marche	5	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	14	G	G	G	3	1	2.4		PEMOVE	
Processor   Proc			/teer planariolaes					3	'				Direct conflict with proposed entry walkway
Processes   March   Processes   March   Processes	6	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	50	G	G	G	8	D	3.0		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed parking
Part	7	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	52	FG	G	G	5	D	3.6	Growth deficit	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed parking
Performance   Processing   Control	8	Crab Apple species	Malus spp.	27	FG	G	G	4	1	2.4	Pruning wounds, epicormic branching	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed parking
Comment	9	Blue Spruce	Picea pungens	30	G	G	G	2.5	CD	2.4		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed parking
Manufacture	10	Weeping Willow	Salix babylonica	63, 57, 83	F	PF	PF	8	D	6.0	30% crown dieback	REMOVE	
Part					G	F.	F	4					
March   Marc						G	G	0.5					
## MATERIAL STATES   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	12	Oleen Asii	Traxinos permistrvariaea	Σ, Σ	'	O		0.5		1.0	ornorral ground	KL/VIO V L	encroachment due to proposed sidewalk
1	13	Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila	4	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	conflict with proposed grading in boulevard, invasive species
1	14	Manitoba Maple	Acer neaundo	3, 2, 3	F	FG	G	1	D	1.8	Union at around	REMOVE	
Control   Cont													conflict with proposed grading in boulevard, invasive species
Committee	15	Crab Apple species	Malus spp.	5	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	Conflict with proposed grading along Khalsa Gate
Comment   Comm	16	Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila	3	G	G	G	1	I	1.8		REMOVE	conflict with proposed grading in boulevard, invasive species
Comment   Comm	17	Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila	8, 9, 9	F	FG	G	2.5	D	2.4	Union at ground	REMOVE	
20   10   10   10   10   10   10   10			· ·							·			conflict with proposed grading in boulevard, invasive species
The charge   Section   Continues   Conti	18	Siberian Elm	Ulmus pumila	6	G	G	G	1.5	1	1.8		REMOVE	conflict with proposed grading in houlevard invasive species
See	10	Silver Manle	Acersaccharinum	17 15 13 14 20 11	E	E	E	1	D	3.0	Union at around 20% crown dieback	PEMOVE	
Page 2007 Forming List	17	Silver Maple	Acer saccinalinom	17, 10, 13, 14, 20, 11	'		'	4		3.0		KL/WOVL	Direct conflict with proposed builing footprint
	20	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	7	Р	Р	Р	0.5	D	1.8	planted - appears to have just been placed on the	REMOVE	
Section   Accordance   A.D.   F.   F.   F.   F.   F.   F.   F.											giodia		condition
Second Control   Seco	21	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	Р	Р	Р	0.5	D	1.8	90% crown dieback, set on ground not really planted	REMOVE	
	22	Red Maple	Acer rubrum	4, 3, 5	F	FG	FG	1.5	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed multiuse path
Accordance   Acc	23	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	F	F	F	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	
	24	Freeman's Maple	Acer x freemanii	7	G	G	G	1.5	D	1.8		REMOVE	
Part	25	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	FG	G	G	1	D	1.8	Stem wounds	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed multi-use path
Abstract Powering Library	26	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	7	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed multi-use path
29	27	Freeman's Maple	Acer x freemanii	1	Р	Р	Р	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	Tree unlikely to survive construction impacts due to poor
	20	Jananese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata "Ivony Silk"	7	EC	6	C	1		1.0		DEMOVE	condition
Japonese Rovering Blac Syrings reflected brow SRV 7 G RG R					FG F			1					
Jacobs Powering Nacional Report of Nacional Report					r G			1.5					
Feerman's Models Aper's feerman's Aper's Aper's feerman's Approached Models Aper's feerman's Models Aper's feerman's Models Aper's feerman's Models Aper's feerman's Aper's Aper's feerman's Models Aper's feerman's Approached Models Aper's fee				7	Е	Е	E	1.0					Bilder comiler with proposed monitose pain
Exercise Models   Dispose Control of the Proposed Springer relocator from Six   Section				,	'	-	-	1.5					
Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 F P P I D 1.8 Stem wound, coppied growth, 50% crown diaback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Howering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union at ground  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union at ground  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G I D 1.8 Union at ground  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union at ground  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union at ground  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union at ground laws crown diaback  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 F F F I D 1.8 Siem wound, 10% crown diaback  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 F F F I D 1.8 Siem wound, 10% crown diaback  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 F F F I D 1.8 Union at ground, 10% crown diaback  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulator lavoy Sik' 6 F F F I D 1.8 Union at ground, 20% crown diaback  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulation for Robatic Stemanese Lavor Remove Carlo Remove Robatic Remover Globack  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulation by Sik' 6 F F F I D 1.8 Union at ground 1.0% crown diaback  REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Jobanese Rowering Liloc Syringar reficulation by Sik' 6 F F F I D 1.8 Union at ground	32	Freeman's Maple	Acer x freemanii	6	F	FG	FG	1.5	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed multi-use path
Japoness Reweing Lilac Syringa reticulara 'Nory Silk' 6 G G G I D I.8 REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Japoness Reweing Lilac Syringa reticulara 'Nory Silk' 6 G G G I D I.8 REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 4.4.3 F F G G I D I.8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 4.3.3 F F G G I D I.8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 4.3.3 F F G F G I D I.8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Japoness Reweing Lilac Syringa reticulara 'Nory Silk' 6 G G G I D I.8 Union at ground. I REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 4.3.3 F F G F F I D I.8 Stem wound, I S	Unit 33	Eastern White Cedar	Thuja occidentalis	~5	G	G	G	0.5	CD	1.8	23 cedar trees in hedge <10 cm DBH	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed multi-use path
Japaness Rowering Lilac Syringa reflocular 1 vory Sik' 6 G G 1 D 1.8 Union of ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  37 Black Locust Remove Direct conflict with proposed swale  38 Red Maple Acer rubrum 4.4.3 F F FG G 1 D 1.8 Union of ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  39 Red Mople Acer rubrum 4.3.3 F FG FG I D 1.8 Union of ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  40 Japaness Rowering Lilac Syringa reflocular 1 vory Sik' 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground LIMS crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  41 Japaness Rowering Lilac Syringa reflocular 1 vory Sik' 6 FG FG I D 1.8 Silem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  42 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemani 6 G G G I D 1.8 Silem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  43 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemani 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  44 Red Maple Acer x freemani 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  45 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemani 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  46 Red Maple Acer x freemani 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  47 Freeman's Maple Acer soccharium 55 G G G I D 3.6 Reward II D 1.8 Union of ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed pricing for Khokia Gate  48 FREMOVE Direct conflict with proposed pricing for Khokia Gate	34	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	F	Р	Р	1	D	1.8	Stem wound, coppice growth, 50% crown dieback	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
36 Japanese Rowering Liliac Syringa reficulator Yvory Silk' 6 G G G 1 D 1.8 Union of ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  37 Black Locutt Robinia pseudoacocia 5.3 F FG G 2 D 1.8 Union of ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  38 Red Maple Acer rubrum 4.4.3 F FG G 1 D 1.8 Union of ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  39 Red Maple Acer rubrum 4.3.3 F FG FG I D 1.8 Union of ground, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  40 Japanese Rowering Liliac Syringa reficulator Yvory Silk' 6 G G G I D 1.8 REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  41 Japanese Rowering Liliac Syringa reficulator Yvory Silk' 6 FG FG FG I D 1.8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  42 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemanii 6 G G G I D 1.8 REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  43 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemanii 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  44 Red Maple Acer x freemanii 6 G G G I D 1.8 Union of ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  45 Silver Maple Acer cobrum 5.5 G G G G 7 D 3.6 Flower gall BEMOVE Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khoka Gote Remove Glork constitution Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khoka Gote Remove Glork constitution Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khoka Gote	35	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
37 Black Locust Robinia pseudocacio 5,3 F FG G 2 D 1.8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  38 Red Maple Acerrubrum 4.4,3 F FG G 1 D 1.8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  39 Red Maple Acerrubrum 4.3,3 F FG FG 1 D 1.8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  40 Japanese Flowering Lilac Syringa reficulata Tvory Silk* 6 FG FG 1 D 1.8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  41 Japanese Flowering Lilac Syringa reficulata Tvory Silk* 6 FG FG FG 1 D 1.8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  42 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemanii 6 G G G G 1.5 D 1.8  43 Freeman's Maple Acer x freemanii 6 G G G G I D 1.8  44 Red Maple Acer x freemanii 6 G G G G I D 1.8  45 Silver Maple Acer saccharinum 5.5 G G G G 7 D 3.4 Flower gall  46 Silver Maple Acer saccharinum 5.5 G G G G FG F	36	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	
Red Maple Acer rubrum 4, 4, 3 F FG G 1 D 1,8 Union at ground REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Red Maple Acer rubrum 4, 3, 3 F FG FG 1 D 1,8 Union at ground, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 4, 3, 3 F FG FG 1 D 1,8 Union at ground, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 4, 3, 3 F FG FG I D 1,8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 6 FG FG FG I D 1,8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 6 FG FG FG I D 1,8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 6 FG FG FG I D 1,8 Union at ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 7 FG FG FG I D 1,8 Union at ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 7 FG FG FG I D 1,8 Union at ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 7 FG FG FG I D 1,8 Union at ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum 7 FG					F			2			Union at ground		
Red Maple  Acer rubrum  Acer ru								Z					Direct conflict with proposed swale
Japanese Flowering Lilac  Syringa reficulata 'Ivory Silk'  6	38	кед Маріе	Acer rubrum	4, 4, 3	F	FG	G	1	D	1.8	Union at ground	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
Japanese Flowering Lilac  Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'  6 FG FG FG I D 1.8 Stem wound, 10% crown dieback  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer x freemanii  6 G G G I D 1.8  Freeman's Maple  Acer x freemanii  6 G G G I D 1.8  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer x freemanii  6 G G G I D 1.8  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer x freemanii  Acer	39	Red Maple	Acer rubrum	4,3, 3	F	FG	FG	1	D	1.8	Union at ground,10% crown dieback	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
Freeman's Maple  Acer x freemanii  6  G  G  G  G  I.5  D  I.8  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer x freemanii  6  G  G  G  G  I  D  I.8  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer x freemanii  6  G  G  G  G  I  D  I.8  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum  4, 3, 4  FG  F  I  D  I.8  Union at ground, 20% crown dieback  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  REMOVE  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Acer rubrum  55  G  G  G  G  G  FG  FG  A  D  J  Acer saccharinum  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khalsa  Gate Reconstruction  Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khalsa  Gate Reconstruction  Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khalsa Gate	40	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
Freeman's Maple  Acer x freemanii  Acer x freemanii  Acer rubrum  Acer rubrum  Acer rubrum  Acer saccharinum  55  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G	41	Japanese Flowering Lilac	Syringa reticulata 'Ivory Silk'	6	FG	FG	FG	1	D	1.8	Stem wound, 10% crown dieback	REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
44 Red Maple Acer rubrum 4, 3, 4 FG F F I D 1.8 Union at ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  45 Silver Maple Acer saccharinum 55 G G G 7 D 3.6 Flower gall  46 Pip Oak Quercus palustris 29 G FG FG A D 3.4 Chlorotic 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Chlorotic 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Encroachment due to proposed parking, grading for Khalsa Gate  Ouercus palustris 29 G FG FG A D 3.4 Chlorotic 10% crown dieback Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khalsa Gate	42	Freeman's Maple	Acer x freemanii	6	G	G	G	1.5	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
44 Red Maple Acer rubrum 4, 3, 4 FG F F I D 1.8 Union at ground, 20% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  45 Silver Maple Acer saccharinum 55 G G G 7 D 3.6 Flower gall  46 Pip Oak Quercus palustris 29 G FG FG A D 3.4 Chlorotic 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Chlorotic 10% crown dieback REMOVE Direct conflict with proposed swale  Direct conflict with proposed swale  Encroachment due to proposed parking, grading for Khalsa Gate  Ouercus palustris 29 G FG FG A D 3.4 Chlorotic 10% crown dieback Direct conflict with proposed grading for Khalsa Gate	43	Freeman's Maple	Acer x freemanii	6	G	G	G	1	D	1.8		REMOVE	Direct conflict with proposed swale
Silver Maple  Acer saccharinum  55  G  G  G  Flower gall  REMOVE  Encroachment due to proposed parking, grading for Khalsa Gate Reconstruction  Ouercus palustris  29  G  Flower gall  Acer saccharinum  55  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G  G	44	Red Maple	Acer rubrum	4, 3, 4		F	F	1	D		Union at ground, 20% crown dieback	REMOVE	
Gate Reconstruction  A6 Pin Oak  Ouercus palustris						G	G	7					Encroachment due to proposed parking, grading for Khalsa
								4			-		
	46	THOUN	acciecos paiosilis	27	G	FG	10	4	U	2.4	CHICIOTIC, 10/0 CLOWIT CICDUCK	KEWIOVE	

CHART LEGEND/CODES

DBH: Diameter at Breast Height (cm)

Trunk Integrity (TI): G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor

Crown Structure (CS): G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor

Crown Vigor (CV): G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor

Crown Class: D = Dominant, CD = Codominant, I = Intermediate, S = Suppressed

\* CROWN CLASS

Dominant- (D) Emergent canopy (receives full sunlight)

Co-dominant - (C) Not fully emergent (top of canopy receives sunlight)
Intermediate - (I) Sub-canopy tree (receives partial sunlight)

\*\* CONDITION - consideration of trunk integrity, crown structure and crown vigor Good - few or no issues related to trunk integrity, crown structure or crown vigor Fair - minor issues related to trunk integrity, crown structure (form, some dead or damged branches) or crown vigor (20-80% healthy foliage)

Poor - issues with trunk integrity such as cavities or exposed dead wood, poor crown structure (poor form, no clear leader, significant dead or damaged branches) or poor crown vigor (<20% healthy foliage)

CONDITION OF TREES
The decision to remove or retain a tree is subject to the forecasted development impacts, the structural condition of the tree (e.g. cracks, cavities, decay, weak branching, leaning, hazard potential), the biological condition of the tree (e.g. pest or disease concerns, overall health) and the suitability of the tree in its location (e.g. hardiness, soil conditions, salt tolerance, visual obstruction, available soil volume).

# NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION ISSUED FOR REVIEW & COMMENTS ONLY

NOTE: Vegetation inventory undertaken by Jeremy Jackson, ISA Certified Arborist (ON-1089A) on 2024-06-10.

## REVISIONS/ SUBMISSIONS

2025-02-14

7 2025-06-05

# DATE DESCRIPTION

1 2024-06-20 Issued for client review
2 2024-06-25 Issued for submission
3 2024-07-30 Issued for civil coordination
4 2024-09-18 Issued for OPA/ZBA submission
5 2025-02-10 Issued for civil coordination

\_\_...



Issued for Town draft review

Issued for OPA/ZBA submission

Halton Sikh Cultural Association

MUNICIPALITY

Town of Oakville

PROJECT
Proposed Place of Worship
2403 Khalsa Gate

MUNICIPAL FILE NUMBER

SHEET
Tree Protection Plan





69 John Street South, Suite 250 Hamilton, ON L8N 2B9 t. 905.526.8876 www.adessodesigninc.ca